

# Stomatin: A New Paradigm of Membrane Organization Emerges

# Ulrich Salzer • Mario Mairhofer • Rainer Prohaska\*

Max F. Perutz Laboratories (MFPL), Medical University of Vienna, Dr. Bohr-Gasse 9/3, A-1030 Vienna, Austria Corresponding author: \* rainer.prohaska@meduniwien.ac.at

# ABSTRACT

Stomatin, originally identified as a major protein of the human erythrocyte membrane, is widely expressed in various tissues. Orthologues are found in vertebrates, invertebrates, plants, and microorganisms. Related proteins exhibit a common core structure, termed the prohibitin (PHB) domain, with varying extensions. Stomatin has an unusual topology, similar to caveolin-1, with a hydrophobic domain embedded at the cytoplasmic side of the membrane. Additional anchoring is provided by palmitoylation and the membrane affinity of the PHB domain. Stomatin associates with cholesterol-rich microdomains (lipid rafts), forms oligomers, and thereby displays a scaffolding function by generating large protein-lipid complexes. It regulates the activity of various membrane proteins by reversibly recruiting them to lipid rafts. This mechanism of regulation has been shown for GLUT-1 and may also apply for ion channels. Stomatin is located at the plasma membrane, particularly in microvilli, in endocytic and exocytic vesicles, and cytoplasmic granules. Stomatin-carrying endosomes are highly dynamic and interact with lipid droplets suggesting a role in intracellular lipid transport. This subcellular distribution and the caveolin-like protein structure suggest important membrane organizing functions for stomatin. A general picture emerges now that cell membranes contain cholesterol-rich domains that are generated and regulated by scaffolding proteins like caveolins, stomatins, and flotillin/reggie proteins.

Keywords: cholesterol, lipid raft, membrane microdomain, membrane protein, oligomeric complex, SPFH domain

Abbreviations: AChE, acetylcholinesterase; CRAC, cholesterol recognition/interaction amino acid consensus; DRM, detergent-resistant membrane; EA, glutamate-alanine; FRAP, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching; GARP, antibody produced by G Adolf and R. Prohaska; GFP, green fluorescent protein; GPI, glycosyl phosphatidylinositol; IM, intramembrane; LD, lipid droplet; MVB, multi-vesicular body; PDZ, PSD-95, Dlg, ZO-1; PHB, prohibitin; PLAP, placental alkaline phosphatase; SPFH, stomatin, prohibitin, flotillin, HflC/K

# CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	21
Stomatin, the human erythrocyte band 7.2b membrane protein	21
Stomatin gene organization and expression	21
Stomatin orthologues and homologues	21
The stomatin family and SPFH/PHB superfamily	22
STOMATIN STRUCTURE	23
Monotopic membrane protein structure	23
Oligomeric structure	23
Association with lipid rafts	24
Interaction domains and motifs	24
Phosphorylation	24
Palmitoylation	24
The intramembrane domain	24
The CRAC-like motif	25
The SPFH/PHB domain	25
The C-terminal interaction domain	25
STOMATIN FUNCTION	25
Stomatin localization and dynamics	25
Dynamics of stomatin-specific lipid rafts	26
Segregation of rafts in the red cell membrane	26
Segregation of rafts in the membranes of malaria infected red cells	27
Segregation of rafts in the membranes of platelets and neutrophils	28
Interaction of stomatin with membrane proteins	28
Emerging functions of stomatin	29
A role in lipid raft-dependent processes	29
Regulation of channel activities	30
CONCLUDING REMARKS	30
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	31
REFERENCES	31

# INTRODUCTION

# Stomatin, the human erythrocyte band 7.2b membrane protein

Interest in stomatin started when red cells of patients with the haemolytic anaemia Hereditary Stomatocytosis were found to be lacking a major membrane protein in the band 7 region (Lande et al. 1982; Eber et al. 1989; Morle et al. 1989; Stewart et al. 1992b). This protein, termed protein 7.2b or band 7 integral membrane protein, was isolated and characterized (Hiebl-Dirschmied et al. 1991a, 1991b; Wang et al. 1991; Stewart et al. 1992b) and, with reference to the disease, termed stomatin (Stewart et al. 1992b). However, it was found that not all patients with Hereditary Stomatocytosis were lacking stomatin in the red cells (Kanzaki et al. 1992) and that stomatin is only missing in the red cells of Overhydrated Hereditary Stomatocytosis (OHSt) patients but is normal in Dehydrated Hereditary Stomatocytosis (Stewart et al. 1993). Because OHSt red cells have a high permeability for monovalent cations, a "cation leak", it was suggested that stomatin may function as a regulator of an ion channel (Stewart et al. 1993). However, OHSt patients have normal amounts of stomatin mRNA in the erythroid precursors (Stewart et al. 1992b) and there were no mutations found in the derived cDNA (Stewart et al. 1992a; Wang 1992; Fricke et al. 2003a). Stomatin knock-out mice have a normal red cell morphology (Zhu et al. 1999) suggesting that stomatin is not directly linked to OHSt and may not be involved in ion channel regulation. OHSt erythroid precursors were shown to synthesize the protein but loose it during red cell maturation (Fricke et al. 2003a, 2005). The real defect causing OHSt is still unknown; possibly there is a fundamental trafficking abnormality (Fricke et al. 2005).

An interaction of erythrocyte stomatin with lipids was first shown in a labelling study using a photoreactive phosphatidylethanolamine and a lipophilic cysteine-reactive reagent, respectively (Desneves *et al.* 1996). Because of the preferential incorporation of these lipids into stomatin, it was hypothesized that stomatin may play an important role in controlling lipid organization in the erythrocyte membrane, possibly as a scramblase.

# Stomatin gene organization and expression

Because the stomatin cDNA sequence was found to be normal in OHSt, it was interesting to study the gene structure and organization (Gallagher et al. 1995a; Unfried et al. 1995). The gene EPB72/STOM contains 7 exons; the first encodes the N-terminal region (there is no signal sequence), the second the hydrophobic domain, and 5 exons encode the C-terminal region. The  $7^{\text{th}}$  exon is the largest and contains more than 2 kb 3'-UTR. The gene is located on the human chromosome 9q34.1 (Westberg et al. 1993) / 9q33-34 (Gallagher et al. 1993), centromeric to the ABL gene that is involved in the 9;22 translocation. However, no chromosomal translocations have been reported concerning the stomatin gene. Several splice variants have been identified in cancer patients and abnormal splicing was found in a family with recessively inherited multisystem pathology (Argent et al. 2004); in the absence of more detailed information it is, however, difficult to judge whether this aberrant splicing is the cause or the result of these diseases. The promoter region of the stomatin gene is characteristic of a household gene suggesting a wide range of expression in various tissues. This was also shown by tissue Northern blot analyses and can be seen accordingly in microarray databases like the Gene Expression Atlas (http://symatlas. gnf.org/SymAtlas/). Whereas stomatin transcripts were readily identified in most organs and tissues, expression in the brain was comparatively low (Unfried et al. 1995). The mRNA comes in two sizes, differing by 1 kb in the 3'-UTR, but the biological relevance of these two transcripts has not been determined. There are several binding sites for GATA-1 and other haematopoietic transcription factors within the

distal 1 kb region and therefore it may play a role by enhancing stomatin expression in haematopoietic cells (Unfried *et al.* 1995). To produce a knock-out mouse, the mouse gene and transcripts were analysed and found to be very similar to the human gene (Gallagher *et al.* 1995b, 1996); the wide tissue distribution was also seen in the mouse (Gallagher *et al.* 1995b, 1996; Schlegel *et al.* 1996). The knock-out mouse did not show a major phenotype, it was normal in appearance, activity, fertility, and red cell morphology (Zhu *et al.* 1999), however, recently it was found to react more strongly than the wild-type mouse when exposed to the volatile anaesthetic diethyl ether (Sedensky *et al.* 2006).

#### Stomatin orthologues and homologues

Stomatin orthologues and related proteins are widely distributed in the animal and plant kingdoms and are even found in bacteria and archaea, as revealed by genome and transcriptome analyses. In man and mouse, stomatin is widely expressed in various tissues, as described above, whereas in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, all stomatins are restricted to neurons (Zhang *et al.* 2004) indicating a broader functional spectrum in vertebrates.

In plants, two stomatin-like proteins have been described, one in Zea mays (Nadimpalli et al. 2000) and one in Arabidopsis thaliana (Borner et al. 2005). Both proteins are members of the large PID (proliferation, ion, death) superfamily that also contains plant defense response proteins like the HIR (hypersensitive induced reaction) proteins. The plant stomatins are not orthologues but similar to the human stomatin-like protein 2 (SLP-2) that is described below. More distantly related proteins, flotillin-1 and prohibitins were also identified in plants (Nadimpalli et al. 2000; Borner et al. 2005). The genome of the baker's yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae does not contain any stomatin homologue whereas Schizosaccharomyces pombe contains an orthologue of SLP-2. A stomatin-like protein (slp) of the soil bacterium Rhizobium etli was described to be required for nodulation competitiveness on the common bean (You et al. 1998). In the hyperthermophilic archeon Pyrococcus horikoshii, a novel thermostable protease, nfed (nodulation formation efficiency D), was found to form an operon with a stomatin homologue that appears to be a prokaryotic orthologue (Yokoyama et al. 2005). It is hypothesized that the cleavage of the C-terminal region of stomatin by the protease leads to the opening of an ion channel. This hypothesis is based on a previous study by G.W. Stewart (Green et al. 2004) who described the occurrence of the nfedstomatin operon in 19 prokaryotic organisms.

The *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome contains 10 sto-matin-related genes (Bargmann 1998). Some gene products are highly similar to human stomatin and show little variation between them. Therefore, it is not clear which might represent "the" orthologue. Three stomatin-like genes have been identified within a group of selected genes, in studies originally started by Sydney Brenner (Brenner 1974; Park et al. 1986), which are responsible for neurological disorders like mechanosensory defects (MEC-2) and uncoordinated movement (UNC-1 and UNC-24). Whereas UNC-1 could be denoted as a stomatin orthologue, MEC-2 and UNC-24 are markedly different by extending their structure at one or both termini. Within these terminal regions there are specific domains that enable these proteins to interact with microtubules and ion channels (MEC-2), or lipids (UNC-24). The stomatin orthologue UNC-1 plays a role by controlling the worm's sensitivity to volatile anaesthetics (Rajaram *et al.* 1998, 1999) suggesting an association with an ion channel. UNC-1 was shown to associate with UNC-24 (Sedensky *et al.* 2001) and the degenerin channel UNC-8 (Sedensky et al. 2004). A mechanosensory complex in C. elegans touch receptor neurons has been elucidated containing MEC-2, MEC-6, UNC-24, and components of the degenerin (DEG)/ epithelial Na<sup>+</sup> channel (ENaC) family, MEC-4 and MEC-10 (Huang *et al.* 1995; Goodman *et al.* 2002; Zhang et al. 2004; O'Hagan et al. 2005). Moreover,

STOMATIN	25GLGFC	GWILVAESFLFTVITF	PISIMMCIKIIKEYERAII	FRLGRIL <mark>ØG</mark> GAKGPGL
SLP-3	24RLGVC	GWILFSLSFLLVIITF	PISIMMCIKIIKEYERAVVI	FRLGRIØADKAKGPGL
PODOCIN	93 TKSSGLGAC	EWILVLISLEIIMTF	ESIMFCVKVVQEYERVII	FRLGRIRTPØGPGM
SLP-1	50WPSCLC	HGLISFLGFLLLVTF	PISGMFALKIVPTYERMIV	FRLGRIRTPØGPGM
SLP-2	22	GRAPRRASS	GLPRNTVVLFVPQQEAMVVI	FRIGRFHRILEPGL
MEC-2	115 <mark>G</mark> VC	GWILTILSYLLIFFTL	FISACMCIKVVQEYERAVI)	FRLGRLMPG <mark>G</mark> AKGPGI
UNC-1	29 IF	GYALQALSWILIIVTF	PFSMCVCLKVIK <mark>BYERVVI</mark> )	FRIGRLV <mark>F</mark> G <mark>G</mark> ARGPGM
STOMATIN	81 FEILPOIDS	FIKVD-MRTISFDIPP	QEILTKDSVTISVDGVVYY	RUQNATLAVANITNAD
SLP-3	80 ILVLPCIDV	FVKVD-LRTVTCNIPP	QEILTRDSVTTQVDGVVYY	RIYSAVSAVANVNDVH
PODOCIN	153 FEFLPCLD	YHKVD-LRTVTCNIPP	HEI <mark>Y</mark> TKDMFIMEIDAICYY	RVENASILISSLAHVS
SLP-1	106 VILLFFIDS	FQRVD-LRTRAFNVPF	CKLASKDGAVISVGADVQFI	RIWDPVLSVMTVKDLN
SLP-2	65 NILIPVLDR	RIRYVQSLKEIVINVF	QSAVTIDNVTLQIDGVLYL	RIMDPYKASYGVEDPE
MEC-2	169 FFIVPCID	YRKVD <mark>-LR</mark> VLSFE <mark>V</mark> PP	QEILSKD <mark>S</mark> VTV <mark>A</mark> VDAVVYFI	RISNATISVTNVEDAA
UNC-1	83 I <mark>FIIPCID</mark> T	YRKID-LRVVSY <mark>AVPP</mark>	QEILSKDSVTVS <mark>VDA</mark> VVYFI	RTSDPIASVNNVDDAI
STOMATIN	140 SATRLLAQT	TLRNVLGTKNLSQILS	DREELAHNMOSTLDDATDA	GIKVERVEIKDVKL
SLP-3	139 QATFLLAQT	TLRNVLGTQTLSQILA	SREELAHSIOTLLDDATSL	WGIRVARVEIKDVRIP
PODOCIN	212 KAVQFLVQT	TMKRLLAHRSLTEILI	SIKSTAODAKVALDSVTCI	WGIKVERIEIKDVRLP
SLP-1	165 TATRMTAQN	TMKRLLAHRSLTEILI	SKLKISDOLLEINDVTRA	WGIEVIRVELAVEAVL
SLP-2	125 YAVTQLAQT	TMRSELGKLSLDKVFR	SRESUNASIVDAINOAADC	WGIRCLRYEIKDIHVP
MEC-2	228 RS <mark>TKLLAQ</mark> T	TLRNILGTKTLAEMLS	dreaishqmqttidbatep	NGVKVERVEVKDVRLP
UNC-1	142 YS <mark>TKLLAQ</mark> T	TLRNALGMKTLTEMLT	Ereaiaqlcetildegteh	
STOMATIN	200 VQLQRAMAA	EAEASREARAKVIAAE	GEMNASRALKEA	SMVITESPAALQLRYL
SLP-3	199 VQLQRSMAA	EAEATREARAKVIAAE	GEMNASKSLKSA	SMVIAESPIALQLRYL
PODOCIN	272 AGLQHSLAV	EAEACRCAKVEMIAAE	AEKAASESLRMA	AEILSGTPAAVQLRYL
SLP-1	225 QPFQDSFAG	PNLDSTLQQLAIHFLG	GSMNSMAGGAPSPGPADTVI	SMVSEVEPPAPQVGAR
SLP-2	185 PRVKESMQM	IQVEAERRKRATVIESE	GTRESAINVAEGKKÇA	QITASPAEKAEQINQA
MEC-2	288 VQLQRAMAA	EARAAREARAKVIV <mark>ae</mark>	3ªQK−−−−−ASRªLKEA	AEVIAESPSALQLRYL
UNC-1	202 QQL <mark>T</mark> RAMAA	EAEAA <mark>REARAKVVAAE</mark>	GEQK−−−−−ASRALKEA	ADVIQAN <mark>EVALQLR</mark> HL
STOMATIN SLP-3 PODOCIN SLP-1 SLP-2	253 <b>QTLT</b> 252 <b>QTL</b> S 325 H <b>T</b> Q 285 S <b>S</b> PK 242 AGEASAV <mark>I</mark> A	TIAAEKNSTUFFIFI TVATEKNSTIVFFIFM SISTEKFSTVVIFIFF QFIAEGLITAIQFFIS KAKAKAEAIRILAAAI	DMLQGIICAKHSHLG NILECIGGVSYDNHKI DLLNCLSSPSNRTQG ALVSQVGACYQFNV TQHNCDAAASLTVAEQYVS)	KLPNKA SLEFPSPSKPVEPLNP /LESGTQSAYFLDLTT AFSKLAKDSNTILLPS
MEC-2	341 g <mark>TL</mark> N	SI <mark>SAEKNSTIIFF</mark> FFI	DL <mark>L</mark> SAFLQRTPPKVEI	SP <mark>E</mark> SLPKKIRSCC <mark>L</mark> YK
UNC-1	255 gA <mark>r</mark> N	SI <mark>AAE</mark> HNSTIVFPV <mark>F</mark> V	EMFGAFMKKDQ	
36.04			SLP-2_(NP_038470.1)	
		14.31	stomatin_(NP_004090.4)	
8.36	11.13	14.31	SLP-3_(NP_660329.1)	

Fig. 1 Stomatin-like proteins. (A) Multiple sequence alignment of the human family members stomatin, SLP-1, SLP-2, SLP-3, and podocin, compared with C. elegans members MEC-2 and UNC-1. Amino acid exchanges that were reported to cause a phenotype in vivo and in vitro are marked in red. Note that most mutations involve highly conserved residues. These mutants are summarized in Table 1. Data base accession numbers for the sequences are shown in (B), except for MEC-2: Q27433 (SwissProt) and UNC-1: Q21190 (SwissProt). (B) Neighbour joining tree of the human stomatin family members. The high similarity of stomatin to SLP-3 is evident. A larger evolutionary distance is seen between stomatin and podocin, SLP-1, and SLP-2.

В

Α



it was recently shown that MEC-2 binds and requires cholesterol to associate with and activate the DEG/ENaC channel in a large supercomplex (Huber et al. 2006).

# The stomatin family and SPFH/PHB superfamily

The core region of stomatin was found to be conserved not only within the stomatin family but also in the prohibitins, flotillins/reggies, the bacterial HflC/K proteins (Tavernarakis et al. 1999), and most recently the erlins (Browman et al. 2006). The common domain was termed SPFH domain

(Tavernarakis et al. 1999; Rivera-Milla et al. 2006) and, more recently, prohibitin homology (PHB) domain (http:// smart.embl-heidelberg.de) (Morrow et al. 2005). The mammalian stomatin family comprises five proteins: stomatin, the stomatin-like proteins (SLPs) SLP-1, SLP-2, SLP-3, and podocin (Fig. 1). SLP-1, the orthologue of UNC-24, carries a non-specific lipid transfer protein (nsLTP)/sterol carrier protein-2 (SCP2) domain at the C-terminus suggesting a role in intracellular cholesterol/lipid transfer and transport (Seidel et al. 1998; Wang et al. 2000). SLP-2 is the only stomatin-like protein that lacks a hydrophobic domain for membrane anchoring and is therefore a peripheral membrane protein (Wang *et al.* 2000). Apparently, it has an essential biological function, because SLP-2 knock-out mice are not viable (Seifert *et al.* 2006). SLP-3, also known as stomatin-related olfactory protein (SRO), is the SLP with the highest similarity to stomatin (Kobayakawa *et al.* 2002). It was recently shown that SLP-3 knock-out mice have a strongly reduced touch sensation, in accordance with the worm's mec null phenotypes (Wetzel *et al.* 2007). Podocin is specifically expressed in kidney podocytes where it is part of a multiprotein complex associated with the slit-diaphragm of the glomerular filtration barrier (Benzing 2004). Mutations in podocin (*NPHS2* gene) lead to a congenital nephrotic syndrome (Huber *et al.* 2003).

The flotillin/reggie family (Langhorst *et al.* 2005; Morrow *et al.* 2005; Stuermer *et al.* 2005) is distantly related to the stomatins and has a common N-terminal SPFH/PHB domain containing two short hydrophobic regions and several palmitoylation sites that anchor these proteins to the cytoplasmic side of the membrane (Morrow *et al.* 2002; Liu *et al.* 2005). Additional anchoring for flotillin-2/reggie-1 is provided by N-terminal myristoylation (Neumann-Giesen *et al.* 2004). The distal C-terminal half of the flotillins contains the Flotillin domain that is responsible for oligomeric complex formation (Neumann-Giesen *et al.* 2007).

The prohibitins PHB1 and PHB2 (BAP37) are localized to the inner mitochondrial membrane where they form large hetero-oligomeric complexes that act as a novel type of membrane-bound chaperone (Nijtmans *et al.* 2002). Similarly, the recently discovered erlins (Browman *et al.* 2006) that are homologous to the prohibitins appear to be oligomeric and may have a related function at the cytoplasmic side of the endoplasmic reticulum. The bacterial HflC and HflK proteins form a hetero-dimer (HflKC) that is associated with the ATP-dependent and membrane-attached protease FtsH forming a complex that is now termed FtsH holo-enzyme. It is postulated that 6 FtsH holo-enzyme subunits form a hexameric complex (Saikawa *et al.* 2004).

# STOMATIN STRUCTURE

# Monotopic membrane protein structure

When the topology of band 7 membrane protein/stomatin of the red cell membrane was studied by proteolytic digestion or radiolabelling, it became clear that the major part of this protein is oriented toward the cytoplasm (**Fig. 2A**) (HieblDirschmied et al. 1991a). The primary structure deduced from the cDNA sequence revealed a highly charged N-terminal region (24 residues) followed by a 29-residue hydrophobic region, that is the putative membrane anchoring do-main, and the C-terminal bulk of the protein (Hiebl-Dirschmied et al. 1991b). According to this structure, the cAMPdependent phosphorylation of stomatin (Hiebl-Dirschmied et al. 1991a; Wang et al. 1991) was thought to affect the cytoplasmic C-terminal region. Surprisingly, we identified Ser-9 as the phosphorylation site (Salzer et al. 1993), a residue thought to face the extracellular side. Thus, it became clear that both the N- and C-terminus are oriented toward the cytoplasm and that stomatin has a monotopic membrane protein structure (Salzer et al. 1993). Further evidence for this structure came later by immunofluorescence microscopy studies showing that the monoclonal antibody against the N-terminus of stomatin, GARP-50 (Hiebl-Dirschmied et al. 1991a), is only binding after permeabilization of the cells (Snyers et al. 1997). Moreover, the identification of the palmitoylation sites, Cys-29 and Cys-86 that provide additional anchoring, is also in accordance with the monotopic structure (Snyers et al. 1999b). The monotopic structure is also a hallmark of caveolin-1 (Dupree et al. 1993; Monier et al. 1995) and the stomatin-like proteins podocin (Boute et al. 2000) and MEC-2 (Huang et al. 1995). Flotillin/reggie proteins are also monotopic integral membrane proteins, as shown in Fig. 2A, however, because of their shorter hydrophobic segments they are thought to rely more on the fatty acyl residues for membrane anchoring (Morrow et al. 2002; Neumann-Giesen et al. 2004).

In contrast to stomatins and flotillins, the prohibitins and related bacterial HflKC proteins are transmembrane proteins with apparently different structures (Nijtmans *et al.* 2002; Saikawa *et al.* 2004).

#### **Oligomeric structure**

When stomatin is isolated from cell membranes by solubilization and density gradient centrifugation, high-order homooligomers can be identified (Snyers *et al.* 1998). These oligomers have molecular masses of about 300 kDa, comprising 9-12 monomers when isolated from epithelial cell membranes and up to about 600 kDa (about 20 monomers) from red cell membranes (Salzer *et al.* 2001). The stomatinlike protein podocin was estimated to form oligomers as large as 20 to 50 monomers (Huber *et al.* 2006). Immunoprecipitation of stomatin oligomeric complexes did not reveal any additional proteins that might be specifically bound



**Fig. 2 Stomatin monotopic structure.** (A) Proteolytic assessment of stomatin and flotillin topology. Human erythrocytes were digested with the highly active, unspecific proteinase K (+), washed, and analysed by Western blotting as indicated. A control, incubated without protease (-), is shown for comparison. Neither stomatin nor the flotillins were digested indicating their cytoplasmic orientation and monotopic structure. In contrast, band 3 protein, a transmembrane protein, was digested. (B) Schematic model of the human stomatin structure. Characteristic features are shown, the cAMP-dependent phosphorylation site (P), green ball; "IM", the 29-residue putative intramembrane domain; the juxtamembrane CRAC (cholesterol recognition/interaction amino acid consensus)-like motif, underlined in green, proposed to bind cholesterol; palmitoylation at Cys-29 and Cys-86 is indicated by zigzags. The characteristic PHB/SPFH-domain is shown in red. There are three C-terminal motifs/domains: an EA-repeat (EA) possibly involved in coiled-coil formation; a characterized domain necessary for oligomerization and lipid raft-association (ORA), and an overlapping PDZ-binding motif (PDZ). These motifs are indicated by green lines.

to these homo-oligomers (our unpublished data). The mechanism of oligomer formation is still not understood, neither for stomatins, nor caveolins or flotillins. It is clear, however, that the stomatin chains are not covalently linked, because they are readily identified by SDS-PAGE (Snyers et al. 1998; Salzer et al. 2001). We have recently identified a C-terminal hydrophobic region of stomatin (residues 264-272) that is essential for oligomerization (Umlauf et al. 2006) but not sufficient. The N-terminus is not involved, because an N-terminal truncation mutant readily forms oligomers. Clearly, an intact membrane anchoring domain is also necessary for oligomerization by providing the proper orientation and conformation for the lateral association at the membrane. In addition, a conserved C-terminal EArepeat coiled-coil region, which is necessary for flotillin/ reggie oligomerization (Langhorst et al. 2005), may also be involved in stomatin oligomerization. Flotillins form stable tetramers as basic units via coiled-coil domains that are resistant to 8 M urea (Solis et al. 2007). Caveolin-1 oligomers are partially resistant to cold SDS (Monier et al. 1995). SDS-resistant stomatin dimers are occasionally observed (our unpublished data) and chemical cross-linking predominantly yields stable dimers but also small amounts of trimers and higher oligomers (Hiebl-Dirschmied et al. 1991a; Snyers et al. 1998; Suchanek et al. 2005). Therefore, the dimer may represent the basic unit of stomatin oligomers. In general, the minimal requirements for oligomerization are two interacting sites to create a simple linear structure. This can be envisaged for podocin, because homophilic interactions of the N- and C-termini have been described (Huber et al. 2003). For caveolin-1, several interaction domains have been identified and a model of laterally associated oligomers forming a network has been proposed (Song et al. 1997; Schlegel et al. 2000). An alternative model favours the formation of filaments consisting of heptameric caveolin-1 subunits (Fernandez et al. 2002). These filaments are proposed to form the striated coat of caveolae. It may be speculated that similar coats might also be generated by the flotillin/reggie proteins and stomatin at non-caveolar membrane domains.

Oligomeric complexes have also been described for the prohibitins (Nijtmans *et al.* 2002), erlins (Browman *et al.* 2006), and HflKC (Saikawa *et al.* 2004).

# Association with lipid rafts

Lipid rafts are dynamic cholesterol- and sphingolipid-rich membrane microdomains that are preferentially associated with GPI-anchored proteins, palmitoylated proteins like caveolin-1, and other lipid-modified proteins like src-family kinases (Simons et al. 1997, 2004). For biochemical studies of lipid rafts, often the chemical characteristics of cholesterol- and sphingolipid containing membrane domains are utilized, which are the low solubility in the non-ionic detergent Triton X-100 at 0-4°C and the flotation to low density upon density gradient centrifugation (Brown et al. 1992). Although it is clear that the detergent-resistant membranes (DRMs) are different from native membrane microdomains (Brown 2006), the analysis of DRMs may allow some relevant insight (Foster et al. 2003). Given the topological and biochemical similarity of stomatin with the raft marker caveolin-1 (Simons et al. 1997; Kurzchalia et al. 1999), we tested whether stomatin might also associate with DRMs or rafts. When cell membranes are solubilized with Triton X-100 at a low temperature and centrifuged, a large part of stomatin is found in the pellet fraction containing the DRMs and cytoskeleton. On density step gradient centrifugation of the pellet, stomatin floats to the low density region along with typical raft constituents, cholesterol, sphingolipids, and GPI-anchored proteins (Snyers et al. 1999a; Salzer et al. 2001). Stomatin was also found to be a representative component of lipid rafts by a different approach utilizing the cholesterol-dependency of lipid rafts (Foster et al. 2003). In a cell biological approach, using cell culture and immunofluorescence microscopy, we studied the copatching of the lipid raft-associated GPI-anchored PLAP and stomatin (Snyers *et al.* 1999a). When PLAP is crosslinked on the cell surface by anti-PLAP antibody, it forms aggregates that are seen as patches. Interestingly, although stomatin is located at the cytoplasmic side of the membrane it co-localizes with PLAP in these patches. This co-patching of exo- and endocytic proteins is thought to be mediated by rafts and is just one strong argument in favour of the lipid raft hypothesis.

The characteristic association of stomatin with rafts apparently applies for all stomatin-like proteins, except SLP-2 (Foster *et al.* 2003), which lacks a hydrophobic intramembrane domain (Wang *et al.* 2000). The *C. elegans* stomatin homologues UNC-1 and UNC-24 have been identified in worm DRMs (Sedensky *et al.* 2004) and SLP-1, the human orthologue of UNC-24, is also DRM-associated (M. Mairhofer, manuscript submitted). SLP-3 is found in nerve cell DRMs (Kobayakawa *et al.* 2002) and podocin forms complexes in podocyte DRMs (Schwarz *et al.* 2001). Other SPFH/PHB family members are also associated with DRMs, particularly the flotillin/reggie proteins (Bickel *et al.* 1997; Lang *et al.* 1998), which are often used as lipid raft markers, but also the newly discovered erlins (Browman *et al.* 2006).

#### Interaction domains and motifs

# Phosphorylation

Human stomatin is phosphorylated at Ser-9 (mature protein numbering) by a cAMP-dependent protein kinase (Salzer *et al.* 1993). This result led us to conclude that stomatin is a monotopic membrane protein (**Fig. 2B**) and not bitopic as predicted. The relevance of this previously highly emphasized phosphorylation reaction is not clear. Because Ser-9 is only found in human stomatin but not in mouse (Schlegel *et al.* 1996) or other mammalian stomatins, it is probably not of general importance.

# Palmitoylation

Palmitoylation of cysteine residues has an important effect on the structure, localization, and function of a protein. Stomatin contains 3 cysteine residues at position 29, 52, and 86. For metabolic labelling studies, we mutated each of these cysteines to serine, individually and in combination, and expressed them in stable cell lines except for the triple mutant, which was instable (Snyers et al. 1999b). Cys-29, which is located in front of the intramembrane (IM) domain, is the major palmitoylation site, with Cys-86 being partially palmitoylated. Cys-52, which is located immediately after the IM domain, apparently is not palmitoylated; however, mass spectrometric data of peptides from endogenous stomatin indicate that Cys-52 is also palmitoylated (our unpublished data). When expressed, all the mutants are correctly targeted to the plasma membrane (Snyers et al. 1999b) but probably due to oligomeric complex formation with endogenous stomatin.

#### The intramembrane domain

This long, hydrophobic domain near the N-terminus (**Fig. 2B**) comprises 29 residues, 6 of them aromatic. Two lysine residues, Lys-24 and Lys-54 mark the domain's boundaries and 2 cysteines are flanking this domain: Cys-29, which is palmitoylated, and Cys-52, which may also be palmitoylated (see above). Two flanking tryptophans, Trp-31 and Trp-50, may provide additional anchoring at the membrane/ aqueous interphase, as often found in transmembrane domains. A conserved proline residue, Pro-46, is located in the C-terminal part of the IM domain and is necessary for cholesterol binding, as shown for MEC-2 and podocin (Huber *et al.* 2006). Stomatin and stomatin-like proteins are thought to be anchored to the cytoplasmic membrane with a hairpin-like structure, like the caveolins (Monier *et al.* 1995; Parton *et al.* 2006). Hydrophobic, helical hairpins can be formed

from a minimum of 31 residues with two 14-residue helices and an intervening 3-residue turn preferentially containing a proline residue (Monne et al. 1999). Regarding stomatin, such a structure could only be formed, if Lys-54 was neutralized by modification or ion-pair/complex formation. Alternatively, a partially embedded helix would be conceivable, as revealed by the structure of prostaglandin H<sub>2</sub> synthase-1 (Picot et al. 1994). Within the IM domain of stomatin there are 4 Ser/Thr residues, located on one side of the putative  $\alpha$ -helix, thus forming an amphipathic helix that may be partially inserted in the membrane. Because stomatin has been identified on the surface of lipid droplets (Umlauf et al. 2004), which are surrounded by a phospholipid monolayer, we postulate that this IM domain is inserted only into the cytoplasmic face of bilayer membranes. Although the insertion of the IM domain into the droplet oil phase is conceivable, we think that a model with one, possibly kinked, a-helix embedded horizontally in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the membrane (Fig. 2B) is more likely.

# The CRAC-like motif

A juxtamembrane segment at the C-terminal side of the IM domain (**Fig. 1**, **Fig. 2B**) with the sequence IKEYER is similar to a cholesterol recognition/interaction amino acid consensus (CRAC) motif, which has the consensus L/V-X<sub>1</sub>.  $_5$ -Y-X<sub>1-5</sub>-R/K (Epand *et al.* 2006). Although in the sequence there is an isoleucine instead of leucine/valine, there is a good chance that this motif actually binds cholesterol, because preliminary results from labelling experiments using several stomatin truncation mutants and activatable cholesterol are in good agreement with this location (E. Umlauf, C. Thiele *et al.* unpublished data). This CRAC-like motif and putative cholesterol-binding segment may be partly responsible for stomatin's partitioning into cholesterol-rich domains. An identical motif is found in SLP-3 (**Fig. 1**), and true CRAC motifs are present in MEC-2, SLP-1, and podocin.

# The SPFH/PHB domain

The SPFH domain has been recognized as a common domain of several protein families, namely the stomatins, prohibitins, flotillins, and HflKC proteins (Tavernarakis et al. 1999), and has been implicated in regulating targeted protein turnover in stomatins and other membrane-associated proteins, because of the respective functions of prohibitins and the bacterial HflKC proteins. Such a function, regarding stomatins and flotillins, has not been verified since. Recently, the SPFH domain has become more popular by the name prohibitin homology (PHB) domain (http://smart. embl-heidelberg.de) and has been reviewed extensively, particularly in the context of flotillin/reggie proteins (Langhorst et al. 2005; Morrow et al. 2005; Stuermer et al. 2005; Rivera-Milla et al. 2006). This domain is now recognized as a scaffolding domain with an affinity for cholesterol and membrane lipids in general, often combined with a palmitoylated cysteine residue (Morrow et al. 2002). SPFH/PHB proteins are thus prone to oligomerize and associate with lipid microdomains leading to the formation of large protein-lipid complexes. The structure of a shortened SPFH/ PHB domain of mouse flotillin-2 has been studied by NMR (Protein Data Bank accession number 1WIN) and revealed a compact, ellipsoid-globular shape that is formed by  $\beta$ sheet and  $\alpha$ -helical structures.

# The C-terminal interaction domain

The C-terminus of stomatin is necessary for homo-oligomerization and lipid raft-association, as determined by density gradient centrifugation methods (Snyers *et al.* 1998, 1999a). Systematic truncation and amino acid exchange within the C-terminal region has shown that a stretch of 9 amino acids (residues 264-272) is required for oligomerization (Umlauf *et al.* 2006), with partial requirement of the flanking residues (residues 262-275). Within this region, we identified 3 amino acids (266-268), Ile-Val-Phe, to be necessary for the association with DRMs. Possibly, the aromatic ring of Phe may laterally interact with cholesterol in the membrane. The adjacent residue Pro-269 is not essential for DRM-association but is clearly required for oligomer formation. This result suggests that oligomerization and DRM association may be independent processes (Umlauf et al. 2006). The partial requirement of downstream residues 272-275 for oligomerization may also suggest that a PDZ3 binding motif, Ile-Asp-Met-Leu, may participate in oligomerization. Multi-PDZ proteins may thus bind to several stomatin molecules and create large complexes. Upstream of the oligomerization and raft-association (ORA) domain there is an EA-repeat region predicted to form a coiled-coil structure that may interact to form dimers and possibly trimers. EA-repeats are particularly prominent and extended in the flotillin domain of flotillin/reggie proteins (Langhorst et al. 2005; Rivera-Milla et al. 2006) and are essential for flotillin oligomerization (Neumann-Giesen et al. 2004; Solis et al. 2007).

Loss of the C-terminal interaction domain, as shown by deletion of residues 263-287, leads to the loss of oligomerization and lipid raft association (Umlauf *et al.* 2006). When we studied the lateral mobility of stomatin-GFP in the plasma membrane by FRAP analysis and compared it with the truncation mutant stomatin(1-262)-GFP, the mutant showed a significantly higher mobility (Umlauf *et al.* 2006). This indicates that the C-terminal interaction domain binds to large structures at the plasma membrane like the cytoskeleton and/or large cholesterol-rich complexes.

#### **STOMATIN FUNCTION**

#### Stomatin localization and dynamics

Apart from being a major erythrocyte membrane protein, it was immediately recognized that this protein is widely expressed in many tissues and cell types (Hiebl-Dirschmied et al. 1991a; Wang et al. 1991; Stewart et al. 1992b). In nucleated cells, stomatin is found in two pools, the plasma membrane and the juxtanuclear vesicle region (Snyers et al. 1997). This distribution is found in epithelial cells (UAC, MDCK, HepG2), fibroblasts (HeLa), and endothelial cells (HUVEC). At the plasma membrane, stomatin is concentrated in protrusions or microvilli and associated with actin microfilaments (Snyers et al. 1997), probably not directly but via adaptor proteins. The juxtanuclear stomatin-positive vesicles co-localize substantially with markers of the late endosomal/lysosomal compartment, particularly LAMP-2, in the epithelial cell lines UAC and MDCK (Snyers *et al.* 1999a) but also in HUVEC and HeLa cells (our unpublished data). When GPI-anchored proteins like PLAP and the membrane folate receptor  $MFR\alpha$  are cross-linked on the surface of UAC cells by respective antibodies, they are internalised and partially co-localize with stomatin (Snyers et al. 1999a). Although the GPI-anchored proteins and stomatin are located at opposite sides of the membrane, they are co-endocytosed and traffic together via early endosomes to the late endosomal compartment. Thus, stomatin can be seen as a coat protein of these endosomes. The association of stomatin with PLAP in lipid microdomains is also demonstrated by co-patching, as already discussed in the topic "Association with lipid rafts".

When MDCK cells are grown on filter and polarize, the majority of stomatin is localized to the apical plasma membrane, in contrast to the major juxtanuclear localization in unpolarized cells (Snyers *et al.* 1999a). This is not unexpected, because the apical membrane is characterized by a high proportion of ordered lipid phase or lipid rafts and stomatin associates with rafts. The trafficking pathways leading to the concentration of stomatin at the apical membrane are currently unknown; however, it is conceivable that stomatin-containing late or recycling endosomes are targeted to the apical membrane.

Overexpression of stomatin in several cell types led to

the targeting of this protein to lipid droplets (LDs), similar to the caveolins (van Meer 2001), particularly in the presence of oleic acid (Umlauf et al. 2004). LDs are thought to be generated in the bilayer of the endoplasmic reticulum membrane by incorporation of triglycerides or cholesteryl esters and budding at the cytoplasmic side (Brown 2001; Martin et al. 2006). The role of stomatin in this process is unknown, however, it is interesting that stomatin-positive vesicles are vividly interacting with these LDs, as seen by live microscopy (Umlauf et al. 2004), suggesting that stomatin is involved in intracellular lipid transport. The dynamic vesicles are most probably late endosomes or lysosomes, because stomatin-positive acidic vesicles are attached to LDs (Umlauf et al. 2004). This interaction suggests that late endosomes are involved in the turnover of LDs, a general topic that has not yet been investigated thoroughly.

Stomatin is strongly expressed in haematopoietic cells of the myeloid lineage. Originally found in mature erythrocytes, it is also expressed in erythroid precursor cells like K562 and reticulocytes. In reticulocytes, stomatin is located at the plasma membrane and in the exosomes of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) (de Gassart et al. 2003), which belong to the late endocytic compartment. These exosomes are rich in transferrin receptor but also in typical lipid raft-associated components like stomatin, flotillin, src-family kinases, and GM1 that can be floated to low buoyant density (de Gassart et al. 2003). This is in accordance with the finding that most of the endocytic cholesterol is contained in internal vesicles of MVBs (Mobius et al. 2003). In thrombocytes, stomatin is highly expressed and mainly found in  $\alpha$ granules but not at the plasma membrane (Mairhofer et al. 2002). After activation, when the  $\alpha$ -granules fuse with the plasma membrane and release the contents, stomatin is also found at the plasma membrane (Fig. 3). Therefore, stomatin can be seen as an  $\alpha$ -granular coat protein that may be involved in targeting to and fusion with the plasma membrane. Similarly, neutrophils contain large amounts of stomatin on the membranes of diverse granules but not at the plasma membrane (Feuk-Lagerstedt et al. 2002), whereas activation leads to the transport of these granules to the periphery and fusion with the plasma membrane (Fig. 3). The high concentration of stomatin on the granular membranes may



**Fig. 3 Stomatin in granules of platelets and neutrophils.** Platelets and neutrophilic granulocytes (PMNs) were isolated from whole blood and seeded onto coverslips coated with poly-L-lysine (platelets) or fibronectin (neutrophils). The cells were either fixed immediately with 4% formalde-hyde or activated with the indicated agonists for 5 minutes at 37°C before fixation. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 and indirect immunofluorescence was performed with the monoclonal anti-stomatin antibody GARP-50 and a secondary, AlexaFluor488-conjugated antimouse antibody (Molecular Probes). Note the major staining of cytoplasmic granules in resting cells in contrast to the plasma membrane staining, particularly in filopodia, after activation. PMA, phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate.

provide an extra stability and tightness to these membranes, similar to the tight shielding of the apical membrane, that may be needed to securely store cytotoxic enzymes and chemicals.

Similar to the C. elegans homologues, mammalian stomatin and SLP-3 have been identified in neurons. All sensory neurons of the mouse dorsal root ganglia express stomatin that is located in spots on somatic and axonal membranes (Mannsfeldt et al. 1999). This result suggests that stomatin may play a role in a putative vertebrate mechanotransduction complex. Similarly, stomatin mRNA was found to be co-expressed with  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -ENaC in rat trigeminal mechanosensory neurons, consistent with a possible role in mechanotransduction (Fricke 2000). SLP-3, also known as stomatin-related olfactory protein (SRO), is expressed in olfactory sensory neurons (Kobayakawa et al. 2002). Moreover, the SLP-3 knockout mouse shows an impaired response to mechanical stimuli, in accordance with the worm's mec-2 null phenotype, supporting the idea that SLP-3 is an essential subunit of a mammalian mechano-transducer (Wetzel et al. 2007). Mammalian stomatin may play a role in controlling the sensitivity to volatile anaesthetics, because the stomatin knock-out mice showed an increase in sensitivity to diethyl ether, as revealed by tail clamp responses (Sedensky et al. 2006). Human stomatin may also interact with ENaC channels in the airway epithelium. It was identified in the ciliated cells, with the strongest signal along the cilia and the membrane of microvilli. Stomatin is suggested to play a role as a membrane-associated mechanotransducer in the control of ciliary motility (Fricke et al. 2003b).

The kidney-specific podocin is DRM-associated and oligomeric and interacts with the proteins CD2AP and nephrin to form a complex at the glomerular slit diaphragm of podocytes (Schwarz et al. 2001). It binds cholesterol and interacts with the transient receptor potential C channel protein TRPC6 in a large cholesterol-rich supercomplex (Huber et al. 2006). Interestingly, it requires cholesterol to regulate the activity of TRPC channels. Similarly, the C. elegans homologue MEC-2 binds cholesterol and interacts with DEG/ ENaC channels in large supercomplexes and needs cholesterol for the activation of mechanosensation (Huber et al. 2006). In contrast, the peripheral membrane protein SLP-2 is a protein of the inner mitochondrial membrane, similar to the prohibitins, forming an oligomeric complex with the mitochondrial fusion mediator mitofusin 2 (Hajek et al. 2007). Interestingly, SLP-2 up-regulation has been described in various forms of cancer and in premalignant lesions thereby possibly serving as a marker for early detection of specific cancer types (Zhang et al. 2006). In vivo and in vitro transfection experiments with sense and antisense SLP-2 indicated that this protein is involved in cell proliferation and endometrial tumorigenesis (Zhang et al. 2006; Cui et al. 2007). Moreover, these studies also implicate a role of SLP-2 in cell adhesion. SLP-2 was partly found at the plasma membrane co-localizing with fibronectin 1 and it was suggested to serve as a transmembrane linker between the extracellular matrix and the cytoskeleton. In erythrocytes, SLP-2 was initially found as a peripheral plasma membrane protein associated with the cytoskeleton (Wang et al. 2000).

# Dynamics of stomatin-specific lipid rafts

## Segregation of rafts in the red cell membrane

Erythrocytes have often served as the cellular model system to address new questions in membrane biology, because the protein and lipid composition of the erythrocyte membrane is relatively simple and well characterized. The membrane cytoskeleton consists of a hexagonal lattice of spectrin tetramers that are interconnected by short actin filaments. Due to the lack of an active actin polymerisation machinery in the mature erythrocyte, the cytoskeletal activity is restricted to reversible binding to integral membrane proteins or lipids. Therefore, dynamic membrane processes have to be explained by the inherent properties of the membrane bilayer and the integral protein and lipid components. The bilayer couple hypothesis provides a good model for erythrocyte cell shape transformations due to the surface expansion of one of the membrane leaflets (Sheetz et al. 1974). On the other hand, segregation processes of membrane components must be considered to be mainly driven by the physical properties of the lipid components. Both, membrane component segregation and echinocytic cell shape transformation take place upon rise of cytosolic calcium, for instance by treatment with the ionophore A23187. Stomatin is enriched at the tips of the echinocytic membrane protrusions (Hagerstrand et al. 2006) and does no longer co-localize with actin filaments (Fig. 4). In accordance, stomatin is also enriched in microvesicles (about 180 nm in diameter) generated at the tips, whereas cytoskeletal components are virtually absent (Salzer et al. 2002). The membrane protein composition of microvesicles indicates a segregation of different types of lipid rafts during the vesiculation process, because the GPI-anchored proteins AChE and CD55 are even more enriched than stomatin, whereas the flotillins are largely depleted (Salzer et al. 2002; Minetti et al. 2003). Interestingly, stomatin is depleted from nanovesicles (about 80 nm in diameter), which represent a small but distinct fraction of the calcium-induced, shed exovesicles. These vesicles are highly enriched in GPI-anchored proteins, the cytosolic proteins synexin and sorcin, which associate with membrane rafts upon calcium binding (Salzer et al. 2002), and the Alix protein (U. Salzer, unpublished observation), which is known to be involved in the formation and regulation of multivesicular bodies (Matsuo et al. 2004; van der Goot et al. 2006).

How can the segregation phenomena and vesicle formation be explained? We suggest that (i) cytoskeletal association, (ii) membrane curvature, and (iii) lipid raft aggregation are determining factors of these processes. (i) Cytoskeletal association of integral membrane proteins may be responsible for the depletion of these proteins from microvesicles by preventing the diffusion into the cytoskeletonfree membrane protrusions. For example, the ratio between cytoskeleton-associated to mobile band 3 protein correlates with the degree of band 3 depletion from microvesicles (Hagelberg *et al.* 1990; Minetti *et al.* 2003). (ii) Sterical reasons might account for the concentration of specific



Fig. 4 Segregation of stomatin rafts on  $Ca^{2+}$  induced vesiculation of erythrocytes. Erythrocytes were treated with  $Ca^{2+}$  and ionophore A23187, fixed on a coverslip and stained for stomatin (monoclonal GARP-50 and FITC-labelled anti-mouse antibody, green) and actin (TRIC-phalloidin, red). Accumulation of stomatin at the tips of membrane protrusions devoid of actin is seen.

components like GPI-anchored proteins in the curved regions at the tip of the membrane protrusion, which might allow a tighter packing of these extrafacial monotopic raft components. Similarly, curvature-dependent segregation could be the reason for the high concentration of synexin with its convex membrane binding side at the tips of echinocytic spikes (Hagerstrand et al. 2006) and in the highly curved nanovesicles (Salzer et al. 2002). (iii) The spontaneous tendency of small rafts to aggregate and form larger liquid ordered (L<sub>o</sub>) phase domains is thought to be associated with or to initiate membrane budding and endo- or exovesiculation processes (Turner et al. 2005; Hancock 2006). Theoretical considerations and experimental data from model membranes suggest that the driving force behind these processes is the minimization of the line tension at the border between the membrane domain ( $L_0$  phase or raft) and the surrounding matrix (liquid disordered L<sub>d</sub> phase) (Lipowsky 1992; Julicher et al. 1993; Seifert 1993; Julicher et al. 1996; Baumgart et al. 2003).

The finding that stomatin is enriched at the tips of membrane spikes (Fig. 4) and in microvesicles, whereas the flotillins are depleted, indicates a differential degree of association with the cytoskeleton in calcium/ionophore treated erythrocytes. Taking into account that the ratio of stomatin to the GPI-anchored AChE is three times higher in calciuminduced microvesicles than in amphiphile-induced vesicles (Hagerstrand et al. 2006), one may conclude that the fraction of cytoskeleton-associated stomatin decreases upon calcium treatment. In DRMs prepared from untreated erythrocytes we usually find a large fraction of stomatin and flotillins associated with cytoskeletal components (Salzer et al. 2001; Salzer 2007) suggesting a tight linkage of both stomatin and flotillin rafts to the cytoskeleton. Similar results were obtained by Ciana et al. (2005). Stomatin rafts might selectively be released from their cytoskeletal anchorage upon calcium entry, diffuse into the cytoskeleton-free echinocytic spikes and aggregate at the tips of the protrusions to form larger L<sub>o</sub> phase aggregates. In line with this model is our finding that DRMs can be isolated from microvesicular membranes with stomatin being the most abundant protein component (Salzer et al. 2002). Sterical incompatibilities may largely prevent the access of stomatin to the highly curved nanovesicular buds, because stomatin is strongly depleted from nanovesicles.

Calcium-induced vesiculation *in vitro* turns out to be a good model for the physiologically important vesiculation process that erythrocytes use as a defence mechanism against complement-mediated cell lysis. Erythrocytes can get rid of the membrane attack complex via exovesiculation and this process is dependent on calcium in the medium (Iida *et al.* 1991). Conceivably, the formation of a pore by the membrane attack complex leads to a local calcium influx that may trigger a sequence of events including the proteolytic destruction of the cytoskeleton and the aggregation of (stomatin) rafts in proximity of the membrane area and result in the final shedding of an exovesicel containing the membrane attack complex.

# Segregation of rafts in the membranes of malaria infected red cells

Differential behaviour of stomatin- and flotillin-specific rafts has been observed also during the infection of erythrocytes by the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. The parasite attaches with its apical end to the erythrocyte membrane and forms a junctional complex close to the attachment site. This complex seems to specifically "select" membrane proteins of the host cell membrane to enter the expanding parasitophorous vacuolar membrane (PVM) during invasion. The cytoskeletal and major integral proteins of the host membrane are excluded from the PVM, whereas host DRM proteins are present (Lauer *et al.* 2000). However, immunofluorescence studies show that one major DRM marker, stomatin, is a prominent exception from this "rule" being specifically absent from the PVM (Murphy et al. 2004). The importance of raft-integrity is highlighted by the finding that cholesterol depletion blocks the infection process (Samuel et al. 2001) and induces the release of intracellular parasite probably by destabilisation of the PVM (Lauer et al. 2000). Depletion of cytoskeletal proteins and the involvement of lipid rafts are common features of the exocytotic calcium-induced vesicle and the "endocytotic" parasite-driven vacuole formation with the major raftmarkers stomatin and flotillins showing a complementary behaviour in these processes. As signalling via the erythrocyte  $\beta_2$ -adrenergic receptor has been shown to be involved in malarial infection (Harrison et al. 2003), it is tempting to speculate that different signalling pathways may regulate the mobility of rafts by differentially modulating their association with the cytoskeleton.

A parasite homologue of stomatin, closest related to human SLP-2, is located in the invasion-associated rhoptry organelles of the extracellular parasite and inserted into the newly formed PVM during host cell invasion (Hiller *et al.* 2003). Further progress in the understanding of the functions of the stomatin family will be necessary to evaluate this finding. Three possibilities can be envisaged: (i) The *Plasmodium* stomatin homologue has a function like erythrocyte stomatin and compensates for the absence of host cell stomatin from the PVM. (ii) The *Plasmodium* stomatin has some "antagonistic stomatin function" and the depletion of erythrocyte stomatin from the PVM is specifically required. (iii) It is also possible that there is no functional interdependence between these stomatins and that the absence of host stomatin is a mere bystander effect of the invasion process.

# Segregation of rafts in the membranes of platelets and neutrophils

In resting platelets and neutrophils, immunofluorescence experiments revealed a mainly cytosolic, punctuate staining of stomatin (Fig. 3) that is due to its predominant association with internal membranes. In platelets, stomatin is present at the membrane of  $\alpha$  granules (Mairhofer *et al.* 2002) and in neutrophils it is localized to azurophil granules, specific granules, and probably secretory vesicles (Feuk-Lagerstedt et al. 2002). Both studies indicate that stomatin is associated with lipid rafts at these internal membranes. Upon activation of these cells and mobilisation of the internal granule pool, there is a shift of stomatin distribution to the plasma membrane. In activated platelets, stomatin is concentrated in long membrane protrusions; similarly, in activated neutrophils, stomatin is enriched in membrane filopodia (Fig. 3). Interestingly, platelet-derived microvesicles, which are shed upon thrombin or calcium/ionophore activation and are thought to exert procoagulant activity at distance from the site of activation (Heijnen et al. 1999), are enriched in stomatin but are depleted of flotillins (Mairhofer et al. 2002). This is reminiscent of the calciuminduced vesiculation of erythrocytes and suggests that a similar mechanism might account for the exovesiculation process in platelets. When platelets are activated, the  $\alpha$ granules fuse with the plasma membrane, a process that drastically increases the amount of stomatin rafts in the membrane. It is conceivable that mobile stomatin rafts coalesce in the membrane protrusion tips of activated platelets thereby contributing to the formation of larger L<sub>o</sub> phase domains, which are a driving force for exovesicle fission. However, in contrast to erythrocytes, platelets do have an active actin cytoskeleton and the enrichment of stomatin in platelet exovesicles could also be due to a cytoskeletondriven sorting process.

# Interaction of stomatin with membrane proteins

A functional role of stomatin in the regulation of an ion channel has been suggested since the early studies of OHSt erythrocytes. The first direct evidence for a respective role was provided by Goodman et al. when they noticed that coexpression of human stomatin with the C. elegans DEG/ ENaC channel protein MEC-4d produced a small but distinct amiloride-sensitive current in Xenopus laevis oocytes (Goodman et al. 2002). The effect of stomatin was comparable to the MEC-2 deletion containing the stomatin-core region but lacking both the MEC-2-specific N- and C-termini. Genetic screens of touch-insensitive mutants revealed that more than half of the MEC-2 missense mutations are located within this stomatin-domain thus suggesting in important role of this domain in the proper functioning of the channel (Table 1). It was shown that the stomatin-domain is essential for the association of MEC-2 with MEC-4 (Zhang et al. 2004). Co-expression of full length MEC-2 and MEC-4 produced a much larger amiloride-sensitive current in oocytes. However, interestingly, this current was strongly decreased when either the MEC-2 deletion mutant or human stomatin were expressed together with these proteins. This type of dominant-negative interference is consistent with the formation of multimers by the central stomatin-domain. Therefore, a scaffolding function for the interaction with the DEG/ENaC channel and/or with the lipids surrounding the channel has been suggested for the stomatin-domain of MEC-2 (Goodman et al. 2002)

Other members of the DEG/ENaC family of ion channels, the acid-sensing ion channels (ASICs), have been shown to interact with and to be modulated by stomatin (Price et al. 2004). ASICs 1a, 2a and 3 form homo- or heteromultimers in the membrane generating H<sup>+</sup>-gated sodium channel activity. Upon co-expression of stomatin with each of these subunits in heterologous cells, both co-localization and co-immunoprecipitation of the respective proteins was observed in each case. However, interestingly, there was a differential effect of stomatin on the respective homomeric channel activities. Stomatin decreased the current amplitudes of ASIC 3 homomers but left the current desensitizing kinetics unchanged. The opposite effect was observed for ASIC 2a homomers, whereas ASIC 1a homomers did not show any modulation upon stomatin co-expression. ASICs 1a-3 or 2a-3 heteromers did not show altered current amplitudes but displayed increased desensitization rates upon stomatin co-expression. It is not clear yet whether stomatin modulates the gating properties of the respective ASIC channels directly or indirectly. Considering stomatin as a lipid raft-associated protein, it can be envisaged that the ASICs are recruited to these specialized membrane domains and that the altered gating behaviour is due to the different lipid environment or the interaction with raft-based signalling components. ASICs are expressed in the central nervous system and in peripheral sensory neurons where they function as sensors of acidosis (Price et al. 2001) but also of mechanical stimuli (Chen et al. 2002). Thus, Price et al. suggest that stomatin might regulate nociception by modulating the gating properties of various ASIC homo- and heteromers (Price et al. 2004).

In a related context, stomatin has been shown to be involved in the regulation of glucose transport through the plasma membrane. Co-immunoprecipitation studies of erythrocyte and rat liver cell lysates indicate an association of stomatin with the glucose transporter GLUT1 (Zhang et al. 1999) with the 42-amino acid cytoplasmic domain of this multiple membrane-spanning protein probably being the interaction site (Zhang et al. 2001). Moreover, it was shown that the amount of exogenously expressed stomatin inversely correlates with GLUT-1 activity. Since stomatin overexpression did neither affect the amount nor the plasma membrane localization of GLUT-1 but resulted in an enhanced association between stomatin and GLUT-1, it was suggested that stomatin has a "masking" effect on GLUT-1 (Zhang *et al.* 2001). Interestingly, GLUT-1 was shown to partially associate with DRMs and that this pool significantly decreases upon treatment with azide, a well-known inhibitor of oxidative phosphorylation and activator of GLUT-1 (Rubin et al. 2003). These data suggest that the reversible raft-association of GLUT-1 contributes to the regulation of

|--|

VIRAA P199G         defective of geomerization         in vitro mutation and expression in cell lines         E Umlauf, unpublished data           E209K E209K E201K         defective PM targeting E211K         M Mairhofer, unpublished data           V251A V251A         defective targeting V251A         E Umlauf, unpublished data           V267A V267A         defective oligomerization         E Umlauf, unpublished data           V267A         defective oligomerization         E Umlauf, unpublished data           V267A         association         E           P268A         2200G         R138Q           P18L         defective targeting         steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome         Roselli et. al. 2004           R138Q         Di60G         R184C         touch sensitivity         mcc-allele u274         Huber et. al. 2006           R138Q         touch sensitivity         mcc-allele u264         Zhang et al. 2004           R230W         touch sensitivity         mcc-allele u274         Huber et. al. 2006           R240F         touch sensitivity         mcc-allele u274         Huar et al. 1996           A204T         touch sensitivity         mcc-allele u275         L284         Can et al. 1995           G243E         mcc-allele u276         Huang et al. 2004		Mutation	Commentary	Origin	Reference
P199Gcell linescell linesE209Kdefective PM targetingM Mairhofer, unpublished dataE211KS230Adefective largetingY251Adefective oligomerizationE Umlanf, unpublished dataY265Adefective oligomerization and lipid raftY266Adefective oligomerizationUmlauf et al. 2006Y267AassociationY267AassociationY267Adefective oligomerizationL270Adefective largetingR188Qdefective targetingR188Qbli60GR168HVY100Mtcuch sensitivityR238Sdefective targetingR184Ctouch sensitivityR238Sdefective targetingR184Ctouch sensitivityR230Ftouch sensitivityR230Ftouch sensitivityR230Ftouch sensitivityR230Ftouch sensitivityR230FTtouch sen		W184→A	defective oligomerization	<i>in vitro</i> mutation and expression in	E Umlauf, unpublished data
Provide E209K E211Kdefective PM targetingM Mairhofer, unpublished data1211KE211KE Umlauf, unpublished data2205Adefective oligomerizationE Umlauf, unpublished data1265Adefective oligomerization and lipid raftUmlauf et al. 20061265Adefective oligomerizationUmlauf et al. 20061270AassociationSecondary1270Adefective oligomerizationImage: Comparison1270Adefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 20041383QDi60GImage: ComparisonImage: Comparison1444Sabolishes cholesterol bindingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 20041060GImage: ComparisonZhang et al. 20041060GImage: ComparisonZhang et al. 20041070MIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u274Huber et. al. 20041080KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u274Huber et. al. 20041090KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u274Stang et al. 20041090KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 20041090KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 20041090KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 19951090KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 19951090KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 20041090KIcuch sensitivitymec-allele u216Zhang et al. 200410		P199→G	-	cell lines	· •
F211K         C           V230A         defective argeting defective oligomerization         E Umlauf, unpublished data Umlauf et al. 2006           V26A         defective oligomerization and lipid raft association         EUmlauf, unpublished data           V26A         defective oligomerization         Umlauf et al. 2006           V26A         association         E           P269A         defective oligomerization         E           L720A         defective targeting         steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome         Roselli et. al. 2004           R138Q         D160G         R138Q         NonM         R238S           R238S         abolishes cholesterol binding         C. elegans mec-allele u274         Huber et. al. 2006           R184C         touch sensitivity         mec-allele u24         Zhang et al. 2004           S200-F         touch sensitivity         mec-allele u24         Zhang et al. 2004           S200-F         touch sensitivity         mec-allele u27         Huang et al. 1995           R239-H         mec-allele u27         Huang et al. 2004         204-4           R239-H         mec-allele u38         Zhang et al. 2004         204-4           R239-F         touch sensitivity         mec-allele u318         E		E209→K	defective PM targeting		M Mairhofer, unpublished data
Value 	Z	E211→K	0 0		~ <b>x</b>
V251- $A$ defective oligomerizationE Umlauf, unpublished data Umlauf et al. 2006V266- $A$ defective oligomerization and lipid raftUmlauf et al. 2006V267- $A$ associationEP268- $A$ defective oligomerizationEL270- $A$ L270- $A$ defective oligomerizationEL270- $A$ defective oligomerizationEER188- $Q$ D160- $G$ EEEN18- $A$ defective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004R188- $Q$ D160- $G$ EEER281- $S$ abolishes cholesterol bindingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184- $G$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u244Zhang et al. 2004S200- $F$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u244Zhang et al. 2004S200- $F$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004S200		S230→A	defective targeting		
Umlauf et al. 2006Unifault et al. 20061266Adefective oligomerization and lipid raftP269Adefective oligomerization1270AsasociationP269-Adefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004R138QD160Gsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004P118Ldefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004P118Ldefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004P160GR168Hsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2006P134Sabolishes cholesterol bindingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184Ctouch sensitivitymec-allele u64Zhang et al. 2004V190Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u224Store et al. 2004S200-Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u234Zhang et al. 2004A204-rTtouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A204-rTtouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004C200touch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004P134Etouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A204-rTtouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A204-rTtouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A204-rTtouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A304-rT<	IV	Y251→A	defective oligomerization		E Umlauf, unpublished data
266—A defective oligomerization and lipid raft associationV267—A F268—A P269—Adefective oligomerization L270—ADifferdefective oligomerizationL270—Asteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004P118—L D160—G R188—Qdefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004P116—I V180—M V180—Mdefective targetingcleaguest mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006P134—S R291—Wabolishes cholesterol binding ucuest mixityC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006P134—S V180—M V180—Mabolishes cholesterol binding mec-allele u224C. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2004P134—S R291—Wabolishes cholesterol binding mec-allele u224C. elegans mec-allele u224Zhang et al. 2004V180—F V190—M V190—Mtouch sensitivity mec-allele u224mec-allele u224Zhang et al. 2004S200—F R230—Ftouch sensitivity mec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004C201 	STOM	T265→A	-		Umlauf et al. 2006
V267A P269A defective oligomerizationP268A P269Adefective oligomerizationL270ARoselli et. al. 2004R188Q D160Gdefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004R188Q R188Qdefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004R188Q R238Sdefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004R188Q R238Sdefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004R184C V190Mdefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeHuber et. al. 2006R184C V190Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2004V190F V190Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u276Huang et al. 2004V190F A204Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u276Huang et al. 1996C230-F R239H R239Htouch sensitivitymec-allele u277Huang et al. 1995C343E R239Hmec-allele u73Tang et al. 2004R239K R239Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u271Huang et al. 1995R230-V R239Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u271Huang et al. 2004R230-V R330Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u318Stang et al. 2004R330T R330Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u314Zhang et al. 2004R330-V R330-Vmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004R330-V R330-Vmec-allele u314 <t< th=""><td>I266→A</td><td>defective oligomerization and lipid raft</td><td></td><td></td></t<>		I266→A	defective oligomerization and lipid raft		
F268->A P269->A P269->A defective oligomerization1270->AV180->L R138->Q Ndefective targeting steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome R168->H V180->M R238->S R291->Wdefective targeting C. elegans mec-allele u274 mec-allele u224Ruber et. al. 2006 Zhang et al. 2006P134->S R184->C S200->F S200->F Cuck sensitivityC. elegans mec-allele u274 mec-allele u224Huber et. al. 2006 Zhang et al. 2004P34->S R291->Wdefective targeting defective targeting mec-allele u224Zhang et al. 2004P34->C S200->F S200->Ftouch sensitivity touch sensitivitymec-allele u24 mec-allele u224Zhang et al. 2004P34->S S200->F S200->Ftouch sensitivity touch sensitivitymec-allele u24 mec-allele u27Zhang et al. 2004P34->C S200->Ftouch sensitivity touch sensitivitymec-allele u27 mec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995P35->Hmec-allele u318 mec-allele u350Zhang et al. 2004Zhang et al. 2004A234->V A234->Vtouch sensitivity mec-allele u318Huang et al. 1995P357->Ktouch sensitivity mec-allele u217Huang et al. 2004P357->S P357->Ktouch sensitivity mec-allele u30Zhang et al. 2004P357->S P357->Ktouch sensitivity mec-allele u312Kaing et al. 2004		V267→A	association		
P269 $\rightarrow$ Adefective oligomerization L270 $\rightarrow$ ANOTP18 $\rightarrow$ Ldefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli <i>et. al.</i> 2004R138 $\rightarrow$ QSteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli <i>et. al.</i> 2004R188 $\rightarrow$ QCSteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli <i>et. al.</i> 2004R238 $\rightarrow$ SSteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli <i>et. al.</i> 2006R239 $\rightarrow$ Wdefective targetingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184 $\rightarrow$ Ctouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004V190 $\rightarrow$ Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004A204 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1996A204 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004R234 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u27R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u27Huang et al. 2004R230 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004R230 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004R230 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u28R230 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u24R230 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele		F268→A			
IL270AP118Ldefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli et. al. 2004P138QD160-+GR188HVVP134Sdefective targetingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006P134Sabolishes cholesterol bindingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R188Ctouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004V190Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u24StoodS200Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Cu et al. 1996A204Tmec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A207Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A204Tmec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239Hmec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995C330Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239Hmec-allele u17TA204Tmec-allele u18Zhang et al. 2004A204Tmec-allele u17TA304Tmec-allele u14Zhang et al. 2004A304Tmec-allele u217Huang et al. 1995A304Tmec-allele u217Huang et al. 1995A304Tmec-allele u217Huang et al. 2004A306Tmec-allele u217Huang et al. 2004A306Tmec-allele u217Huang et al. 2004A306Tmec-allele u217Huang et al. 2004A306Tmec-allele u217Huang et al. 2004A30		P269→A	defective oligomerization		
P0000P118 $\rightarrow$ L R138 $\rightarrow$ Q D160 $\rightarrow$ G R168 $\rightarrow$ H V180 $\rightarrow$ Mdefective targetingsteroid-resistant nephrotic syndromeRoselli <i>et. al.</i> 2004R138 $\rightarrow$ Q D160 $\rightarrow$ G R168 $\rightarrow$ H V180 $\rightarrow$ M $   -$		L270→A			
NoteR138 $\rightarrow$ Q D160 $\rightarrow$ G R168 $\rightarrow$ H V180 $\rightarrow$ M R238 $\rightarrow$ S R291 $\rightarrow$ Wdefective targetingHuber et. al. 2006P134 $\rightarrow$ S R291 $\rightarrow$ Wdefective targetingC. elegans mcc-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184 $\rightarrow$ Ctouch sensitivitymcc-allele u274Huber et. al. 2004V190 $\rightarrow$ Mtouch sensitivitymcc-allele u244Zhang et al. 2004S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymcc-allele u26C. elegansA204 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u26Gu et al. 1996A207 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymcc-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymcc-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmcc-allele u7TTT246 $\rightarrow$ 1mcc-allele u750Zhang et al. 2004A206 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymcc-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymcc-allele u1750A204R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmcc-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004A206 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymcc-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmcc-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmcc-allele u311mcc-allele u311A322 $\rightarrow$ Vmcc-allele u32FTP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymcc-allele u32P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymcc-allele u312P357 $\rightarrow$ S <th></th> <th>P118→L</th> <th>defective targeting</th> <th>steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome</th> <th>Roselli et. al. 2004</th>		P118→L	defective targeting	steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome	Roselli et. al. 2004
D160G R168H V180M R238S R291W defective targetingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184Ctouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004V190Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u306Zhang et al. 2004S200Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004S200Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u24Stang et al. 2004A204Tmec-allele u28Zhang et al. 1996A204Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 1996A204Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239Hmec-allele u18Zhang et al. 2004R239Hmec-allele u18Zhang et al. 2004R234Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R234Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239Hmec-allele u18Zhang et al. 2004R239FKtouch sensitivitymec-allele u27A304Tmec-allele u170Zhang et al. 2004R299Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u217A304Tmec-allele u24R239Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u24R239Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u318R239Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u31A304Tmec-allele u217A320Vmec-allele u311A322Vmec-allele u311A325Tmec-allele u311A325Tmec-allele u30 <th< th=""><th>7</th><td>R138→Q</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	7	R138→Q			
OGOR168 $\rightarrow$ H V180 $\rightarrow$ M R238 $\rightarrow$ S R291 $\rightarrow$ Wdefective targeting $I$ P134 $\rightarrow$ Sabolishes cholesterol binding R184 $\rightarrow$ C $C.$ elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006 Zhang et al. 2004N190 $\rightarrow$ Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u324 $Zhang et al. 2004$ S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u306A204 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u306 $Zhang et al. 1996$ A204 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u224S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u306A204 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28A204 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28A204 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28A204 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28A204 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u27R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u217Huang et al. 1995R24 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u78C243 $\rightarrow$ Emec-allele u78Zhang et al. 2004R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u318Zhang et al. 2004E297 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u318E299 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u217A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u217Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u217Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u318Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u318Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u318Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u317Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u318Zhang et al. 2	CIL	D160→G			
OPV180M R238->S R291Wdefective targetingP134->Sabolishes cholesterol binding $C. elegans$ mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006 Zhang et al. 2004R184->Ctouch sensitivitymec-allele u64Zhang et al. 2004V190->Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u224S200->Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u224A204->Tmec-allele u28Zhang et al. 1996A207->Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234->Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239->Hmec-allele u180Zhang et al. 2004G243->Emec-allele u750mec-allele u750A296->Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004A306->Tmec-allele u211Huang et al. 1995A306->Tmec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004K320->Vmechallele u144Zhang et al. 2004A306->Tmec-allele u318Zhang et al. 2004F314->Kmec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A320->Vmec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A320->Vmec-allele u31Zhang et al. 2004A320->Vmec-allele u31mec-allele u31A325->Tmec-allele u30Zhang et al. 2004P357->Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32P357->Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32P357->Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32P357->Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32P357->Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32<	Õ	R168→H			
R238S R291Wdefective targetingP134S R184Cabolishes cholesterol binding abolishes cholesterol binding $C. elegans$ mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184C V190Mtouch sensitivity touch sensitivitymec-allele u64 mec-allele u224Zhang et al. 2004S200F A204T R239Htouch sensitivitymec-allele u306 mec-allele u28Zhang et al. 1996R239H R239H R239Htouch sensitivitymec-allele u27 mec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239H R239H R239Hmec-allele u7 mec-allele u77Zhang et al. 2004R239H R239H R329Hmec-allele u750 mec-allele u750Zhang et al. 2004R339H R239H R326Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u144 mec-allele u150Zhang et al. 2004R339H R329H R329Hmec-allele u150Zhang et al. 2004R330V A306T B34Tmec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004R330V A306T P357Ltouch sensitivitymec-allele u217 mec-allele u217 mec-allele u217 mec-allele u217 mec-allele u217 mec-allele u217 mec-allele u311 ma325TT mec-allele u300Zhang et al. 2004P357S E60Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998	Ō	V180→M			
R291 $\rightarrow$ Wdefective targetingP134 $\rightarrow$ Sabolishes cholesterol bindingC. elegans mcc-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184 $\rightarrow$ Ctouch sensitivitymcc-allele u64Zhang et al. 2004S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymcc-allele u204S200 $\rightarrow$ FA204 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u306Gu et al. 1996A207 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymcc-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymcc-allele u27Huang et al. 2004R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmcc-allele u27Huang et al. 1995Mcc-allele u27R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmcc-allele u127Huang et al. 1995Mcc-allele u17T246 $\rightarrow$ Imcc-allele u70Zhang et al. 2004A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymcc-allele u318Mcc-allele u24E297 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymcc-allele u24Zhang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u217Mccallele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmcc-allele u217Mccallele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmcc-allele u311Mccallele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u31Mccallele u31A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u31Mccallele u31A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u31Mccallele u31A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmccallele u32Mccallele u31A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmccallele u32Mccallele u32P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymcc-allele u32P357 $\rightarrow$ S <th>-</th> <td>R238→S</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-	R238→S			
P134- $ ightarrow S$ abolishes cholesterol bindingC. elegans mec-allele u274Huber et. al. 2006R184- $ ightarrow C$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u64Zhang et al. 2004V190- $ ightarrow M$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u224S200- $ ightarrow F$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u306A204- $ ightarrow T$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A207- $ ightarrow T$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234- $ ightarrow V$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239- $ ightarrow H$ mec-allele u127Huang et al. 1995R239- $ ightarrow H$ mec-allele u750Zhang et al. 2004C44- $ ightarrow T$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u318E297- $ ightarrow K$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u318E299- $ ightarrow K$ touch sensitivitymec-allele u23A304- $ ightarrow T$ mec-allele u23Zhang et al. 2004A306- $ ightarrow T$ mec-allele u23Zhang et al. 2004A306- $ ightarrow T$ mec-allele u24TA320- $ ightarrow V$ mec-allele u23Zhang et al. 2004A306- $ ightarrow T$ mec-allele u24TA320- $ ightarrow V$ mec-allele u23Zhang et al. 2004A306- $ ightarrow T$ mec-allele u24TA320- $ ightarrow V$ mec-allele u24TA320- $ ightarrow V$ mec-allele u217TA320- $ ightarrow V$ mec-allele u311TA320- $ ightarrow V$ mec-allele u33TA		R291→W	defective targeting		
R184 $\rightarrow$ Ctouch sensitivitymec-allele u64Zhang et al. 2004V190 $\rightarrow$ Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u24S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivityS200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u306Cu et al. 1996A204 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A207 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u27Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u1608Tatag et al. 2004G243 $\rightarrow$ Emec-allele u750Zhang et al. 2004C297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u318Tatag et al. 2004E297 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u311Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u30Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u310Zhang et al. 2004A352 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u30P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u30P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u30P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u30P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-al		P134→S	abolishes cholesterol binding	C. elegans mec-allele u274	Huber et. al. 2006
V190 $\rightarrow$ Mtouch sensitivitymec-allele u224S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u306A204 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u206Zhang et al. 1996A207 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u227Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u1608T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u27T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u77T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u318E297 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004E297 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u23Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u311TA325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u30TP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u30P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u32E60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of function <i>C. elegans</i> unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		R184→C	touch sensitivity	mec-allele u64	Zhang et al. 2004
S200 $\rightarrow$ Ftouch sensitivitymec-allele u306A204 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-alleles c75 + u284Gu et al. 1996A207 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u227Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele u77T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u70T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004E297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u150T246 $\rightarrow$ ILough et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u217Mec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311Lough et al. 2004A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u30Lough et al. 2004P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivitymec-allele u30P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivi		V190→M	touch sensitivity	mec-allele u224	
A204 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-alleles e75 + u284Gu et al. 1996A207 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u227Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele e1608mec-allele e1608G243 $\rightarrow$ Emec-allele u750T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u318R297 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u318mec-allele u23E297 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele u243Zhang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A320 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u24TA320 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u24TB314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u311TTA325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u30TTP357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivitymec-allele u30TP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u30TE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of function <i>C. elegans</i> unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		S200→F	touch sensitivity	mec-allele u306	
A207 $\rightarrow$ Ttouch sensitivitymec-allele u28Zhang et al. 2004A234 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u227Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele e1608mec-allele u1608G243 $\rightarrow$ Emec-allele u750T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u144A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u144E297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u318E299 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele e1514Huang et al. 1995mec-allele u243A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24E314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u33P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of function		A204→T		mec-alleles $e75 + u284$	Gu et al. 1996
A23 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u227Huang et al. 1995R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele e1608G243 $\rightarrow$ Emec-allele u7T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u750A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivityE297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u144E299 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivityMathematical Sensitivitymec-allele e1514Huang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24E314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u32P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		A207→T	touch sensitivity	mec-allele u28	Zhang et al. 2004
R239 $\rightarrow$ Hmec-allele e1608G243 $\rightarrow$ Emec-allele u7T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u750A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivityE297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004E299 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivityMathematical e1514Huang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24E314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u33P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998	2	A234→V	touch sensitivity	mec-allele u227	Huang <i>et al.</i> 1995
G243 $\rightarrow$ Emec-allele u7T246 $\rightarrow$ Imec-allele u750A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004E297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u318Huang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24Lang et al. 2004B314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u33P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivitymec-allele u130P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u132E60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of function <i>C. elegans</i> unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		R239→H		mec-allele e1608	
$C_{2}$ 1246 $\rightarrow$ 1mec-allele u750A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004E297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u318Huang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele e1514Huang et al. 1995A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004E314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217mec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311Huang et al. 2004P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivitymec-allele u30P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u130P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u132E60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		G243→E		mec-allele u7	
Image: A296 $\rightarrow$ Vtouch sensitivitymec-allele u144Zhang et al. 2004E297 $\rightarrow$ Kmcc-allele u318Huang et al. 1995E299 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymcc-allele e1514Huang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u24E314 $\rightarrow$ KE314 $\rightarrow$ Kmcc-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmcc-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmcc-allele u43P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998	EĊ	T246→I		mec-allele u750	
E297 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u318E299 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele e1514Huang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24E314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u43F357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u130P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u132E60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998	Μ	$A296 \rightarrow V$	touch sensitivity	mec-allele u144	Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2004
E299 $\rightarrow$ Ktouch sensitivitymec-allele e1514Huang et al. 1995A304 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u243Zhang et al. 2004A306 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u24E314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u43P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		$E29/\rightarrow K$		mec-allele u318	11 1005
A $304 \rightarrow 1$ mec-allele $0243$ Zhang et al. 2004A $306 \rightarrow T$ mec-allele $024$ E $314 \rightarrow K$ mec-allele $0217$ A $320 \rightarrow V$ mec-allele $0311$ A $325 \rightarrow T$ mec-allele $043$ P $357 \rightarrow L$ touch sensitivityP $357 \rightarrow S$ touch sensitivityE $60 \rightarrow K$ loss of functionC. elegans unc-allele $n774$ Rajaram et al. 1998		E299→K	touch sensitivity	mec-allele e1514	Huang <i>et al.</i> 1995
A 306 $\rightarrow$ 1mec-allele u24E314 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele u217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u43P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		A304→1		mec-allele u243	Zhang et al. 2004
E 514 $\rightarrow$ Kmec-allele 0217A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u43P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		$A306 \rightarrow I$		mec-allele u24	
A320 $\rightarrow$ Vmec-allele u311A325 $\rightarrow$ Tmec-allele u43P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityP357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivityE60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		$E_{314} \rightarrow K$		mec-allele u217	
A323 $\rightarrow$ 1Integrated u43P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivitymec-allele u130P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u132E60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		$A320 \rightarrow V$		mec-allele u311	
P357 $\rightarrow$ Ltouch sensitivityinccance u130P357 $\rightarrow$ Stouch sensitivitymec-allele u132E60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		$A323 \rightarrow 1$ $B257 \rightarrow 1$	touch consitivity	mee allele u120	
F357 $\rightarrow$ sfour sensitivityincreated us2E60 $\rightarrow$ Kloss of functionC. elegans unc-allele n774Rajaram et al. 1998		$P357 \rightarrow L$	touch sensitivity	mee allele u130	
200-7K 1055 01 function C. ereguns une-ancient 174 Rajaram et al. 1776	JNC-1	$F_{60} \rightarrow K$	loss of function	$C_{algaans}$ unc-allele n774	Rajaram et al. 1998
$A121 \rightarrow T$ unc-allele e114		$\Delta 121 \rightarrow T$		c. etegans unc-ancie n774	Rajarani et ul. 1996
T183 $\rightarrow$ R dominant negative allele unc-allele n404 Park <i>et al.</i> 1986: Raiaram <i>et al.</i> 1998		$T183 \rightarrow R$	dominant negative allele	unc-allele n494	Park et al 1986: Rajaram et al 1998
G187—F uncallele e520 Raiaram et al. 1998		$G187 \rightarrow F$	dominant negative difere	unc-allele e580	Rajaram <i>et al.</i> 1998
$K_{18} \rightarrow K_{18} \rightarrow K$		$K_{189} \rightarrow R + A_{212} \rightarrow V$	intragenic revertant of the dominant	unc-allele e1598n1201	Park et al 1986: Rajaram et al 1998
$\vec{z}$ e1598 allele		$\mathbf{K}_{10} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{12} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$	e1598 allele		
R252 $\rightarrow$ Ccold-sensitive allelesunc-alleles hs1+hs4Hecht <i>et al.</i> 1996; Rajaram <i>et al.</i>	_	R252→C	cold-sensitive alleles	unc-alleles hs1+hs4	Hecht et al. 1996; Rajaram et al.
L257→I unc-allele hs5 1998		L257→I		unc-allele hs5	1998
T267→I unc-allele hs2		T267→I		unc-allele hs2	
P273→S unc-allele hs3		P273→S		unc-allele hs3	

glucose transport activity at the plasma membrane and that stomatin plays a crucial role in this process.

Genetic studies of C. elegans revealed that mutations in the *unc-1* gene, which encodes an orthologue of mammalian stomatin, cause uncoordinated locomotion (Park et al. 1986), increase the sensitivity to volatile anaesthetics (Rajaram et al. 1998), and modulate the hypersensitivity to anaesthetics induced by mutations in other genes (Morgan et al. 1990; Rajaram et al. 1999) (Table 1). UNC-1 is ubiquitously expressed in the nervous system of the worm and this might explain the variety of observed phenotypes. Overlapping expression patterns and genetic interactions with regard to anaesthetic sensitivity suggest a direct interaction of the proteins UNC-1 and UNC-8, which is a member of the DEG/ENaC family of ion channels (Rajaram et al. 1999). It was shown that UNC-1 and UNC-8 co-immunoprecipitate and that the partial presence of UNC-8 in DRMs is dependent on UNC-1 (Sedensky et al. 2004). Moreover, Sedensky et al. showed that the unc-24 gene, coding for another member of the stomatin protein family, was epistatic

to unc-1 indicating a functional interdependence of the two stomatin proteins. Subcellular localization studies revealed that in worms carrying an unc-24 loss-of-function mutation, UNC-1 did not show its normal punctuate pattern along the major nerve tracts but was rather localized close to the cell nuclei indicating that UNC-24 affects the trafficking of UNC-1 to the cell periphery (Sedensky et al. 2001). Interestingly, recent data from our laboratory show that the mam-malian orthologues of UNC-1 and UNC-24, i.e. stomatin and SLP-1, interact with each other and that SLP-1 affects the subcellular localization of stomatin (M. Mairhofer, manuscript submitted).

# **Emerging functions of stomatin**

# A role in lipid raft-dependent processes

In a recent review on lipid rafts, John Hancock suggests to replace the simplistic view of lipid rafts as stable, liquid ordered  $(L_0)$  domains/platforms, which float in the plane of the membrane, by a dynamic model that proposes the spontaneous and transient formation of small, laterally mobile L<sub>o</sub> domains (Hancock 2006). These short-lived domains can, however, gain stability by interaction with lipid-anchored or transmembrane proteins and this leads to the reduction of the line tension at the liquid ordered/disordered (Lo-Ld) domain boundary. According to this model, stomatin would be a typical raft-stabilizing protein. The dual palmitic acid modification and the cholesterol-binding property would confer this stabilizing effect, which is further enhanced by the tendency of stomatin to form oligomers. The dynamic model of lipid rafts includes any form of interdependent influence between lipids and proteins in raft formation. It is therefore conceivable that oligomerization of a raft-stabilizing protein can also induce the formation of larger L<sub>0</sub> domains. The association of stomatin with lipid rafts is well supported, however, in light of this novel view on rafts one might speculate that stomatin plays an active role in the formation and stabilisation of membrane rafts. Reversible palmitoylation and oligomerization may contribute to this hypothetical function of stomatin as a regulated raft scaffolding protein. Caveolin is the prototypical example for a raft scaffolding protein forming caveolae, the stable, flaskshaped membrane invaginations, which are internalised in a stimulus-dependent manner (Hommelgaard et al. 2005). A different type of scaffolding structure, namely large, preassembled platforms of yet unclear function, is formed by flotillins/reggies in haematopoietic cells (Rajendran et al. 2003). In HeLa cells, a large immobile fraction of stomatin exists in the plasma membrane (Umlauf et al. 2006) and a large overlapping distribution of stomatin and cytoskeletal components is found in density gradients of erythrocyte DRMs (Salzer et al. 2001; Ciana et al. 2005; Salzer 2007). These data suggest an involvement of the cytoskeleton in the organisation of stomatin-specific rafts. Cytoskeletal breakdown or regulated release from the cytoskeleton would increase the mobile pool of stomatin-specific rafts. The dynamics of this mobile raft pool is significantly governed by the physical forces that are acting on mixtures of different lipid phase domains and lead to large-scale phase separation and membrane budding in order to minimize the line tension (Lipowsky 1992; Baumgart et al. 2003). Calcium-induced vesicle release from erythrocytes has already been suggested to be an example of such a process. The concerted endocytosis of cross-linked exoplasmic PLAP and endoplasmic stomatin (Snyers et al. 1999a) may rely on a similar mechanism. Mobile stomatin-specific rafts at the endoplasmic side of the membrane may be attracted by the raft aggregation on the exoplasmic surface and thereby lead to membrane budding and eventual vesicle internalisation. Flotillin-1 was recently shown to reside in punctate structures within the plasma membrane and in endocytic intermediates that contained GPI-anchored proteins and GM1 but not caveolin or clathrin. These raft-like regions seemed to bud into the cell by a clathrin- and caveolin-independent endocytic pathway (Glebov et al. 2006). Given the topological and biochemical similarity of stomatin to caveolin and the flotillins, it is tempting to speculate that raft-associated stomatin may also be involved in a specific, clathrin-independent, endocytic pathway. Caveolar coat formation has been suggested to be induced by polymerization of caveolin oligomers upon dephosphorylation, thereby increasing the affinity for cholesterol and imposing positive membrane curvature at the respective membrane area. A similar coat forming mechanism has been proposed for reticulons and PHB-domain proteins with respect to the presence at peripheral ER tubules and endocytic vesicles (Bauer et al. 2006). It may be speculated that stomatin polymerization could also take place at sites of raft aggregation thereby forming a coat for an endocytic vesicle. Conversely, polymerization should not occur at sites of negatively curved membranes (exocytic microvesicles) where stomatin rafts are also enriched (Fig. 4).

# Regulation of channel activities

The studies of *C. elegans* stomatin homologues have clearly shown that these proteins are involved in the regulation of ion channels of the DEG/ENaC family. Although mammalian stomatin lacks the specific N- and C-terminal interacttion domains of MEC-2, it may directly bind to ion channels, as shown by the co-immunoprecipitation with ASIC channels (Price et al. 2001). Another example is the UNC-1 interaction with the DEG/ENaC family member UNC-8 in lipid rafts (Sedensky et al. 2004). The stomatin-like UNC-24 protein is also part of the mechanosensory complex comprising MEC-2, MEC-6, and the DEG/ENaC channel components MEC-4 and MEC-10 (Zhang et al. 2004). Ion channels at the plasma membrane are preferentially found in lipid microdomains and therefore it is conceivable that the raft-associated stomatins interact with channel proteins similar to caveolin-1 interacting with the transient receptor potential channel TRPC1 (Remillard et al. 2006). Recently, it was shown that the stomatin-like proteins podocin and MEC-2 bind cholesterol and associate with ion channel complexes to form large protein-cholesterol supercomplexes in the plasma membrane (Huber et al. 2006). Whereas MEC-2 is co-immunoprecipitated with a heterologous  $\alpha$ -ENaC, podocin is co-precipitated with the TRPC6 channel. Interestingly, cholesterol plays an important role in regulating the channel activities within the supercomplexes. The stomatin-like proteins must contain bound cholesterol in order to function as channel modulators. A MEC-2 mutant (P134S) that contains a serine instead of the conserved proline residue in the hydrophobic IM domain (Fig. 1) has lost its ability to bind cholesterol and is completely touch insensitive. Multimerization and  $\alpha$ -ENaC interaction was not affected by the mutation. This finding therefore suggests that cholesterol binding to MEC-2 is essential for touch sensitivity (Huber *et al.* 2006). Likewise, the equivalent mutation in podocin (P120S) also led to the loss of cholesterol binding and loss of TRPC6 channel activity although the podocin-TRPC6 interaction was unaffected. In this context, it is interesting that stomatin itself is able to bind cholesterol (E. Umlauf, C. Thiele et al. unpublished data) and thereby may modulate the activity of ion channels like ASICs in large lipid-protein supercomplexes. Moreover, the reversible recruitment of GLUT-1 to stomatin-containing rafts has been suggested as a novel mechanism of GLUT-1 regulation (Rubin et al. 2003). Thus, it is conceivable that stomatin is involved in the regulation of membrane processes by recruiting various membrane proteins to rafts and modulating their activities.

# **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Stomatin and stomatin-like proteins are monotopic, oligomeric, cholesterol-binding, lipid raft-associated proteins that are involved in membrane organization and cholesterol-dependent regulatory processes. Due to its oligomeric structure, stomatin may provide a scaffolding function by assembling small membrane microdomains to form larger lipidprotein complexes. These complexes interact with the actin cytoskeleton and may function as signalling platforms. Additionally, the lipid transfer domain of the stomatin-like protein SLP-1, known to interact with stomatin, may regulate the lipid composition of the lipid-protein complexes. Large stomatin aggregates can be formed by external lipid raft cross-linking at the plasma membrane, a process that leads to endocytosis. It is conceivable that this internalisation event is induced by stomatin polymerization similar to caveolar coat formation.

It has long been hypothesized but only recently shown that stomatin functions as a regulator of ion channels. Moreover, stomatin was found to depress GLUT-1 activity. A common view emerges that this regulatory function of stomatin is based on the reversible recruitment of these interacting membrane proteins to the lipid raft domains, with stomatin displaying a binding and modulating property.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF).

# REFERENCES

- Argent AC, Chetty MC, Fricke B, Bertrand Y, Philippe N, Khogali S, von During M, Delaunay J, Stewart GW (2004) A family showing recessively inherited multisystem pathology with aberrant splicing of the erythrocyte Band 7.2b ('stomatin') gene. *Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease* 27, 29-46
- Bargmann CI (1998) Neurobiology of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome. Science 282, 2028-2033
- Bauer M, Pelkmans L (2006) A new paradigm for membrane-organizing and shaping scaffolds. FEBS Letters 580, 5559-5564
- Baumgart T, Hess ST, Webb WW (2003) Imaging coexisting fluid domains in biomembrane models coupling curvature and line tension. *Nature* 425, 821-824

Benzing T (2004) Signaling at the slit diaphragm. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology 15, 1382-1391

- Bickel PE, Scherer PE, Schnitzer JE, Oh P, Lisanti MP, Lodish HF (1997) Flotillin and epidermal surface antigen define a new family of caveolae-associated integral membrane proteins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272, 13793-13802
- Borner GH, Sherrier DJ, Weimar T, Michaelson LV, Hawkins ND, Macaskill A, Napier JA, Beale MH, Lilley KS, Dupree P (2005) Analysis of detergent-resistant membranes in Arabidopsis. Evidence for plasma membrane lipid rafts. *Plant Physiology* 137, 104-116
- Boute N, Gribouval O, Roselli S, Benessy F, Lee H, Fuchshuber A, Dahan K, Gubler MC, Niaudet P, Antignac C (2000) NPHS2, encoding the glomerular protein podocin, is mutated in autosomal recessive steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. *Nature Genetics* 24, 349-354

Brenner S (1974) The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 77, 71-94

- Browman DT, Resek ME, Zajchowski LD, Robbins SM (2006) Erlin-1 and erlin-2 are novel members of the prohibitin family of proteins that define lipid-raft-like domains of the ER. *Journal of Cell Science* **119**, 3149-3160
- Brown DA (2001) Lipid droplets: proteins floating on a pool of fat. Current Biology 11, R446-449
- Brown DA (2006) Lipid rafts, detergent-resistant membranes, and raft targeting signals. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 21, 430-439
- Brown DA, Rose JK (1992) Sorting of GPI-anchored proteins to glycolipidenriched membrane subdomains during transport to the apical cell surface. *Cell* 68, 533-544
- Chen CC, Zimmer A, Sun WH, Hall J, Brownstein MJ (2002) A role for ASIC3 in the modulation of high-intensity pain stimuli. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 99, 8992-8997
- Ciana A, Balduini C, Minetti G (2005) Detergent-resistant membranes in human erythrocytes and their connection to the membrane-skeleton. *Journal of Biosciences* 30, 317-328
- Cui Z, Zhang L, Hua Z, Cao W, Feng W, Liu Z (2007) Stomatin-like protein 2 is overexpressed and related to cell growth in human endometrial adenocarcinoma. *Oncology Reports* 17, 829-833
- de Gassart A, Geminard C, Fevrier B, Raposo G, Vidal M (2003) Lipid raftassociated protein sorting in exosomes. *Blood* 102, 4336-4344
- Desneves J, Berman A, Dynon K, La Greca N, Foley M, Tilley L (1996) Human erythrocyte band 7.2b is preferentially labeled by a photoreactive phospholipid. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 224, 108-114
- Dupree P, Parton RG, Raposo G, Kurzchalia TV, Simons K (1993) Caveolae and sorting in the *trans*-Golgi network of epithelial cells. *The EMBO Journal* 12, 1597-1605
- Eber SW, Lande WM, Iarocci TA, Mentzer WC, Hohn P, Wiley JS, Schroter W (1989) Hereditary stomatocytosis: consistent association with an integral membrane protein deficiency. *British Journal of Haematology* 72, 452-455
- Epand RF, Thomas A, Brasseur R, Vishwanathan SA, Hunter E, Epand RM (2006) Juxtamembrane protein segments that contribute to recruitment of cholesterol into domains. *Biochemistry* **45**, 6105-6114
- Fernandez I, Ying Y, Albanesi J, Anderson RG (2002) Mechanism of caveolin filament assembly. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 99, 11193-11198
- Feuk-Lagerstedt E, Samuelsson M, Mosgoeller W, Movitz C, Rosqvist A, Bergstrom J, Larsson T, Steiner M, Prohaska R, Karlsson A (2002) The presence of stomatin in detergent-insoluble domains of neutrophil granule membranes. *Journal of Leukocyte Biology* 72, 970-977
- Foster LJ, de Hoog CL, Mann M (2003) Unbiased quantitative proteomics of lipid rafts reveals high specificity for signaling factors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 100, 5813-5818
- Fricke B, Argent AC, Chetty MC, Pizzey AR, Turner EJ, Ho MM, Iolascon A, von During M, Stewart GW (2003a) The "stomatin" gene and protein in overhydrated hereditary stomatocytosis. *Blood* 102, 2268-2277

- Fricke B, Parsons SF, Knopfle G, von During M, Stewart GW (2005) Stomatin is mis-trafficked in the erythrocytes of overhydrated hereditary stomatocytosis, and is absent from normal primitive yolk sac-derived erythrocytes. *British Journal of Haematology* 131, 265-277
- Fricke B, Stewart GW, Treharne KJ, Mehta A, Knopfle G, Friedrichs N, Muller KM, von During M (2003b) Stomatin immunoreactivity in ciliated cells of the human airway epithelium. *Anatomy and Embryology* 207, 1-7
- Gallagher PG, Forget BG (1995a) Structure, organization, and expression of the human band 7.2b gene, a candidate gene for hereditary hydrocytosis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 270, 26358-26363
- Gallagher PG, Romana M, Lieman JH, Ward DC (1995b) cDNA structure, tissue-specific expression, and chromosomal localization of the murine band 7.2b gene. *Blood* **86**, 359-365
- **Gallagher PG, Turetsky T, Mentzer WC** (1996) Genomic organization and 5'flanking DNA sequence of the murine stomatin gene (Epb72). *Genomics* **34**, 410-412
- Gallagher PG, Upender M, Ward DC, Forget BG (1993) The gene for human erythrocyte membrane protein band 7.2 (EPB72) maps to 9q33-q34 centromeric to the Philadelphia chromosome translocation breakpoint region. *Genomics* 18, 167-169
- Glebov OO, Bright NA, Nichols BJ (2006) Flotillin-1 defines a clathrin-independent endocytic pathway in mammalian cells. Nature Cell Biology 8, 46-54
- Goodman MB, Ernstrom GG, Chelur DS, O'Hagan R, Yao CA, Chalfie M (2002) MEC-2 regulates C. elegans DEG/ENaC channels needed for mechanosensation. Nature 415, 1039-1042
- Green JB, Fricke B, Chetty MC, von Duering M, Preston GF, Stewart GW (2004) Eukaryotic and prokaryotic stomatins: the proteolytic link. *Blood Cells*, *Molecules*, and Diseases 32, 411-422
- Hagelberg C, Allan D (1990) Restricted diffusion of integral membrane proteins and polyphosphoinositides leads to their depletion in microvesicles released from human erythrocytes. *Biochemical Journal* 271, 831-834
- Hagerstrand H, Mrowczynska L, Salzer U, Prohaska R, Michelsen KA, Kralj-Iglic V, Iglic A (2006) Curvature-dependent lateral distribution of raft markers in the human erythrocyte membrane. *Molecular Membrane Biology* 23, 277-288
- Hajek P, Chomyn A, Attardi G (2007) Identification of a novel mitochondrial complex containing mitofusin 2 and stomatin-like protein 2. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282, 5670-5681
- Hancock JF (2006) Lipid rafts: contentious only from simplistic standpoints. Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology 7, 456-462
- Harrison T, Samuel BU, Akompong T, Hamm H, Mohandas N, Lomasney JW, Haldar K (2003) Erythrocyte G protein-coupled receptor signaling in malarial infection. *Science* 301, 1734-1736
- **Heijnen HF, Schiel AE, Fijnheer R, Geuze HJ, Sixma JJ** (1999) Activated platelets release two types of membrane vesicles: microvesicles by surface shedding and exosomes derived from exocytosis of multivesicular bodies and α-granules. *Blood* **94**, 3791-3799
- Hiebi-Dirschmied CM, Adolf GR, Prohaska R (1991a) Isolation and partial characterization of the human erythrocyte band 7 integral membrane protein. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1065, 195-202
- Hiebl-Dirschmied CM, Entler B, Glotzmann C, Maurer-Fogy I, Stratowa C, Prohaska R (1991b) Cloning and nucleotide sequence of cDNA encoding human erythrocyte band 7 integral membrane protein. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1090, 123-124
- Hiller NL, Akompong T, Morrow JS, Holder AA, Haldar K (2003) Identification of a stomatin orthologue in vacuoles induced in human erythrocytes by malaria parasites. A role for microbial raft proteins in apicomplexan vacuole biogenesis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278, 48413-48421
- Hommelgaard AM, Roepstorff K, Vilhardt F, Torgersen ML, Sandvig K, van Deurs B (2005) Caveolae: stable membrane domains with a potential for internalization. *Traffic* 6, 720-724
- Huang M, Gu G, Ferguson EL, Chalfie M (1995) A stomatin-like protein necessary for mechanosensation in C. elegans. Nature 378, 292-295
- Huber TB, Schermer B, Muller RU, Hohne M, Bartram M, Calixto A, Hagmann H, Reinhardt C, Koos F, Kunzelmann K, Shirokova E, Krautwurst D, Harteneck C, Simons M, Pavenstadt H, Kerjaschki D, Thiele C, Walz G, Chalfie M, Benzing T (2006) Podocin and MEC-2 bind cholesterol to regulate the activity of associated ion channels. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 103, 17079-17086
- Huber TB, Simons M, Hartleben B, Sernetz L, Schmidts M, Gundlach E, Saleem MA, Walz G, Benzing T (2003) Molecular basis of the functional podocin-nephrin complex: mutations in the NPHS2 gene disrupt nephrin targeting to lipid raft microdomains. *Human Molecular Genetics* 12, 3397-3405
- Iida K, Whitlow MB, Nussenzweig V (1991) Membrane vesiculation protects erythrocytes from destruction by complement. *Journal of Immunology* 147, 2638-2642
- Julicher F, Lipowsky R (1993) Domain-induced budding of vesicles. Physical Review Letters 70, 2964-2967
- Julicher F, Lipowsky R (1996) Shape transformations of vesicles with intramembrane domains. *Physical Review. E. Statistical Physics, Plasmas, Fluids,* and Related Interdisciplinary Topics 53, 2670-2683
- Kanzaki A, Yawata Y (1992) Hereditary stomatocytosis: phenotypical expression of sodium transport and band 7 peptides in 44 cases. British Journal of

Haematology 82, 133-141

- Kobayakawa K, Hayashi R, Morita K, Miyamichi K, Oka Y, Tsuboi A, Sakano H (2002) Stomatin-related olfactory protein, SRO, specifically expressed in the murine olfactory sensory neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience* 22, 5931-5937
- Kurzchalia TV, Parton RG (1999) Membrane microdomains and caveolae. Current Opinion in Cell Biology 11, 424-431
- Lande WM, Thiemann PV, Mentzer WC Jr. (1982) Missing band 7 membrane protein in two patients with high Na, low K erythrocytes. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 70, 1273-1280
- Lang DM, Lommel S, Jung M, Ankerhold R, Petrausch B, Laessing U, Wiechers MF, Plattner H, Stuermer CA (1998) Identification of reggie-1 and reggie-2 as plasmamembrane-associated proteins which cocluster with activated GPI-anchored cell adhesion molecules in non-caveolar micropatches in neurons. *Journal of Neurobiology* 37, 502-523
- Langhorst MF, Reuter A, Stuermer CA (2005) Scaffolding microdomains and beyond: the function of reggie/flotillin proteins. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 62, 2228-2240
- Lauer S, VanWye J, Harrison T, McManus H, Samuel BU, Hiller NL, Mohandas N, Haldar K (2000) Vacuolar uptake of host components, and a role for cholesterol and sphingomyelin in malarial infection. *The EMBO Journal* 19, 3556-3564
- Lipowsky R (1992) Budding of membranes induced by intramembrane domains. *Journal of Physics II* 2, 1825-1840
- Liu J, Deyoung SM, Zhang M, Dold LH, Saltiel AR (2005) The stomatin/ prohibitin/flotillin/HflK/C domain of flotillin-1 contains distinct sequences that direct plasma membrane localization and protein interactions in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 280, 16125-16134
- Mairhofer M, Steiner M, Mosgoeller W, Prohaska R, Salzer U (2002) Stomatin is a major lipid-raft component of platelet α-granules. *Blood* **100**, 897-904
- Mannsfeldt AG, Carroll P, Stucky CL, Lewin GR (1999) Stomatin, a MEC-2 like protein, is expressed by mammalian sensory neurons. *Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences* 13, 391-404
- Martin S, Parton RG (2006) Lipid droplets: a unified view of a dynamic organelle. *Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology* **7**, 373-378
- Matsuo H, Chevallier J, Mayran N, Le Blanc I, Ferguson C, Faure J, Blanc NS, Matile S, Dubochet J, Sadoul R, Parton RG, Vilbois F, Gruenberg J (2004) Role of LBPA and Alix in multivesicular liposome formation and endosome organization. *Science* 303, 531-534
- Minetti G, Prohaska R, Ciana A, Salzer U (2003) New and old integral proteins of the human erythrocyte membrane (multiple letters) *Blood* **101**, 3751-3753
- Mobius W, van Donselaar E, Ohno-Iwashita Y, Shimada Y, Heijnen HF, Slot JW, Geuze HJ (2003) Recycling compartments and the internal vesicles of multivesicular bodies harbor most of the cholesterol found in the endocytic pathway. *Traffic* 4, 222-231
- Monier S, Parton RG, Vogel F, Behlke J, Henske A, Kurzchalia TV (1995) VIP21-caveolin, a membrane protein constituent of the caveolar coat, oligomerizes in vivo and in vitro. Molecular Biology of the Cell 6, 911-927
- Monne M, Nilsson I, Elofsson A, von Heijne G (1999) Turns in transmembrane helices: determination of the minimal length of a "helical hairpin" and derivation of a fine-grained turn propensity scale. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **293**, 807-814
- Morgan PG, Sedensky M, Meneely PM (1990) Multiple sites of action of volatile anesthetics in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 87, 2965-2969
- Morle L, Pothier B, Alloisio N, Feo C, Garay R, Bost M, Delaunay J (1989) Reduction of membrane band 7 and activation of volume stimulated (K<sup>+</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>)-cotransport in a case of congenital stomatocytosis. *British Journal of Haematology* **71**, 141-146
- Morrow IC, Parton RG (2005) Flotillins and the PHB domain protein family: rafts, worms and anaesthetics. *Traffic* 6, 725-740
- Morrow IC, Rea S, Martin S, Prior IA, Prohaska R, Hancock JF, James DE, Parton RG (2002) Flotillin-1/reggie-2 traffics to surface raft domains via a novel golgi-independent pathway. Identification of a novel membrane targeting domain and a role for palmitoylation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277, 48834-48841
- Murphy SC, Samuel BU, Harrison T, Speicher KD, Speicher DW, Reid ME, Prohaska R, Low PS, Tanner MJ, Mohandas N, Haldar K (2004) Erythrocyte detergent-resistant membrane proteins: their characterization and selective uptake during malarial infection. *Blood* 103, 1920-1928
- Nadimpalli R, Yalpani N, Johal GS, Simmons CR (2000) Prohibitins, stomatins, and plant disease response genes compose a protein superfamily that controls cell proliferation, ion channel regulation, and death. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 275, 29579-29586
- Neumann-Giesen C, Falkenbach B, Beicht P, Claasen S, Luers G, Stuermer CA, Herzog V, Tikkanen R (2004) Membrane and raft association of reggie-1/flotillin-2: role of myristoylation, palmitoylation and oligomerization and induction of filopodia by overexpression. *Biochemical Journal* 378, 509-518
- Nijtmans LG, Artal SM, Grivell LA, Coates PJ (2002) The mitochondrial PHB complex: roles in mitochondrial respiratory complex assembly, ageing

and degenerative disease. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 59, 143-155 O'Hagan R, Chalfie M, Goodman MB (2005) The MEC-4 DEG/ENaC chan-

- nel of *Caenorhabditis elegans* touch receptor neurons transduces mechanical signals. *Nature Neuroscience* **8**, 43-50
- Park EC, Horvitz HR (1986) Mutations with dominant effects on the behavior and morphology of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 113, 821-852
- Parton RG, Hanzal-Bayer M, Hancock JF (2006) Biogenesis of caveolae: a structural model for caveolin-induced domain formation. *Journal of Cell Sci*ence 119, 787-796
- Picot D, Loll PJ, Garavito RM (1994) The X-ray crystal structure of the membrane protein prostaglandin H2 synthase-1. *Nature* 367, 243-249
- Price MP, McIlwrath SL, Xie J, Cheng C, Qiao J, Tarr DE, Sluka KA, Brennan TJ, Lewin GR, Welsh MJ (2001) The DRASIC cation channel contributes to the detection of cutaneous touch and acid stimuli in mice. *Neuron* 32, 1071-1083
- Price MP, Thompson RJ, Eshcol JO, Wemmie JA, Benson CJ (2004) Stomatin modulates gating of acid-sensing ion channels. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279, 53886-53891
- Rajaram S, Sedensky MM, Morgan PG (1998) Unc-1: a stomatin homologue controls sensitivity to volatile anesthetics in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **95**, 8761-8766
- Rajaram S, Spangler TL, Sedensky MM, Morgan PG (1999) A stomatin and a degenerin interact to control anesthetic sensitivity in *Caenorhabditis ele*gans. Genetics 153, 1673-1682
- Rajendran L, Masilamani M, Solomon S, Tikkanen R, Stuermer CA, Plattner H, Illges H (2003) Asymmetric localization of flotillins/reggies in preassembled platforms confers inherent polarity to hematopoietic cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 100, 8241-8246
- Remillard CV, Yuan JX (2006) Transient receptor potential channels and caveolin-1: good friends in tight spaces. *Molecular Pharmacology* 70, 1151-1154
- Rivera-Milla E, Stuermer CA, Malaga-Trillo E (2006) Ancient origin of reggie (flotillin), reggie-like, and other lipid-raft proteins: convergent evolution of the SPFH domain. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 63, 343-357
- Rubin D, Ismail-Beigi F (2003) Distribution of Glut1 in detergent-resistant membranes (DRMs) and non-DRM domains: effect of treatment with azide. *American Journal of Physiology. Cell Physiology* 285, C377-383
- Saikawa N, Akiyama Y, Ito K (2004) FtsH exists as an exceptionally large complex containing HflKC in the plasma membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Structural Biology* 146, 123-129
- Salzer U, Ahorn H, Prohaska R (1993) Identification of the phosphorylation site on human erythrocyte band 7 integral membrane protein: implications for a monotopic protein structure. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* **1151**, 149-152
- Salzer U, Hinterdorfer P, Hunger U, Borken C, Prohaska R (2002) Ca<sup>2+</sup>dependent vesicle release from erythrocytes involves stomatin-specific lipid rafts, synexin (annexin VII), and sorcin. *Blood* 99, 2569-2577
- Salzer U, Hunger U, Prohaska R (2007) Insights in the organisation and dynamics of erythrocyte lipid rafts. Advances in Planar Lipid Bilayers and Liposomes, in press
- Salzer U, Prohaska R (2001) Stomatin, flotillin-1, and flotillin-2 are major integral proteins of erythrocyte lipid rafts. *Blood* 97, 1141-1143
- Samuel BU, Mohandas N, Harrison T, McManus H, Rosse W, Reid M, Haldar K (2001) The role of cholesterol and glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins of erythrocyte rafts in regulating raft protein content and malarial infection. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276, 29319-29329
- Schlegel A, Lisanti MP (2000) A molecular dissection of caveolin-1 membrane attachment and oligomerization. Two separate regions of the caveolin-1 C-terminal domain mediate membrane binding and oligomer/oligomer interactions in vivo. Journal of Biological Chemistry 275, 21605-21617
- Schlegel W, Unfried I, Prohaska R (1996) Cloning and analysis of a cDNA encoding the BALB/c murine erythrocyte band 7 integral membrane protein. *Gene* 178, 115-118
- Schwarz K, Simons M, Reiser J, Saleem MA, Faul C, Kriz W, Shaw AS, Holzman LB, Mundel P (2001) Podocin, a raft-associated component of the glomerular slit diaphragm, interacts with CD2AP and nephrin. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 108, 1621-1629
- Sedensky MM, Pujazon MA, Morgan PG (2006) Tail clamp responses in stomatin knockout mice compared with mobility assays in *Caenorhabditis* elegans during exposure to diethyl ether, halothane, and isoflurane. *Anesthe*siology 105, 498-502
- Sedensky MM, Siefker JM, Koh JY, Miller DM, 3rd, Morgan PG (2004) A stomatin and a degenerin interact in lipid rafts of the nervous system of *Cae-norhabditis elegans*. American Journal of Physiology. Cell Physiology 287, C468-474
- Sedensky MM, Siefker JM, Morgan PG (2001) Model organisms: new insights into ion channel and transporter function. Stomatin homologues interact in *Caenorhabditis elegans. American Journal of Physiology. Cell Physiology* 280, C1340-1348
- Seidel G, Prohaska R (1998) Molecular cloning of hSLP-1, a novel human brain-specific member of the band 7/MEC-2 family similar to *Caenorhabditis* elegans UNC-24. Gene 225, 23-29
- Seifert A, Heppenstall PA, Riethmacher D, Lewin GR (2006) Function of the mouse stomatin-like protein-2 (mSLP2) during development and in mature

sensory neurons. Abstracts of Berlin Neuroscience Forum BNF 2006, 63

Seifert U (1993) Curvature-induced lateral phase segregation in two-component vesicles. *Physical Review Letters* 70, 1335-1338

- Sheetz MP, Singer SJ (1974) Biological membranes as bilayer couples. A molecular mechanism of drug-erythrocyte interactions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 71, 4457-4461
- Simons K, Ikonen E (1997) Functional rafts in cell membranes. *Nature* 387, 569-572
- Simons K, Vaz WL (2004) Model systems, lipid rafts, and cell membranes. Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure 33, 269-295
- Snyers L, Thines-Sempoux D, Prohaska R (1997) Colocalization of stomatin (band 7.2b) and actin microfilaments in UAC epithelial cells. *European Journal of Cell Biology* 73, 281-285
- Snyers L, Umlauf E, Prohaska R (1998) Oligomeric nature of the integral membrane protein stomatin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 273, 17221-17226
- Snyers L, Umlauf E, Prohaska R (1999a) Association of stomatin with lipidprotein complexes in the plasma membrane and the endocytic compartment. *European Journal of Cell Biology* 78, 802-812
- Snyers L, Umlauf E, Prohaska R (1999b) Cysteine 29 is the major palmitoylation site on stomatin. FEBS Letters 449, 101-104
- Solis GP, Hoegg M, Munderloh C, Schrock Y, Malaga-Trillo E, Rivera-Milla E, Stuermer CA (2007) Reggie/flotillin proteins are organized into stable tetramers in membrane-microdomains. *Biochemical Journal* 403, 313-322
- Song KS, Tang Z, Li S, Lisanti MP (1997) Mutational analysis of the properties of caveolin-1. A novel role for the C-terminal domain in mediating homo-typic caveolin-caveolin interactions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272, 4398-4403
- Stewart GW, Argent AC (1992a) Integral band 7 protein of the human erythrocyte membrane. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 20, 785-790
- Stewart GW, Argent AC, Dash BC (1993) Stomatin: a putative cation transport regulator in the red cell membrane. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1225, 15-25
- Stewart GW, Hepworth-Jones BE, Keen JN, Dash BC, Argent AC, Casimir CM (1992b) Isolation of cDNA coding for an ubiquitous membrane protein deficient in high Na+, low K+ stomatocytic erythrocytes. *Blood* **79**, 1593-1601
- Stuermer CA, Plattner H (2005) The 'lipid raft' microdomain proteins reggie-1 and reggie-2 (flotillins) are scaffolds for protein interaction and signalling. *Biochemical Society Symposium* 72, 109-118
- Suchanek M, Radzikowska A, Thiele C (2005) Photo-leucine and photomethionine allow identification of protein-protein interactions in living cells. *Nature Methods* 2, 261-267
- Tavernarakis N, Driscoll M, Kyrpides NC (1999) The SPFH domain: implicated in regulating targeted protein turnover in stomatins and other membrane-associated proteins. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **24**, 425-427
- Turner MS, Sens P, Socci ND (2005) Nonequilibrium raftlike membrane domains under continuous recycling. *Physical Review Letters* 95, 168301
- Umlauf E, Csaszar E, Moertelmaier M, Schuetz GJ, Parton RG, Prohaska R (2004) Association of stomatin with lipid bodies. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279, 23699-23709

- Umlauf E, Mairhofer M, Prohaska R (2006) Characterization of the stomatin domain involved in homo-oligomerization and lipid raft association. *Journal* of Biological Chemistry 281, 23349-23356
- Unfried I, Entler B, Prohaska R (1995) The organization of the gene (EPB72) encoding the human erythrocyte band 7 integral membrane protein (protein 7.2b). *Genomics* **30**, 521-528
- van der Goot FG, Gruenberg J (2006) Intra-endosomal membrane traffic. *Trends in Cell Biology* 16, 514-521
- van Meer G (2001) Caveolin, cholesterol, and lipid droplets? Journal of Cell Biology 152, F29-34
- Wang D, Mentzer WC, Cameron T, Johnson RM (1991) Purification of band 7.2b, a 31-kDa integral phosphoprotein absent in hereditary stomatocytosis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 266, 17826-17831
- Wang D, Turetsky T, Perrine S, Johnson RM, Mentzer WC (1992) Further studies on RBC membrane protein 7.2B deficiency in hereditary stomatocytosis. *Blood* 80, 275a
- Wang Y, Morrow JS (2000) Identification and characterization of human SLP-2, a novel homologue of stomatin (band 7.2b) present in erythrocytes and other tissues. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 275, 8062-8071
- Westberg JA, Entler B, Prohaska R, Schroder JP (1993) The gene coding for erythrocyte protein band 7.2b (EPB72) is located in band q34.1 of human chromosome 9. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics 63, 241-243
- Wetzel C, Hu J, Riethmacher D, Benckendorff A, Harder L, Eilers A, Moshourab R, Kozlenkov A, Labuz D, Caspani O, Erdmann B, Machelska H, Heppenstall PA, Lewin GR (2007) A stomatin-domain protein essential for touch sensation in the mouse. *Nature* 445, 206-209
- Yokoyama H, Matsui I (2005) A novel thermostable membrane protease forming an operon with a stomatin homolog from the hyperthermophilic archaebacterium Pyrococcus horikoshii. Journal of Biological Chemistry 280, 6588-6594
- You Z, Gao X, Ho MM, Borthakur D (1998) A stomatin-like protein encoded by the slp gene of Rhizobium etli is required for nodulation competitiveness on the common bean. *Microbiology* 144, 2619-2627
- Zhang JZ, Abbud W, Prohaska R, Ismail-Beigi F (2001) Overexpression of stomatin depresses GLUT-1 glucose transporter activity. *American Journal of Physiology. Cell Physiology* 280, C1277-1283
- Zhang JZ, Hayashi H, Ebina Y, Prohaska R, Ismail-Beigi F (1999) Association of stomatin (band 7.2b) with Glut1 glucose transporter. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 372, 173-178
- Zhang L, Ding F, Cao W, Liu Z, Liu W, Yu Z, Wu Y, Li W, Li Y (2006) Stomatin-like protein 2 is overexpressed in cancer and involved in regulating cell growth and cell adhesion in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Clinical Cancer Research* 12, 1639-1646
- Zhang S, Arnadottir J, Keller C, Caldwell GA, Yao CA, Chalfie M (2004) MEC-2 is recruited to the putative mechanosensory complex in *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons through its stomatin-like domain. *Current Biology* 14, 1888-1896
- Zhu Y, Paszty C, Turetsky T, Tsai S, Kuypers FA, Lee G, Cooper P, Gallagher PG, Stevens ME, Rubin E, Mohandas N, Mentzer WC (1999) Stomatocytosis is absent in "stomatin"-deficient murine red blood cells. *Blood* 93, 2404-2410