

Development of Uniform F₁ Hybrid Varieties of Korean Radish Using Self-Incompatibility in Double-Crossing

Suhyoung Park^{1*} • Soo-Seong Lee² • Moo-Kyoung Yoon¹ • IL-Gin Mok³ • Hyo Guen Park⁴

¹ Vegetable Research Division, National Horticultural Research Institute (NHRI), Suwon 440-706, Korea

² BioBreeding, Anseung, 456-765, Korea

³ General Director, NHRI, Suwon 440-706, Korea

⁴ Pepper and Breeding, Suwon 441-100, Korea

Corresponding author: * psh@rda.go.kr

ABSTRACT

Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) is one of the major vegetables comprising 'Kimchi', which is the general term given to a group of fermented vegetables in Korea. The radish for 'Kimchi' is different from the European radish, which is used mainly in salads, and will be referred to as 'Korean radish' hereafter. Radish possesses self-incompatibility (SI) which prevents self-fertilization by recognizing self-pollen on the stigmatic surface. SI has been effectively used to produce uniform F₁ hybrid seeds; however, SI has caused problems in seed propagation of parental lines. Radish also bears a small number of seeds per pod compared to Chinese cabbage. To produce parental seeds effectively, breeders have made double-crossed (DC) varieties using similar inbreds, even though DC varieties show low uniformity. We have tried to increase seed production and uniformity by using cross-compatible near-isogenic lines (CCNILs). We have modified the single seed descent method by employing DNA markers on the *S*-locus. Hundreds of inbreds have been developed from two parental sets after one parental cross and five generations of inbreds. In each generation, PCR-based selection has been performed to select SI heterozygotes. We have tried to make inbreds possessing a different SI allele but with other genes fixed. Ways to use CCNILs in radish breeding will be discussed.

Keywords: breeding, double cross, hybrid variety, marker-assisted selection, near-isogenic lines, purity

INTRODUCTION

Self-incompatibility (SI) prevents self-fertilization and promotes out-crossing in hermaphroditic plants (Nettancourt 1997). In *Brassica* crops, SI is controlled by a single, highly polymorphic *S*-locus consisting of a series of multiple alleles (Bateman 1955; Watanabe *et al.* 2006). SI has been used to produce seeds of uniform F₁ hybrid varieties by preventing self-pollination within the two parental inbred lines (Sakamoto *et al.* 2000). However, SI causes problems in seed production of parental inbred lines and development of inbred lines by prohibiting self-pollination. Thus ways of escaping or temporal breakdown of SI have been developed.

A high concentration (approx. 5%) CO₂ treatment was developed for the temporal breakdown of the SI response (Lee 1979; Park and Jung 1983). Using Chinese cabbage and radish, a minimum of 3% CO₂ gas was supplied through pipelines at night after day-time bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) pollination. The CO₂ treatment required a greenhouse to prevent it from escaping, a CO₂ supply system composed of underground pipelines and a control box, bees as pollinators, and ventilation labour before insertion of the pollinating bees (Lee 1979). The CO₂ treatment made it possible to produce considerable amounts of parental seed to create the F₁ hybrid varieties. With the help of a high CO₂ concentration treatment, the single cross breeding method has been widely used to develop varieties in *Brassica* vegetables in Korea. However, labor and facilities for CO₂ treatment raised the seed production cost. Radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) bears a small number of seed per pod compared to other *Brassica* crops (Niikura and Matsuura 1998) such as Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L.), cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.), and mustard (*Brassic juncea* L.), which also raises the price of hybrid radish seeds.

Commercial breeders tried to produce hybrid seeds more effectively through the introduction of the double-cross method (DC) in radish. DC was proposed in cabbage and marrow stem kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. *medullosa*) to produce parental seeds using SI (Odland and Knoll 1950; Thompson 1959). To produce DC varieties in cabbage, parental materials were selected on the basis of their yield, quality, and disease resistance. The seeds of the parental materials were produced using two sets of cross-compatible inbred lines. However, the uniformity of the DC varieties was low. These low uniformity DC varieties were not acceptable as commercial varieties (Thompson 1959).

In the late 1970s, a Korean breeder in a commercial seed company tried to develop SC varieties in radish using a high CO₂ concentration treatment. Even though he encountered difficulties in seed production, he could manage to produce considerable amount of parental seeds. Before that period, land race or DC varieties were sold in seed market. Even though the first SC variety of 'Taebaek' radish was very expensive compared to other varieties, it became very popular among Korean farmers. After that, many SC varieties were developed by many commercial seed companies (Cho 2006). These days, most varieties of radish and Chinese cabbage have been developed using single cross breeding.

Because of the high seed production efficiency, commercial breeders have been trying to use double cross breeding by raising the uniformity of DC varieties by using very similar inbreds as parental lines. However, the uniformity of DC variety is low compared to that of SC. Until the present day, only small numbers of DC varieties have been developed in cheap minor small and leafy radish.

The SI response of *Brassica* is controlled by a single multi-allelic locus, the *S* locus (Bateman 1955). As the *S*

locus is a locus complex, the classical term of ‘S-allele’ was changed to ‘S-haplotype’ (Nasrallah and Nasrallah 1993). The S-locus spans ~80-100 kb and contains as many as 17 genes (Watanabe *et al.* 2000, 2006). Among them, three tightly linked highly polymorphic genes are required for the SI response; these are the *SLG*, *SRK*, and *SP11/SCR* genes. The *SLG* gene encodes a highly polymorphic secretory glycoprotein synthesized abundantly in the stigma (Nasrallah *et al.* 1987; Boyes and Nasrallah 1993). The *SRK* gene encodes a transmembrane protein, which consists of an intracellular serine-threonine protein kinase, a transmembrane domain, and an extracellular domain showing a highly similar sequence with the *SLG* gene (Stein *et al.* 1991). The S-haplotypes of radish were identified using PCR-RFLP with *SLG*- and *SRK*-specific primers (Lim *et al.* 2002). With the help of DNA markers, we tried to improve the uniformity of DC varieties using cross-compatible near-isogenic lines (CCNILs) in radish. Without the DNA markers, developing CCNILs is almost impossible because selecting SI heterozygotes through conventional methods requires a tremendous amount of time and labour.

Single seed descent (SSD) is a method that enables plant breeders to advance generations while maintaining genetic variability (Johnson and Bernard 1962). The aim of the SSD procedure is to make many homozygous lines in a short time at a low cost. In soybean, SSD was found to be more efficient than pedigree selection for yield improvement. Most advanced populations of soybean varieties are derived from elite × elite crosses that reduce segregation for many traits of economic importance (Boerma and Cooper 1975). In radish, SSD was proven to be efficient for developing big spring varieties. Two spring varieties were crossed and 300 progenies were put through SSD. Among 240 F₆ plants, 78.3% of the inbred lines showed high uniformity and 45% bolted similar or later than the late bolting parent. After combining ability and seed-bearing tests, a late bolting and high yielding spring radish cultivar was developed (Lee and Yoon 1987). Thus, we used SSD as a breeding method while adapting DNA markers to select for SI heterozygotes to develop CCNILs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

Forty-five commercial radish varieties: ‘Gilcho’, ‘Daekeun’, ‘Fall beauty’, ‘Celadon’, ‘Samyang’, ‘Jangsu’, ‘Seokwangdabal’, ‘Seoho’, ‘Yeongdong’, ‘Seonggong’, ‘Sweet home’, ‘Jangseong’, ‘Summer best’, ‘Hwangto kimjang’, ‘Cheongjinju’, ‘Cheonghak’, ‘Sunny green top’, ‘Hacheong’ from Nongwoo Bio Co., Ltd. ‘Dancheong’, ‘Cheongun’, ‘Taebaek’, ‘Gwandong yeorum’, ‘Dae-buryeong yeorum’, ‘Baekja’, ‘Cheongbok’, ‘Taewang’, ‘Tae-pyong’, ‘Haryong’, ‘Daehyeong chuseok’ from Seminis Korea Inc. ‘Green beauty’, ‘Youngsan’, ‘Togwang’, ‘Hachu’, ‘Autumn winner’, ‘Summer winner’ from Dongbu Hannong Inc. ‘Myeongsan’, ‘Baekgyeong’, ‘Yeonggwang’, ‘Jangwon’, ‘Summer green’, ‘Taecheong’, ‘King kong’ from Syngenta Korea Co. ‘Chamisl’, ‘Hangaaul’ from Sakata Korea Co., Lit. and ‘Pyeongji yeorum’ from the National Horticultural Research Institute were used to identify and classify the S-haplotypes by PCR-RFLP in order to select parental materials possessing different S-haplotypes.

Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) procedure

MAS was performed in the F₂ generation by PCR with primer pairs of RPS5 + RPS15 or SRK3 + SRK5 for the class-I S-haplotypes and RPS3 + RPS21 for the class-II S-haplotypes (Lim *et al.* 2002).

The total volume of PCR was 25 µL with 25 pmol of each primer, 100 pg of template DNA, 200 µM of each dNTP, one unit of DNA polymerase (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany), and a reaction buffer containing 250 mM KCL, 100 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.85, 50 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, and 20 mM MgSO₄. The PCR conditions were pre-denaturation for 5 min. at 94°C, 42 cycles of 1 min. at 94°C, 2 min. at 55°C, and 3 min. at 72°C, and an extension of 10 min. at

72°C with a thermal cycler (i-Cycler, Bio-Rad, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA).

The expected S-haplotypes of F₁ plants were S₁S₄, S₁₇S₄, S₁S₅, and S₁₇S₅. Among them, S₁ and S₁₇ were class-I haplotypes, and S₄ and S₅ were class-II haplotypes. When one S₁S₄ plant was self-pollinated, the expected segregation pattern of F₂ sib plants was as follows; 1 S₁S₁: 2 S₁S₄: 1 S₄S₄. Thus, when the DNA from one F₂ plant was successfully amplified by both class-I and class-II primer pairs, it was selected as a heterozygous SI plant. In order to ensure the selection of at least one SI heterozygote, four F₂ seeds originated from one F₁ plant were sown. The same method of MAS was performed in each generation.

The amplified fragments were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.2% agarose gels.

Pollination procedure

For vernalization, seedlings were incubated in a 4°C chamber for six weeks. Each plant was transplanted into a 12-cm plastic pot and moved into a greenhouse set at 15-35°C for flowering and pollination. For self-pollination, any open flowers were removed and approximately ten bud clusters were enclosed with paper bags. When five flowers had opened, the paper bags were briefly removed for pollination. Fifteen young unopened buds 5-8 mm in size were cut in the middle to expose the pistil, and the pistil was fertilized with pollen from the open flowers. After pollination, the flowers were put back in the same paper bag for 2 weeks.

RESULTS

In order to develop numerous SI heterozygous inbred lines in a short time, the SSD method was used. Unlike conventional SSD, where a single seed from each plant is sown without selection (Lee and Yoon 1987), the SI heterozygotes were selected through PCR amplification with a proper combination of primer pairs. The number of seeds to sow should be calculated by considering the cost and selection efficiency. When a heterozygous SI plant is self-pollinated, 50% of the progeny is expected to be heterozygous for the SI trait. When four seeds from each 300 self-pollinated heterozygous SI plants are sown, it is expected that 281.25 heterozygous SI plants could be obtained. The cost and labour of PCR-based selection prevented the sowing of more than four seeds from any one F₁ plant. To find a cost effective DNA extraction method for radish, which contains a lot of polysaccharides (Park *et al.* 2006), three methods were tested. The ‘FB plate’ method was the most cost efficient (Table 1), while the ‘DNeasy 96-plant kit’ yielded the cleanest bend (Choi *et al.* 2006).

Two sets of parents were selected from summer and autumn varieties, respectively. The parents should have different S-haplotypes within populations in order to develop four different SI inbred lines. One set of parents was selected from summer varieties and is referred to as ‘population 1’ hereafter. The most popular summer variety of ‘Gwandong yeorum’ (Seminis Korea, Inc.) with the S₁S₁₇ genotype and the disease-tolerant first summer variety of ‘Pyeongji yeorum’ (NHRI) with the S₄S₅ genotype were selected as the parents for ‘population 1’. The other set of ‘Haryeong’ (Seminis Korea, Inc.) with the S₂₁S₃₀ genotype and ‘King kong’

Table 1 The cost of DNA preparation methods in radish.

Method (Company)	Cost ^a (\$/sample)	No. of samples/ day/person
‘Dneasy 96-plant kit’ (Qiagen, CA, USA)	2.62	384
‘Wizard magnetic 96 DNA plant system’ (Promega, WI, USA)	2.42	384
‘FB plate’ (Milipore, MA, USA)	0.45	384
CTAB method (Rogers and Bendich 1985)	0.09	30

^a Cost included two kits, chemicals, 96-well Master block or 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes, and 96-well plates.

(Syngenta Korea, Co.) with the *S₈S₂₆* genotype was selected from autumn radish varieties and is referred to as 'population 2'.

The parents of each population were cross-pollinated and 300 F₁ seeds were sown, respectively. MAS was performed on the F₂ generation, when the SI genotype began to segregate heterozygous and homozygous plants. PCR amplification with RPS5 + RPS15 and RPS3 + RPS21 was performed on 1,168 F₂ plants of 'population 1'. In 'population 2', the MAS was performed on 1,164 F₂ plants with the RPS3 + RPS21 and SRK3 + SRK5 primers (Lim *et al.* 2002).

272 and 175 SI heterozygous F₂ plants were selected from 'population 1' and 'population 2', respectively. In 'population 2', the class-specific primers could not distinguish between the *S₈S₃₀* genotype belonging to the class-I/class-I haplotype and the *S₂₇S₂₆* genotype belonging to the class-II/class-II haplotype. Thus, approximately half of the SI-heterozygote lines were missed in the F₂ generation.

The selected plants were self-pollinated, and 346 F₂ plants bore more than four seeds. After PCR amplification with the proper primer pairs, 192 and 135 SI-heterozygote F₃ plants were selected from each population, respectively. The selected F₃ plants were self-pollinated, and 187 and 131 SI-heterozygote F₄ plants produced more than four seeds from each population, respectively (Table 2). Following self-pollination, 127 and 112 SI heterozygote F₅ plants were selected, respectively.

The seed-bearing ability of some F₃ lines were investigated by cross-pollination between SI homozygous inbred lines, which were derived from one heterozygous SI plant. Results showed that the NILs derived from '114' heterozygous SI plants produced 4.86 seeds per flower pollination.

Table 2 The size of generations selected with PCR-based markers on each population to make heterozygous SI lines using SSD in radish.

Generation Steps	№ of lines (plants)		Total	
	Population 1	Population 2		
F ₁	Sowing	300	300	600
	Seed bearing	292	291	583
F ₂	Sowing ^a	292 (1,168)	291 (1,164)	583 (2,332)
	Selection ^b	272 (272)	175 (175)	447 (447)
	Heterozygous	272 (1,088)	175 (700)	447 (1,788)
	Homozygous	11	18	29 (116)
	Failure ^c	9	98	107
	Seed bearing	205 (205)	141 (141)	346 (346)
F ₃	Sowing ^a	205 (820)	141 (564)	346 (1,384)
	Selection ^b	192 (192)	135 (135)	327 (327)
	Heterozygous	192	135	327
	Homozygous	7	6	13
	Failure	6	0	0
	Seed bearing	187 (187)	131 (131)	318 (318)

^aFour seeds were sown from each F₁/F₂ plants to select heterozygous SI genotype.
^bSelection was done through PCR amplification with primer pairs of RPS5 + RPS15 and RPS3 + RPS21 for the 'population 1' and SRK5 + SRK15 and RPS3 + RPS21 for the 'population 2'.
^cFailure was severe in the 'population 2' at the F₂ generation, because class-specific primers could not distinguish between the *S₈S₃₀* and the *S₂₇S₂₆* genotypes.

Table 3 Number of seeds per flower cross-pollination between two CCNILs with different homozygous *S*-haplotypes from the 'population 1'.

Seed parent (Class of <i>S</i> -haplotype)	Pollen parent (Class of <i>S</i> -haplotype)	№ of seeds/ Flower pollination ^d
19-a (I) ^a	19-b (II)	0.11
19-b (II) ^a	19-a (I)	3.27
114-a (I) ^b	114-b (II)	4.86
114-b (II) ^b	114-a (I)	2.67
86-a (I) ^c	86-b (II)	0.75
86-b (II) ^c	86-a (I)	1.83
Mean		1.93

^a19-a and 19-b were derived from a A19 SI heterozygous F₃ plants.
^b114-a and 114-b were derived from a A114 SI heterozygous F₃ plants.
^c86-a and 86-b were derived from a A86 SI heterozygous F₃ plants.
^dFlower pollination was done using flowers considered to be opened the day of cross-pollination in a paper bag. Ten flowers were cross-pollinated.

Other NILs produced 0.11-3.27 seeds per cross-pollination (Table 3). Compared to the average seed number of self-pollination in inbred lines of radish, 1.5, the NILs derived from '114' produced more seeds (Lee 1979). From the seed-bearing test with flower pollination, it became clear that the parental seeds for double-crosses can be produced by bee pollination using CCNILs derived from an inbred line possessing different *S*-haplotypes.

DISCUSSION

Self-incompatibility has been incorporated into the hybrid breeding of *Brassica* vegetables. Producing uniform hybrid seeds is a major step, and propagating parental lines is also a crucial step. For the production of uniform hybrid seed, inbred lines possessing high SI activity have been used as parents by commercial seed companies in Korea. For the propagation of parental lines, a high concentration CO₂ treatment has been used to avoid SI (Nakanishi *et al.* 1969; Park and Jung 1983; Horisaki *et al.* 2003). Since the CO₂ treatment has made it possible to produce a considerable amount of seeds from inbred lines, it has been widely used for developing single-cross hybrid varieties in *Brassica* vegetables.

Double-crossing (DC), in which hybrid varieties are used as parents, was suggested to overcome SI for parental seed production, and it was utilized for hybrid seed production (Nieuwhop 1968; Niikura and Matsuura 1998). Using similar inbred lines possessing heterozygous SI, Nieuwhop (1968) tried to increase the uniformity of hybrid seeds in *Brassica* vegetables. However, selecting interesting *S*-haplotypes was nearly impossible with conventional crossing methods. The conventional method requires crossing with many tester lines and investigating the progenies to make selections. Thus, phenotypically similar cross-compatible inbred lines were used as parents for making DC varieties in radish.

The mean seed number per pod of radish was 3.4 and that of Chinese cabbage was 6.5 using collected germplasm (unpublished data). Thus radish breeders have been trying to develop DC varieties to enhance parental seed production. However, the uniformity of double-crossed hybrid seed is low because the genetic bases of the parental lines for F₁ hybrid production are heterogeneous.

Highly uniform DC hybrid varieties can be developed using cross-compatible near-isogenic lines (CCNILs) in which only *S*-haplotypes are different. In this study, we developed 318 F₄ plants of heterozygotes in the *S*-locus through MAS (Table 2). The horticultural traits were investigated to check the uniformity of each line. Fifty F₄ lines were sown in a plastic house on Nov. 2, 2004. During three months of cultivation, the temperature was controlled at about 10°C. Each line showed different horticultural traits between lines but showed uniformity within lines (Fig. 1). The self-pollinated seeds of these inbreds can be divided into one set of CCNILs by MAS.

Out-crossing crops show inbreeding depression following several rounds of self-pollination (Bhalla 1999). Even though CCNILs possess different *S*-haplotypes, breeders worry that about 4~6 rounds of self-pollination will cause inbreeding depression in seed production. The seed productivity of 3 CCNILs was tested using flower pollination with inbreds of F₄ plants derived from 3 different F₃ plants. The seed number per flower pollination varied from 0.11 to 4.86. Considering that the average seed number per bud pollination is 1.5, which was carried to avoid SI, an average seed number per flower pollination of 1.95 shows the possibility of using CCNILs in breeding (Table 3).

We developed CCNILs in short period of time using SSD and MAS (Lee and Yoon 1987; Nishio *et al.* 1994; Lim *et al.* 2002; Park *et al.* 2006).

When F₁ hybrid seeds are produced with insect pollination, it is important to synchronize the flowering time by adjusting periods of vernalization, transplanting, and so forth. If the flowering duration is different, the optimal den-



Fig. 1 Uniform plant shapes of four inbred lines planted in a vinyl house at NHRI. (A) An inbred line with high plant vigor and sinuate leaf blades; (B) An inbred line of medium plant vigor, semi-erect leaves and ob-ovate leaf blades; (C) An early bolting inbred line; (D) an inbred line of low plant vigor and horizontal leaves.

sity of the parental lines should be determined to maximize usable flowering time as long as possible. The form of floral organs should be considered because bees tend to gather easily around available flowers. Otherwise, this tendency may cause uneven seed bearing (Horisaki *et al.* 2003). When we use CCNILs for parental seed production, the synchronization of flowering time is not required because the CCNILs are genetically identical. The CCNILs can be used for developing highly uniform DC varieties but also as a highly pure single-crossed variety, if the CCNILs show good horticultural traits. We found tens of male-sterile (MS) inbreds during developing CCNILs. Those CCNILs can be used as maintainers of MS when they show good combination ability between other inbreds. In total, using CCNIL for breeding double and single crossed varieties has many practical advantages in radish.

REFERENCES

- Bateman AJ (1955) Self-incompatibility system in angiosperms. III. Cruciferae. *Heredity* **9**, 52-68
- Bhalla PL (1999) *In vitro* propagation of cauliflower, *Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* for hybrid seed production. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* **56**, 89-95
- Boerma HR, Cooper RL (1975) Comparison of three selection procedures for yield in soybeans. *Crop Science* **15**, 225-229
- Boyes DC, Nasrallah JB (1993) Physical linkage of the SLG and SRK genes at the self-incompatibility locus of *Brassica oleracea*. *Molecular and General Genetics* **236**, 369-373
- Cho YH (2006) A scheme for developing new variety in vegetable. *Journal of Korean Crucifer Research Cooperative* **6**, 23-33
- Choi JK, Park S, Yun DW, Ku JH (2006) Development of high-throughput DNA extraction method for radish breeding. *Korean Journal of Horticultural Technology* **24**, 431-435
- Horisaki A, Tanaka N, Niikura S (2003) The effectiveness of insect-pollination test to evaluate the level of self-incompatibility and their genetic analysis in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **107**, 1009-1013
- Johnson HW, Bernard RL (1962) Soybean genetics and breeding. *Advances in Agronomy* **14**, 149-221
- Lee JM (1979) Studies on overcoming self-incompatibility of several Chinese cabbage and radish parent lines. *Journal of the Korean Society for Horticultural Science* **20**, 28-35
- Lee SS, Yoon HM (1987) Breeding a variety of daehyoung-bommoo with synchronously flowering parents by single seed descent method in radish, *Raphanus sativus* L. *Journal of the Korean Society for Horticultural Science* **10**, 201-206
- Lim SH, Cho WJ, Lee SJ, Cho YH, Kim BD (2002) Identification and classification of S haplotypes in *Raphanus sativus* by PCR-RFLP of the S locus glycoprotein (SLG) gene and the S locus receptor kinase (SRK) gene. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **104**, 1253-1262
- Nasrallah JB, Nasrallah ME (1993) Pollen-stigma signalling in the sporophytic self-incompatibility response. *The Plant Cell* **5**, 1325-1335
- Nasrallah JB, Kao TH, Chen CH, Goldberg ML, Nasrallah ME (1987) Amino-acid sequence of glycoproteins encoded by three alleles of S-locus of *Brassica oleracea*. *Nature* **326**, 617-619
- Nettancourt D (1997) Incompatibility in angiosperms. *Sexual Plant Reproduction* **10**, 185-199
- Nieuwhop M (1968) Single and double crosses of cole crops (*Brassica oleracea* L.). In: *Brassica Meeting of Eucarpia*, pp 2-4
- Niikura S, Matsuura S (1998) Identification of self-incompatibility alleles (S) by PCR-RFLP in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Euphytica* **102**, 379-384
- Nishio T, Sakamoto K, Yamaguchi J (1994) PCR-RFLP of the S-locus for the identification of breeding lines in cruciferous vegetables. *Plant Cell Reports* **13**, 546-550
- Odland ML, Knoll CJ (1950) The utilization of cross-compatibility and self-incompatibility in the production of F1 hybrid cabbage. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **55**, 391
- Park HG, Jung HB (1983) Effect of CO₂ on temporary breaking of self-incompatibility in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Journal of the Korean Society for Horticultural Science* **24**, 181-187
- Park S, Lee SS, Choi JK, Yoon MK, Kim KT, Lim SH, Mok IG (2006) Marker-assisted selection of self-incompatibility allows an efficient double cross breeding in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). *Acta Horticulturae* **706**, 189-194
- Rogers SO, Bendich AJ (1985) Extraction of DNA from milligram amount of fresh, herbarium and mummified plant tissues. *Plant Molecular Biology* **5**, 69-76
- Sakamoto K, Kusaba M, Nishio T (2000) Single-seed PCR-RFLP analysis for the identification of S haplotypes in commercial F-1 hybrid cultivars of broccoli and cabbage. *Plant Cell Reports* **19**, 400-406
- Stein JC, Howlett B, Boyes DC, Nasrallah ME, Nasrallah JB (1991) Molecular cloning of a putative receptor protein kinase gene encoded at the self-incompatibility locus of *Brassica oleracea*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **88**, 8816-8820
- Thompson KF (1959) Breeding better kales. *Agriculture* **65**, 487
- Watanabe M, Ito A, Takada Y, Ninomiya C, Kakizaki T, Takahata Y, Hatakeyama K, Hinata K, Suzuki G, Takasaki T, Satta Y, Shiba H, Takayama S, Isogai A (2000) Highly divergent sequences of the pollen self-incompatibility (S) gene in class-I S haplotypes of *Brassica campestris* (syn. *rapa*) L. *FEBS Letters* **473**, 139-144
- Watanabe M, Suzuki G, Shiba H, Takayama S (2006) Molecular mechanisms of self-incompatibility in Brassicaceae. In: Teixeira da Silva JA (Ed) *Floral culture, Ornamental and Plant Biotechnology; Advances and Topical Issues* (1st Edn, Vol 1), Global Science Books, Isleworth, UK, pp 552-555