

# Effects of Gamma Irradiation on Post-Harvest Deterioration of Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) Tuber by Fungi

Ejikeme Nwachukwu\* • Leonard Adamu

Department of Microbiology, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria Corresponding author: \* drejik@yahoo.com

# ABSTRACT

Three varieties of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) (SMS 909-25, COL 2215 and COL 1734) that were gamma ( $\gamma$ ) irradiated and non- $\gamma$  irradiated were investigated for susceptibility to post-harvest fungal rot by five species of moulds (*Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Penicillium oxalicum*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Fusarium solani* and *Macrophomina phaseolina*). These moulds were inoculated into tuber samples at wound depths of 10 mm with either single or paired cultures. The inoculated samples were stored in two environments (ambient temperature ( $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C) and polyethylene bags of 18 µm thickness). The most extensive rot development at ambient temperature was  $13.50 \pm 0.10$  mm by *M. phaseolina* for single cultures while pair-cultures of *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* produced 19.50 ± 0.05 mm in irradiated cassava COL 2215 but  $15.50 \pm 0.71$  mm by *M. phaseolina* for a single culture and  $21.50 \pm 0.71$  mm for pair-culture of *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* in non-irradiated cassava. The minimum rot of  $6.50 \pm 0.05$  mm by *P. oxalicum* and  $13.00 \pm 0.00$  mm by *B. theobromae* and *A. niger* occurred in irradiated cassava COL 1734 but *P. oxalicum* produced  $8.50 \pm 0.71$  mm while *P. oxalicum* and *F. solani* produced 13.75 ± 0.72 mm in non-irradiated cassava. Generally, significant (*P*>0.05) rot was recorded for non-irradiated than irradiated cassava varieties analyzed. In polyethylene bags storage, generally the highest zone of rot of  $16.50 \pm 0.05$  mm by *M. phaseolina* and 21.63 ± 0.03 mm by *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* were observed in COL 2215. *M. phaseolina* showed the highest zone of rot development in  $\gamma$  and non- $\gamma$  irradiated cassava varieties irrespective of the storage condition.

Keywords: Aspergillus, Botryodiplodia, Fusarium, Macrophomina, Penicillium, rot, storage

# INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) is a perennial vegetatively propagated shrub and a nutritionally important root crop grown in tropical climates. It is the source of staple starch food for more than 600 million people, mostly in the third world countries. The total annual cassava production worldwide is over 184 million tons, out of which 50% production is in Africa, 30% in Asia and 20% in Latin American (FAO 2007). Cassava is also increasingly being used in processed food and fodder products and by the chemical, pharmaceutical, paper and textile industries (Balagopalan 1998).

The crop is faced with major constraints responsible for poor yield and that threaten food security. Among the most important are viral and bacterial diseases, weeds, and a low protein and high cyanogenic glucoside content in its storage roots and poor storability after harvest (Keresztessy *et al.* 2001; Iglesias *et al.* 2002). Rapid post-harvest deterioration normally begins 2 or 3 days after harvest followed by microbial deterioration 5-7 days later; this renders the cassava roots completely unacceptable for human consumption. This reduces their acceptability as animal feed, and lowers the quality of starch obtained from them (Diego *et al.* 2002).

The isolation of many different types of fungi from deteriorated (rotted) cassava has been reported. Some of these have proven to be pathogenic when inoculated into healthy roots, the most notable being *Botryodiplodia theobromae*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Fusarium solani*, and *Trichoderma harzianum* (Fajola and Nwufo 1985; Efiuvwevwere and Nwachukwu 1998; Msikita *et al.* 1998). The rate of the deterioration of cassava and the type of fungi involved in the deterioration process are influenced by both wet and dry environments. Different storage techniques as preventative measures for deterioration of fresh cassava roots have been reported (Rickard and Coursey 1981).

Reducing the risks encountered during post harvest handling is almost as important a constraint as increasing output and productivity. Incentives to induce farmers to grow more cassava as an industrial raw material invariably require the genetic improvement of cassava's shelf life (Diego *et al.* 2002). Conventional genetic improvement programmes are met with reproductive and breeding barriers, such as low fertility, low hybrid seed set and poor germination rate (Nassar 2001). Such difficulties in incorporating useful and desirable traits in one genotype limit the possibility of obtaining new promising cultivars. Hence alternative ways to increase genetic variability are desirable.

Induced mutations are highly effective in enhancing natural genetic resources and have been used in developing improved cultivars of cereals, fruits and other crops (Lee et al. 2002). The induction and selection of mutants provides a simple, efficient, rapid and cheap method by which to alter the genetic make-up and obtain desired genotypes from otherwise well-adapted genotypes. The combined use of induced mutation techniques with in vitro culture methods has great potential in breeding programs. Successful longterm highly regenerable embryogenic cultures (Woodward and Puonti-Kaerlas 2001) and cyclic secondary somatic embryogenic systems (Joseph et al. 1999; Danso and Ford-Llovd 2002) have been established for experimental materials for in vitro induction of mutations in cassava through gamma irradiation and cassava tubers from the irradiated plants were used for the inoculation.

The objective of this study was to identify the major fungal pathogens responsible for cassava rots in storage, to determine the effect of the storage methods on cassava postharvest deterioration, and to determine the effect of gamma ( $\gamma$ ) irradiation on cassava post-harvest deterioration by fungi and as well the pathogenic potentials of the different combinations of fungi on cassava during storage.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Source of materials

Three cassava varieties (SMS 909-25, COL 2215, COL 1734) irradiated with 15 Gy of  $\gamma$  radiation by the IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency, Australia) were collected from the National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI), Umudike, Nigeria. These varieties were those available in the Research Institute and were developed by radiation treatment of 15 Gy  $\gamma$  dose of cassava stem cuttings. The radiated plants were maintained for 8 months.

#### Sterilization of cassava tubers

Whole cassava tubers of 5 to 10 cm long and 5 cm wide were surface-sterilized by dipping completely in 70% ethanol for some seconds and rinsed twice in sterile distilled water.

#### Source of fungal isolates

Certified fungal strains (*A. niger*, *P. oxalicum*, *F. solani*, *B. theobromae* and *M. phaseolina*) were collected from stock cultures of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan. The colonial morphology and pigmentation of the isolates were recorded before sub-culturing. Each of the isolates was subcultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) and incubated at 28°C for 3 to 5 days to ensure purity of the fungal isolates. Thereafter a portion of the fungal mycelium was teased out in a drop of lactophenol cotton blue on a grease-free microscope slide (Samson and Van Reenen-Hoekstra 1988) and examined microscopically. Cultural and morphological characteristics were observed and compared with earlier descriptions (Samson *et al.* 1984).

# Inoculation of cassava samples with fungal isolates

Four similarly sized (5 to 10 cm long, and 5 cm wide), 8-monthsold freshly harvested cassava roots, with peels intact, for each of the three varieties were used for the inoculation study. Surface sterilization of the tubers was done using 70% alcohol as described above. Using a 5 mm diameter cork borer, cylindrical cores (10 mm in length) were removed from the cassava samples. From the edge of 7-day old growth of the isolates, a 4 mm disc size inoculum (singly or in various combinations) were aseptically introduced into the holes made in the cassava root samples and then sealed with sterile vaseline (Weevasighe and Nagvi 1985; Nwachukwu 2006). Controls were non- $\gamma$  irradiated cassava tubers inoculated with the isolates.

The experiments were carried out at two different storage environments polyethylene bags storage and ambient temperature  $(26 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$ . Four replicate tubers of cassava were used for each test fungus and repeated twice. In storage in polyethylene bags, the inoculated cassava samples were placed individually in sterile polyethylene bags (Pentagon Plastic Ind., Nigeria) 18 µm thick. The other set of inoculated samples were kept in ambient temperature storage. Both samples were monitored and kept stored in the laboratory for four weeks. At weekly intervals the samples were examined for induction of rot. The extent of rot was determined by two measurements (i.e., vertically and horizontally) and the means recorded in mm (Nwachukwu 2006). Small portions of the lesions were aseptically transferred onto PDA to confirm that the infection was caused by the inoculants.

## Statistical analysis

Data generated from evaluation of varieties and microbial deterioration was analyzed by two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and statistical significance was assessed at P=0.05. Means were separated using Least Significant Difference at P=0.05. The student's *t*-test was used to compare zones of rot between irradiated and non-irradiated cassava varieties used in the study.

# RESULTS

Five species of moulds (*A. niger, F. solani, P. oxalicum, B. theobromae* and *M. phaseolina*) were used for the pathogenicity test of  $\gamma$ -irradiated and non-irradiated (control) cassava varieties (SMS 909-25-15GY, COL 2215-15GY and COL 1734-15GY). The pathogenicity test showed that the five fungal isolates caused decay of cassava. However, each

**Table 1** Deterioration levels (mm) on irradiated cassava by fungalisolates after 4 weeks of storage at ambient temperature  $(26 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$ .

Organism	Varieties		
	SMS 909-25	COL 2215	COL 1734
Botryodiplodia	$7.50\pm0.15\ f$	$9.50\pm0.05\ cd$	$8.00\pm0.00\ ef$
theobromae			
Penicillium oxalicum	$9.50\pm0.05\ cd$	$13.00\pm0.05~a$	$6.50\pm0.05~g$
Aspergilus niger	$10.00\pm0.00\ bc$	$11.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ ab}$	$9.00\pm0.10~de$
Fusarium solani	$10.00\pm0.00\ bc$	$9.50 \pm 0.05 \ cd$	$8.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ ef}$
Macrophomina	$11.50\pm0.50\ ab$	$13.50 \pm 0.10 \text{ a}$	$9.50\pm0.15~cd$
phaseolina			
B + P	$14.00\pm0.00\ jk$	$16.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ ef}$	$14.00\pm0.00~jk$
B + A	$16.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ ef}$	$15.50\pm0.05~gh$	$13.00\pm0.00\ m$
B + F	$15.00\pm0.00\ hi$	$16.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ fg}$	$14.00 \pm 0.10$ jk
B + M	$17.00 \pm 0.10 \text{ de}$	$18.00\pm0.00\ bc$	$13.50\pm0.05\ kl$
P + A	$14.50 \pm 0.05$ ij	$18.00\pm0.10\ bc$	$14.00 \pm 0.10$ jk
P + F	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ kl	$17.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ cd}$	$13.00\pm0.00\ m$
P + M	$15.50 \pm 0.15$ gh	$19.50 \pm 0.05$ a	$14.50 \pm 0.20$ ij
A + F	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ kl	$16.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ ef}$	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ kl
A + M	$16.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ fg}$	$18.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ b}$	$14.00 \pm 0.10$ jk
F + M	$15.00 \pm 0.10$ hi	$17.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ cd}$	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ kl
Overall <sup>a</sup>			
Single	$9.70\pm0.07\ b$	$11.40 \pm 0.05$ a	$8.30\pm0.07\ c$
Pair	$15.05\pm0.05\ b$	$17.35 \pm 0.05 \text{ a}$	$13.65\pm0.07\ c$

Each value represents the mean from two independent experiments and the overall mean  $\pm$  standard error. Lesions (values) induced by each isolate or pair in the same row having different letters are significantly different at *P*=0.05. Pair: B+P = *B*. theobromae + *P*. oxalicum; B+A = *B*. theobromae + *A*. niger; P+A = *P*. oxalicum + *A*. niger; F+M = *F* solani + *M*. phaseolina, A+F = *A*. niger + *F*. solani. etc

<sup>a</sup> Each value in a column represents the overall mean of lesions/rots induced either by all the five singly or pair-inoculated organisms for 4 weeks.

**Table 2** Deterioration levels (mm) on non-irradiated cassava by fungalisolates after 4 weeks of storage at ambient temperature  $(26 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$ .

Organism	Varieties			
	SMS 909-25	COL 2215	COL 1734	
Botryodiplodia	$10.75 \pm 1.06 \text{ d}$	$12.75\pm0.35\ bc$	$10.50\pm1.25\ d$	
theobromae				
Penicillium	$11.50\pm0.71\ cd$	$15.50 \pm 0.71 \text{ a}$	$8.50\pm0.71\ e$	
oxalicum				
Aspergilus niger	$12.50 \pm 0.71$ bc	$13.25\pm0.35\ b$	$10.75 \pm 1.06 \text{ d}$	
Fusarium solani	$10.75 \pm 0.71 \text{ d}$	$11.50 \pm 0.71 \text{ cd}$	$10.25 \pm 0.35 \ d$	
Macrophomina	$15.50 \pm 0.71$ a	$15.50 \pm 0.71$ a	$13.25\pm0.35\ b$	
phaseolina				
B + P	15.50 ± 0.71 ij	$17.50 \pm 0.35$ ef	$14.75\pm1.06\ kl$	
B + A	$16.75 \pm 0.35$ gh	$17.00 \pm 0.71 \text{ fg}$	$14.50 \pm 0.71$ kl	
B + F	$16.00 \pm 0.71$ hi	$16.50 \pm 0.35$ gh	$14.00 \pm 0.35 l$	
B + M	$18.50 \pm 0.35 \text{ de}$	$19.75 \pm 1.06 \text{ bc}$	$15.00 \pm 0.71$ jk	
P + A	15.50 ± 0.71 ij	$19.25 \pm 0.71$ cd	$14.50 \pm 0.35$ kl	
P + F	$14.75 \pm 0.71$ kl	$18.50 \pm 0.71 \text{ de}$	$13.75 \pm 0.71 \text{ m}$	
P + M	$17.50 \pm 0.71$ ef	$21.50 \pm 0.71$ a	15.50 ± 0.35 ij	
A + F	$14.50\pm0.71\ kl$	$17.50 \pm 0.71$ ef	$14.25 \pm 0.711$	
A + M	$17.00 \pm 0.71 \text{ fg}$	$20.00\pm0.71~b$	$14.50 \pm 0.71$ kl	
F + M	$16.50 \pm 0.35$ gh	$18.75 \pm 0.71 \text{ de}$	$14.00 \pm 0.551$	
Overall <sup>a</sup>				
Single	$12.20\pm0.78~b$	$13.70 \pm 0.57$ a	$10.65 \pm 0.74$ c	
Pair	$16.25 \pm 0.60$ b	$18.63 \pm 0.67$ a	$14.48 \pm 0.55$ c	

Each value represents the mean from two independent experiments and the overall mean  $\pm$  standard error. Lesions (values) induced by each isolate or pair in the same row having different letters are significantly different at *P*=0.05. Pair: B+P = *B. theobromae* + *P. oxalicum*; B+A = *B. theobromae* + *A. niger*; P+A = *P. oxalicum* + *A. niger*; F+M = *F. solani* + *M. phaseolina*, A+F = *A. niger* + *F solani*, etc.

<sup>a</sup> Each value in a column represents the overall mean of lesions/rots induced either by all the five singly or pair-inoculated organisms for 4 weeks.

 Table 3 Influence of storage conditions on the induced lesion in irradiated cassava SMS 909-25 by fungal isolate after 4 weeks.

Inoculum	Ambient temperature	Polyethylene bags
	(26 ± 2°C)	(18 μm)
Single		
Botryodiplodia theobromae	$7.50\pm0.05~g$	$11.50 \pm 0.15 \text{ c}$
Penicillium oxalicum	$9.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ ef}$	$15.00\pm0.00\ ab$
Aspergillus niger	$10.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ de}$	$15.00\pm0.10\ ab$
Fusarium solani	$10.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ de}$	$10.50\pm0.05~cd$
Macrophomina phaseolina	$11.50 \pm 0.15 \text{ c}$	$15.50 \pm 0.00$ a
Pair		
B + P	$14.00 \pm 0.00$ hi	$16.13 \pm 0.05 \text{ de}$
B + A	$16.50\pm0.05\ cd$	$15.50 \pm 0.04 \text{ ef}$
B + F	$15.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ fg}$	$15.75 \pm 0.05 \text{ ef}$
B + M	$17.00\pm0.10\ bc$	$18.00 \pm 0.03$ a
P + A	$14.50\pm0.05~gh$	$17.50 \pm 0.15 \text{ ab}$
P + F	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ ij	$15.88 \pm 0.06 \text{ ef}$
P + M	$15.50 \pm 0.15 \text{ ef}$	$18.25 \pm 0.03$ a
A + F	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ ij	$16.00 \pm 0.07 \text{ de}$
A + M	$16.00 \pm 0.00$ de	$17.63\pm0.10\ ab$
F + M	$15.00 \pm 0.10 \text{ fg}$	$15.50 \pm 0.08 \text{ ef}$

Each value represents the mean from two independent experiments and the overall mean  $\pm$  standard error. Lesions (values) induced by each isolate or pair in the same row having different letters are significantly different at *P*=0.05. Pair: B+P = *B*. theobromae + *P*. oxalicum; B+A = *B*. theobromae + *A*. niger; P+A = *P*. oxalicum + *A*. niger; F+M = *F*. solani + *M*. phaseolina , A+F = *A*. niger + *F* solani, etc.

<sup>a</sup> Each value in a column represents the overall mean of lesions/rots induced either by all the five singly or pair-inoculated organisms for 4 weeks.

 Table 4 Influence of storage conditions on the induced lesion in irradiated cassava COL 2215 by fungal isolates after 4 weeks.

Inoculum	Ambient temperature	Polyethylene bags
	(26 ± 2°C)	(18 μm)
Single		
Botryodiplodia theobromae	$9.50\pm0.05~g$	$13.00 \pm 0.10 \text{ de}$
Penicillium oxalicum	$13.00 \pm 0.05 \text{ de}$	$15.50\pm0.05\ bc$
Aspergillus niger	$11.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ f}$	$16.00\pm0.04\ ab$
Fusarium solani	$9.60\pm0.00~g$	$13.00 \pm 0.01 \text{ de}$
Macrophomina phaseolina	$13.50 \pm 0.10 \text{ d}$	$16.50 \pm 0.05$ a
Pair		
B + P	$16.50\pm0.05\ hi$	$17.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ fg}$
B + A	$15.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ jk}$	$17.88 \pm 0.06 \text{ fg}$
B + F	$16.00 \pm 0.01$ ij	16.13 ± 0.05 ij
B + M	$18.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ ef}$	$18.13 \pm 0.04 \text{ ef}$
P + A	$18.00 \pm 0.10 \text{ ef}$	$19.00\pm0.04\ cd$
P + F	$17.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ fg}$	$19.13 \pm 0.07 \text{ cd}$
P + M	$19.50 \pm 0.06 \text{ bc}$	$21.63 \pm 0.03$ a
A+F	$16.50 \pm 0.05$ hi	$18.38 \pm 0.04 \text{ ef}$
A + M	$18.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ de}$	$20.00\pm0.05\ b$
F + M	$17.00\pm0.05~gh$	$17.38\pm0.08~gh$

Each value represents the mean from two independent experiments and the overall mean  $\pm$  standard error. Lesions (values) induced by each isolate or pair in the same row having different letters are significantly different at *P*=0.05. Pair: B+P = *B*. theobromae + *P*. oxalicum; B+A = *B*. theobromae + *A*. niger; P+A = *P*. oxalicum + *A*. niger; F+M = *F*. solani + *M*. phaseolina, A+F = *A*. niger + *F* solani, etc.

<sup>a</sup> Each value in a column represents the overall mean of lesions/rots induced either by all the five singly or pair-inoculated organisms for 4 weeks.

of the fungi induced rot of different dimensions when inoculated within the cassava tissue. The extent of rot increased with storage time, irrespective of inoculum. The experiments were carried out in two different storage environments: in polyethylene bags and at ambient temperature ( $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C). The levels of rots in irradiated and non irradiated cassava roots stored in ambient temperature are shown in **Tables 1** and **2** while the levels of rots in irradiated cassava roots stored in polyethylene bags compared with those in ambient temperature are shown in **Tables 3-5**.

In irradiated SMS 909-25, the most extensive rot was observed with *M. phaseolina*, followed by *A. niger* and *F. solani* while the least level of rot development was observed with *B. theobromae* in a single isolate (**Table 1**). In paired isolates, *B. theobromae* and *M. phaseolina* showed

 Table 5 Influence of storage conditions on the induced lesion in irradiated cassava COL 1734 by fungal isolates after 4 weeks.

Inoculum	Ambient temperature	Polyethylene bags
	(26 ± 2°C)	(18 µm)
Single		
Botryodiplodia theobromae	$8.00 \pm 0.00$ gh	$10.50\pm0.05\ bc$
Penicillium oxalicum	$6.50 \pm 0.05$ i	$9.00 \pm 0.10 \text{ ef}$
Aspergillus niger	$9.50 \pm 0.10$ de	$10.00\pm0.00\ cd$
Fusarium solani	$8.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ fg}$	$11.00\pm0.00\ b$
Macrophomina.phaseolina	$9.50 \pm 0.15$ de	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ a
Pair		
$\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{P}$	$14.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ fg}$	$15.38 \pm 0.10 \text{ de}$
B + A	$13.00 \pm 0.05$ hi	$14.88 \pm 0.26 \text{ ef}$
$\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{F}$	$14.00 \pm 0.01 \text{ fg}$	$15.38\pm0.05~de$
B + M	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ gh	$16.75 \pm 0.13 \text{ b}$
P + A	$14.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ fg}$	$16.50\pm0.28~b$
P + F	$13.00 \pm 0.00$ hi	$15.50 \pm 0.21 \text{ cd}$
P + M	$14.50 \pm 0.20 \text{ ef}$	$17.50 \pm 0.29$ a
A+F	$13.50 \pm 0.05$ gh	$14.50 \pm 0.06 \text{ ef}$
A + M	$14.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ fg}$	$14.75 \pm 0.06 \text{ ef}$
F + M	$13.50\pm0.05~gh$	$16.13\pm0.07\ bc$

Each value represents the mean from two independent experiments and the overall mean  $\pm$  standard error. Lesions (values) induced by each isolate or pair in the same row having different letters are significantly different at *P*=0.05. Pair: B+P = *B*. theobromae + *P*. oxalicum; B+A = *B*. theobromae + *A*. niger; P+A = *P*. oxalicum + *A*. niger; F+M = *F*. solani + *M*. phaseolina, A+F = *A*. niger + *F* solani, etc.

<sup>a</sup> Each value in a column represents the overall mean of lesions/rots induced either by all the five singly or pair-inoculated organisms for 4 weeks.

the highest levels of rots followed by *B. theobromae* and *A. niger* while *P. oxalicum* and *F. solani* as well as *A. niger* and *F. solani* showed the least levels of rots development.

In irradiated COL 2215, higher level of rot was observed with *M. phaseolina* followed by *P. oxalicm* while *B. theobromae* and *F. solani* produced the least levels of rots (**Table 1**). But *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* produced the highest levels of rots when the moulds were combined while *B. theobromae* and *A. niger* produced the least levels of rots.

In COL 1734, *M. phaseolina* showed higher level of rot followed by *A. niger* while *P. oxalicum* induced the least rot development in single organisms. *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* induced higher levels of rots when the moulds were combined while *B. theobromae* and *F. solani* induced the least levels of rots (**Table 1**).

In non- irradiated cassava varieties (**Table 2**), the induction of more rots was observed in SMS 909-25 with *M. phaseolina*, followed by *A. niger* while the least levels of rots were observed with *B. theobromae* and *F. solani* in single organisms. Inoculated cultures of *B. theobromae* and *M. phaseolina* showed more rots, followed by *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* while *P. oxalicum* and *F. solani* showed the least level of rots development.

In the variety, COL 2215, more rots were observed with *M. phaseolina*, *P. oxalicum* followed by *A. niger* and *F. solani*. *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* produced the highest level of rot when the moulds were combined followed by *A. niger* and *M. phaseolina* while *B. theobromae* and *A. niger* produced the least level of rot (**Table 2**).

Similarly, in non-irradiated COL 1734, *M. phaseolina* induced higher level of rot followed by *Aspergillus niger* while *Penicillium oxalicum* induced the least level of rot in single organisms. *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* induced higher levels of rots when the moulds were combined followed by *P. oxalicum* and *F. solani* (Table 2).

In **Table 3**, *M. phaseolina* in polyethylene bags storage showed the highest level of rot  $(15.50 \pm 0.00 \text{ mm})$  compared with the level of rot  $(11.50 \pm 0.15 \text{ mm})$  of *M. phaseolina* in ambient temperature storage for single organisms. In the same vein, a higher level of rot was also observed in combination of *P. oxalicum* and *A. niger* compared with the level of rot in *P. oxalicum* and *A. niger* in ambient temperature storage. However, the least level of rot induction in polyethylene bags storage was observed in *F. solani* (10.50  $\pm$  0.05 mm) though higher than the level of rot of *F. solani* in ambient temperature.

When moulds were combined, *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* levels of rots (18.25  $\pm$  0.03 mm) in polyethylene bags storage was higher than the levels of rots (15.50  $\pm$  015 mm) of *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* in ambient temperature storage. The levels of rots of *B. theobromae* and *M. phaseolina* in polyethylene bags storage were higher than the *B. theobromae* and *M. phaseolina* in ambient temperature storage. The least level of rot showed by *F. solani* and *M. phaseolina* in polyethylene bags storage was higher than that of *F. solani* and *M. phaseolina* in ambient temperature storage.

In **Table 4** the level of rot induced by *M. phaseolina* (16.50  $\pm$  0.05 mm) in irradiated cassava stored in polyethylene bags was higher than that of ambient temperature storage (13.50  $\pm$  0.10 mm). Similar trend was also observed between the levels of rots induced by *A. niger* in irradiated cassava stored in polyethylene bags (16.50  $\pm$  0.05 mm) and ambient temperature (11.50  $\pm$  0.05 mm) storage for single organisms.

*P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* produced more rots  $(21.63 \pm 0.03 \text{ mm})$  in irradiated cassava tubers stored in polyethylene bags compare to that of ambient temperature storage  $(19.50 \pm 0.06 \text{ mm})$  when isolates were inoculated in pairs.

In **Table 5**, *M. phaseolina* showed the highest level of rot  $(13.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm})$  in irradiated cassava stored in polyethylene bags compared to the level of rot  $(9.50 \pm 0.15 \text{ mm})$  in ambient temperature storage. In the same vein, the levels of rots produced by *F. solani*  $(11.00 \pm 0.00 \text{ mm})$  in irradiated cassava tubers stored in polyethylene bags were higher than the rot  $(8.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm})$  in ambient temperature storage for single isolate while *P. oxalicum* produced rots  $(9.00 \pm 0.10 \text{ mm})$  in polyethylene bags and  $(6.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm})$  in ambient temperature storage.

For pair-isolate, *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina* showed the highest levels of rots  $(18.25 \pm 0.29 \text{ mm})$  in polyethylene compared with the levels of rots in ambient temperature storage  $(14.50 \pm 0.20 \text{ mm})$  while the level of rot  $(16.75 \pm 0.13 \text{ mm})$  was produced by *B. theobromae* and *M. phaseolina* in polyethylene bags and rots  $(13.50 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm})$  in ambient temperature storage.

#### DISCUSSION

Moulds have been found to be the major cause of deterioration of crops (Efiuvwevwere and Nwachukwu 1998; Msikita *et al.* 1998). This is supported by the present study which showed five genera of moulds (*Botryodiplodia, Penicillium, Aspergillus, Fusarium* and *Macrophomina*) as the causal agents of rots of these cassava varieties; SMS 909-25, COL 2215 and COL 1734. Each of the fungi *Botryodiplodia, Penicillium, Aspergillus, Fusarium* and *Macrophomina* species induced rot of different dimensions when inoculated within the cassava tissue. The association of these moulds with induction of cassava rots is probably due to their widespread occurrence in the environment and their ability to produce pectinolytic enzymes (Ugwuanyi and Obeta 1997).

Generally, significant (P >0.05) deterioration was observed in the non-irradiated cassava than the irradiated (**Tables 1, 2**). Also, similar observation has been reported of irradiated Ghanaian cultivar 'Bosom nsia' with gamma radiation, mutants – VT1 and VT2 resistant to *Africa cassava mosaic virus* (Ahiabu *et al.* 1997).

This observation may be due to genetic changes in the mutants as a result of irradiations (Yu *et al.* 2000; Joseph and Yeoh 2004). According to Lee *et al.* (2002), these variations in the level of rots observed between irradiated and non-irradiated cassava might be due to genetic changes such as chromosomal aberrations or even structural mutations of some genes. Mutations dramatically altered the composition of starch (Yu *et al.* 2000), protein and dry mat-

ter, and reduction in the storage root cyanogens (Nwachukwu *et al.* 1997) content thereby causing significant changes in the physio-chemical properties. In several induced mutant plants, morphological differences in storage organs along with quantitative and qualitative differences in starch biosynthesis have been identified and characterized (Ancora and Sonnino 1987; Smith 1993; Coleman *et al.* 1995). Also, the slight or marked variations reported may be due to cassava sources including location; cultural practices during production, soil types or a combination of two or more of these factors (Jackson *et al.* 1992).

In the single inoculation the most significant rot was caused by *M. phaseolina* irrespective of the variety and the storage conditions. This result agrees with the earlier reports by Mihail and Taylor (1995) that M. phaseolina is one of the major pathogens of cassava probably because the fungus M. phaseolina is a soil pathogen distributed worldwide with a host range of more than 500 plant species. Beas-Fernandez et al. (2006) reported that the fungus attacks a broad spectrum of economically important crops such as beans and maize. P. oxalicum and/or A. niger also produced high rots in cassava. This similar observation was reported by Nwachukwu (2006) of the rots caused by Penicillium spp., A. niger and Fusarium spp. in yam. The least rot development by the fungi varied considerably within the three varieties (Tables 1, 2). The inoculation of single fungus in the irradiated cassava showed a similar rots trend in non-irradiated cassava.

Furthermore, more extensive rots were observed when isolates were combined especially with the combination of *P. oxalicum* and *M. phaseolina*, followed by *A. niger* and *M. phaseolina*. The induction of a larger rots when combined moulds were inoculated compared to single mould inoculation, may be due to a synergism between two moulds. The least rots development varied in the combination of moulds within the three varieties. The induction of least development of rots when combined moulds were inoculated may be as a result of antagonism and/or pathogenic activity of these moulds (Fajola and Nwufo 1985; Efiuvwevwere and Nwachukwu 1998).

The differences in the magnitude of the induction of rots by the five species of moulds in the three varieties reflect the varietal differences in their responses to these moulds. Generally more deterioration was observed in variety COL 2215 while the least deterioration in COL 1734. This observation suggests a possible existence of cassava genotypes resistant or susceptible to these moulds. The differences observed in the extents of rots induced by the fungi may also be due to the differential penetration ability of the mould into the cassava tissues.

More rots were observed in cassava stored in polyethylene bags than ambient temperature. Since injured cassava roots have a higher respiration rates, the 18 µm thick polyethylene storage bags may enhance a relatively high water vapour build-up (Hui 1992) thereby supporting fungal growth and deterioration in cassava. This may in part be responsible for the extensive rots in cassava roots stored in polyethylene bags than in ambient temperature (Tables 3-5). This observation agrees with the work of Efiuvwevwere and Oyelade (1991) that accumulation of condensate enhanced microbial proliferation in similar packs. However, apart from the moisture content, the type of inoculums may contribute to the extent of rots induced in the cassava varieties (Efiuvwevwere and Oyebanji 1999). Also, increase in moisture content of the stored cassava samples implies more favourable conditions for fungal growth and enzyme activities.

This study has shown that the fungal isolates (*Botryo-diplodia*, *Penicillium*, *Aspergillus Fusarium* and *Macropho-mina* species) induced rot of different dimensions when inoculated within the cassava tissue. The extent of rot was relatively influenced by storage condition. However, more rots were generally observed in non-irradiated than irradiated cassava varieties irrespective of the storage conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahiabu RK, Lokko Y, Dnaso K, Klu GYP (1997) Mutagenesis for ACMV resistance in Ghanaian cassava cultivar "Bosom nsia" IAEA – TECDOC-951, pp 9-18
- Ancora G, Sonnino A (1987) In vitro induction of mutation in potato. In: Bajaj YPS (Ed) Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry (Vol 3), Springer, Berlin, pp 408-424
- Balagopalan C (1998) A prelude to cassava-based industrial biotechnology. In: Kurup GT, Palaniswami MS, Pottv VP, Padmaia G, Kabeerathumma S, Pillai SV (Eds) *Tropical Tuber Crops, Problems and Future Strategies*, Science Publishers, New Delhi, India, pp 3-12
- Beas-Fernández R, De Santiago A, Hernández-Delgado S, Mayek-Perez N (2006) Characterization of Mexican and Non-Mexican isolates of *Macro-phomina phaseolina* based on morphological characteristics, pathogenicity on bean seeds and endoglucanase genes. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 88, 53-60
- Coleman CE, Lopes MA, Gillikin JW, Boston RS, Larkins BA (1995) A defective signal peptide in the maize high-lysine mutant *floury2*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 92, 6828-6831
- Danso KE, Ford-Lloyd BV (2002) Induction of high-frequency somatic embryos in cassava for cryopreservation. *Plant Cell Reports* 21, 226-232
- Diego FC, Kim R, Emmanuel O, Beeching JR, Iglesias C, Tohme J (2002) Mapping wound-response genes involved in post-harvest physiological deterioration (PPD) of cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz). *Euphytica* 128, 47-53
- Effiuvwevwere BJO, Nwachukwu E (1998) Incidence of yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* Poir) rots inoculation-induced quality changes, and control chemical fungicides and modified atmosphere. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 14, 255-243
- Efiuvwevwere BJO, Oyelade JA (1991) Biodeteriorative and physico-chemical changes in modified atmosphere packaged oranges and the microbial quality of the preserved and unpreserved juice. *Tropical Science* **31**, 325-333
- Efiuvwevwere BJO, Oyebanji AO (1999) Growth of spoilage mould and aflatoxin B<sub>12</sub> production in naturally contaminated or artificially inoculated maize as influence by moisture content under ambient tropical condition. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation* **44**, 209-217
- Fajola AO, Nwufo MI (1985) Control of corn roots of cocoyam (Colocasia escelenta) caused by Botryodiplodia theobromae. Fitopatologia Brasileira 10, 49-53
- FAO (2007) Production Year Book: Cassava (Vol 48), pp 93-94
- Hui YH (1992) Encyclopaedia of Food Science and Technology (Vol 3), Wiley, New York, total pp
- Iglesias CA, Sanchez T, Yeoh HH (2002) Cyanogens and linamarase activities in storage roots of cassava plants from breeding program. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* 15, 379-387
- Jackson FLC, Jackson RT, Delumen BO, Sio SF, Dinkins L, Mohammad AFH (1992) Cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) in Liberia: History, geography, traditional processing, and cyanogenic glucose levels. *Ecology, Food and Nutrition* 28, 227-242

Joseph R, Yeoh CS (2004) Induced mutations in cassava using somatic em-

bryos and the identification of mutant plants with altered starch yield and composition. *Plant Cell Reports* 23, 91-98

- Joseph S, Girish T, Nair SG, Vasudevan K (1999) Induction and recovery of acyanogenic mutants in cassava. In: Balagopalan C, Nayar TVR, Sundaresan S, Premkumar T, Lakshmi KR (Eds) *Tropical Tuber Crops in Food Security and Nutrition*, Oxford and IBH, New Delhi, pp 124-127
- Keresztessy Z, Brown K, Dun MA, Hughes MA (2001) Identification of essential active-site residues in the cyanogenic β-glucosidase (linamarase) from cassava (*Manihot esculentum* Crantz) by site-directed mutagenesis. *Biochemistry Journal* 353, 199-205
- Lee YI, Lee IS, Lim YP (2002) Variations in sweet potato regenerates from gamma-ray irradiated embryogenic callus. *Journal of Plant Biotechnology* 4, 163-170
- Mihail JD, Taylor SJ (1995) Interpreting of variability among isolates of Macrophomina phaseolina in pathogenicity, pycnidium production, and chlorate utilization. Canadian Journal of Botany 73, 1596-1603
- Msikita W, James B, Wilkinson, HT, Juba JH (1998) First report of Macrophomina phaseolina causing pre-harvest cassava root rot in Benin and Nigeria. Plant Disease 82, 1042
- Nassar NM (2001) The nature of apomixes in cassava (*Manihot esculentum* Crantz). *Hereditas* 134, 185-187
- Nwachukwu E (2006) Susceptibility of yam (*Dioscorea rotundata*) to biodeterioration following different chemical treatments. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environment* 8(1), 39-43
- Nwachukwu EC, Mbanaso ENA, Ene LSO (1997) Improvement of cassava for high dry matter, starch and low cyanogenic glucoside content by mutation induction. In Ahloowalia BS (Ed) Improvement of Basic Food Crops in Africa through Plant Breeding, Including the Use of Induced Mutations, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, IAEA-TECDOC-951, pp 93-97
- Rickard JE, Coursey DG (1981) Cassava storage Part 1: storage of fresh cassava. Tropical Science 23, 1-32
- Samson RA, Hoekstra ES, van Oorshot CAN (1984) Introduction to Foodborne Fungi (2<sup>nd</sup> Edn), Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn
- Samson RA, van Reenen-Hoekstra ES (1988) Introduction to Food-borne Fungi (3<sup>rd</sup> Edn), Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Baarn
- Smith AM (1993) Starch biosynthesis and the potential for its manipulation, In: Grierson D (Ed) *Biosynthesis and Manipulation of Plant Products* (Vol 3), Academic Press, London, pp 1-44
- Ugwuanyi JO, Obeta JAN (1997) Some pectinolytic and cellulolytic enzymes activities of fungi causing rots of cocoyams. *Journal of the Science of Food* and Agriculture **73**, 432-436
- Weerasinghe B, Nagvi SHZ (1985) Some comparable physiological studies on selected isolates of *Botryodiploidia theobromae* Pat. Causing storage rot of yams, cassava and sweet potato in Nigeria. *International Journal of Biodeterioration* 21, 225-228
- Woodward B, Puonti-Kaerlas J (2001) Somatic embryogenesis from floral tissues of cassava (*Manihot esculentum* Crantz). *Euphytica* 120, 1-6
- Yu T-S, Lue W-L, Wang S-M, Chen J (2000) Mutation of Arabidopsis plastid phosphoglucose isomerase affects leaf starch synthesis and floral initiation. *Plant Physiology* 123, 319-325