

Uptake of Heavy Metals, and Antioxidative Enzymes in *Brassica juncea* L. Seedlings as Affected by Zn in Binary Combinations with Other Heavy Metals

Rupinder Kaur • Renu Bhardwaj • Ashwani K. Thukral*

Department of Botanical and Environmental Sciences, Guru Nanak Dev University Amritsar, 143005, India Corresponding author: * akthukral@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

The present study attempts to understand the uptake of heavy metals and stress tolerance in *Brassica juncea* L. seedlings under the effect of Zn in binary combinations with Cr, Ni, Co and Cu through the production of antioxidative enzymes - superoxide dismutase (SOD), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX), catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and glutathione reductase (GR). It was observed that the order of uptake of heavy metals by the seedling in single metal solutions was Zn > Cu > Co > Cr > Ni. Zn in binary combination with other heavy metals, mutually decreased the uptake of each other, the maximum decrease being 66.1% in the uptake of Cr in (Cr100+Zn100) binary solution. All the metals, whether applied singly or in combinations, significantly increased the activities of antioxidative enzymes, except for CAT. Zn was the most effective metal in increasing the activities of antioxidative enzymes. At 100 mg I^{-1} , it increased the activities of GR, GPX and APX by 101%, 64%, and 42% respectively, whereas maximum SOD activity (16 mM UA mg⁻¹ protein) was induced by 100 mg I^{-1} Cr. Of all the binary combinations, Zn+Co and Zn+Ni were most effective in increasing the activities of GPX and GR, respectively, whereas Zn+Cu and Zn+Cr increased the activities of APX and SOD, respectively. Binary interaction models revealed that Cr, Ni, Co and Cu act antagonistic to Zn to increase the activity of GR, whereas for GPX, APX and SOD, these metals in binary combinations with Zn, were mutually antagonistic, thereby causing a negative interactive effect. Cr, Co and Cu were mutually antagonistic to Zn for catalase activity, whereas interaction between Ni and Zn was synergistic for this enzyme.

Keywords: chromium, cobalt, copper, metal interactions, nickel

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; APX, ascorbate peroxidase; CAT, catalase; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; GDHP, guaiacol dehydrogenation product; GPX, guaiacol peroxidase; GR, glutathione reductase; GSSG, glutathione disulphide; NADPH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotoide phosphate; NBT, nitroblue tetrazolium chloride; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SOD, superoxide dismutase

INTRODUCTION

The advent of technology in the late 20th century ushered in an era of industrial revolution with the accompanying undesirable generation of waste products on a vast scale. Industrial wastes impregnated with heavy metals, besides being detrimental to the health of humans and animals, extensively damage living and natural resources in the environment. Heavy metals are nondegradable pollutants that undergo bioaccumulation along higher trophic levels of the food chain, thereby accentuating their toxic effects. Phytoremediation is a technology for the abatement of pollution that embraces unique property of plants for the uptake and accumulation of heavy metals to decontaminate polluted soils and waters. The hypertolerance of plants to metals is a key characteristic required for hyperaccumulation of metals, and the tolerance capacity of plants depends on an interrelated network of physiological and molecular mechanisms (Khan et al. 2009). Plants exposed to heavy metals evoke a vast array of defense mechanisms, such as immobilization, exclusion, chelation and compartmentalization of heavy metal ions, expression of stress proteins and activation of ethylene response to stress (Cobbett 2000). Much of the injury to the plants caused by stress exposure is associated with oxidative damage at the cellular level. The generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is implicated as a stress response (Dietz et al. 1999; Mollar et al. 2007). Heavy metal stress in plants results in the production of ROS such as O₂, H₂O₂ and ⁻OH. ROS are also generated in plant cells

during normal metabolic processes, where their production is well regulated, but metal toxicity enhances the formation of ROS up to 30-fold (Mittler 2004), leading to disturbances in the metabolic pathway and macromolecule dam-age (Hegedus *et al.* 2001). However, plants are surfeited with various mechanisms to combat excessive ROS formation. The deleterious effects resulting from cellular oxidative stress may be alleviated by the antioxidative defence machinery of the plant (Halliwell 1987) comprising of enzymatic and non-enzymatic free radical scavengers. Enzymatic scavengers include SOD, APX, GPX, GR, dehydroascorbate reductase and monodehydroascorbate reductase. The non-enzymatic antioxidants include ascorbic acid, α tocopherol, glutathione, carotenoids and polyamines, etc. (Wang et al. 2004; Narang at el. 2008a). The antioxidative enzyme defence layer is largely provided by specific enzymes including SODs as a family of metalloenzymes, catalyzing the dismutation of the superoxide anion to H_2O_2 and molecular oxygen (Alsher et al. 2002). A fine regulation of H_2O_2 is achieved by the enzymes and metabolites of the ascorbate glutathione cycle that are crucial for determining

the steady state levels of O_2 and H_2O_2 (Narang *et al.* 2008b). Hyperaccumulator plants used for phytoremedation have acclimated themselves well against homeostatic disturbances and cellular damages by evoking antioxidative enzyme induction as a general adaptive response to toxic effects of heavy metals (Van and Clijsters 1998). The extent of such tolerance and the degree of adaptation is highly variable in which the efficiency and capacity of the detoxification mechanism play an important role. Several studies have been carried out on oxidative stress and defense mechanism in plants under stress (Hall 2002; Lin et al. 2004; Liu et al. 2007). However, most of the studies on the impact of heavy metals on the antioxidative defence mechanism of higher plants have focused on the effects of individual metals, generally neglecting multiple metal stresses, thus leaving a dearth of information on the possible interactive effects arising due to natural association among various metals co-existing in contaminated soils. It is notable that very often industrial wastes are impregnated with a variety of heavy metals in potentially toxic concentrations (Wallace 1989; Siedlecka 1999; Prasad 2002) that may have synergistic, additive or antagonistic effects in plants. Zinc, a group II-B transition element is considered to be one of the most essential micronutrients for plants. Zn plays an important role in several cellular functions such as photosynthetic carbon metabolism, gene expression and chromatin struc-ture (Marschner 1995; Cakmak and Braun 2001). It is an important constituent of various vital enzymes playing catalytic, co-catalytic or structural roles, as well as being a structural stabilizer for proteins, membrane and DNA binding proteins (Vallee and Auld 1990). However, beyond a certain threshold value, it is toxic at higher concentrations. Moreover, Zn in combination with other heavy metals may exhibit different interactive effects on the physiology and metabolism of plants. Therefore, the effect of metal mixtures on a model plant system needs to be investigated critically, as the phytotoxic and interactive aspects of metal mixtures are complex processes (Taylor et al. 1990). Exploration of biochemical and molecular mechanisms by which plants tolerate multiple metal stresses leads to a thorough understanding of the plasticity of metabolic pathways and their limits of functioning, which is essential from a practical standpoint of optimizing phytoremediation by improving the cellular defence mechanism to combat heavy metal stress of hyperaccumulator plants (Aravind and Prasad 2005). In view of this, the present investigation explores the interactive effects of Zn and other heavy metals on the antioxidative metabolism of B. juncea, in order to understand the biochemical strategies adopted by this plant against oxidative stress and that would further improve its phytoremediation potential.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant growth conditions

Certified seeds of B. juncea L. cv 'PBR-91' used in the present investigation were procured from Punjab Agriculture University, Ludhiana, India. This cultivar was chosen from among the various commercial varieties of B. juncea, viz., PBR-97, PBR-91, Laha-101 and Pusa Agrani, since it shows overall stability for most of the important yield contributing characters under the prevailing conditions in the area of study healthy seeds, selected by flotation method, were surface sterilized with 0.01% HgCl₂ and were allowed to germinate in Petri dishes lined with Whatman #1 filter paper containing solutions of heavy metals (Cr, Ni, Co and Cu) in different concentrations, singly or in binary combinations with Zn. For heavy metal uptake studies, the concentrations of heavy metals used were: 0, 25, 50 and 100 mg 1⁻¹, both for single metal treatments and binary combinations. At concentrations higher than this, the seedlings did not survive in solutions containing Cr, Ni, Co and Cu. Further, it was observed (Tables 2-6) that maximum uptake of metals occurs at 100 mg l^{-1} concentrations for both Zn and other heavy metals in single metal solutions, and that Zn in binary combinations at this concentration decreases the uptake of other heavy metals and ameliorates the growth of seedlings. Therefore, for study on antioxidative enzymes following treatments were defined:

(i) Single metal treatments -0 and 100 mg l⁻¹ of each metal (Cr, Ni, Co, Cu and Zn).

(ii) Binary treatments – Zn treatments in binary combinations with other metals, all at 100 mg l^{-1} .

Surface-sterilized seeds were germinated on Whatman No. 1

filter paper, lined inside 9 cm diameter sterilized Petri plates containing aqueous solutions of heavy metals either single or in binary mixtures. Solutions were prepared using AR grade, K₂CrO₄, NiSO₄·6H₂O, CoCl₂·6H₂O, CuSO₄·5H₂O and ZnSO₄·7H₂O. All chemicals were procured from Sigma-Aldrich. Seedlings grown in double distilled water served as the controls. The Petri dishes were kept in a growth chamber maintained at $25 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C, 16:8 h darklight photoperiod (1700 Lux), for a 7-day growth period.

Metal uptake analysis

7-day old seedlings were harvested, thoroughly washed with distilled water and dried at 80°C for 24 h. The dried samples were then digested using digestion mixture (H_2SO_4 : HNO_3 : $HClO_4$ in a 1: 5: 1 ratio) (Allen *et al.* 1976). The concentration of metals in the digests was determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Model AA 6200, Shimadzu, Japan).

Antioxidative enzymes assays

The seedlings (1 g fw) were homogenized in 3 ml of 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7.0 containing 1% insoluble polyvinylpyrrolidone (Polyclar-AT, Sigma-Aldrich) in an ice chilled pestle and mortar. The homogenates were centrifuged at $15,000 \times g$ for 20 min at 5°C and the supernatants were collected and used for assessing the activities of antioxidative enzymes (catalase (CAT), glutathione reductase (GR), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), superoxide dismutase (SOD) and the protein content (Lowry 1951). Chemicals used in enzyme assays were procured from Sigma-Aldrich, Qualigens, Loba chemi, Sd-fine-chem and Central drug house, India.

Guaiacol peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.7)

The activity of peroxidase was estimated according to the method given by Putter (1974). The reaction mixture comprising 3 ml phosphate buffer, 50 μ l guaiacol solution, 100 μ l enzyme sample and 30 μ l H₂O₂ solution was taken in the test cuvette. The rate of formation of GDHP was followed spectrophotometrically at 436 nm. Enzyme activity was calculated using extinction coefficient of 25 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Catalase (EC 1.11.1.6)

Catalase activity was determined as per the method of Aebi (1974). The reaction mixture was prepared using 1.5 ml potassium buffer (100 mM, pH 7.0), 1.2 ml H₂O₂ (150 mM) and 300 μ l of enzyme extract. The decrease in absorption per minute was recorded spectrophotometerically at 240 nm. Enzyme activity was determined using an extinction coefficient of 6.93 × 10⁻³ mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Glutathione reductase (EC 1.6.4.2)

Glutathione reductase activity was measured using the method proposed by Carlberg and Mannervik (1975). The reaction mixture consisted of 1.8 ml of phosphate buffer, 300 μ l of EDTA, 300 μ l of NADPH, 300 μ l of glutathione disulphide (GSSG) and 300 μ l of enzyme extract. GR activity was determined by the oxidation of NADPH represented by decrease in absorbance per minute at 340 nm. Enzyme activity was determined using the extinction coefficient of 6.22 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹, and was calculated as the amount of enzyme required to oxidize 1 μ mol of NADPH min⁻¹ g⁻¹ tissue.

Ascorbate peroxidase (EC 1.11.1.11)

The activity of ascorbate peroxidase was estimated according to the method proposed by Nakano and Asada (1981) following a decrease in absorbance at 290 nm in a reaction mixture containing 1.5 ml K-phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.0), 300 μ l ascorbate (5 mM), 600 μ l H₂O₂ (0.5 mM) and 600 μ l of enzyme extract. Enzyme activity was determined using the extinction coefficient of 2.8 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹, and was calculated as the amount of enzyme required to oxidize 1 μ mol of ascorbate min⁻¹ g⁻¹ tissue.

Superoxide dismutase (EC 1.15.1.1)

The activity of SOD was estimated according to Kono (1978) by monitoring its potential to inhibit the photochemical reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) dye by superoxide radicals, which are produced by the autooxidation of hydroxylamine hydrochloride. The reduction of NBT was followed by the increase in absorbance at 540 nm in reaction mixture constituting 1.3 ml Na-carbonate buffer (50 mM, pH 10.0), 500 μ l NBT (96 μ M) and 100 μ l Triton X-100 (0.6%). The reaction was initiated by the addition of 100 μ l hydroxylamine-HCl (20 mM, pH 6.0) and 2 min later 7 0 μ l of en-zyme extract was added and the enzyme activity was determined as the concentration of SOD required to inhibit the reduction of NBT by 50%.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed for mean, standard deviation, standard error and coefficient of variation. Comparison among different treatments was done using Student's 't' test, and one-way and twoway ANOVA (Sokal and Rholf 1981; Bailey 1995). Honestly statistical difference (HSD) values were obtained using Tukey's multiple comparison test. Self coded software developed in MS-Excel was used. The binary interaction model developed using multiple regression technique was:

 $Y = a + b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 X_1 X_2$

where, Y is the studied parameter, X_1 and X_2 are metals in binary combinations, b_1 and b_2 are partial regression coefficients due to the effects of X_1 and X_2 respectively, and b_3 is the partial regression coefficient due to interaction between X_1 and X_2 . Unitless β regression coefficients, β_1 , β_2 and β_3 were computed to determine the relative effects of independent variables, X_1, X_2 and interaction between X_1 and X_2 . Metal interaction was interpreted as described in **Table 1**.

RESULTS

Heavy metal uptake

Metal uptake analysis of seedlings (**Tables 2, 3**) grown in single metal treatments revealed that Zn showed maximum uptake of 0.531 mg g⁻¹, whereas Ni was found to be the metal least accumulated (0.135 mg g⁻¹). In binary combinations of Zn with other metals, both the metals mutually decreased the uptake of each other. Lowest Zn uptake by the seedlings was observed in Zn (100 mg Γ^{-1})+Cr (100 mg Γ^{-1}) combination, where Cr (100 mg Γ^{-1}) caused maximum inhibition (79.6%), followed by (Zn 100+Ni 100) combination where Ni (100 mg Γ^{-1}) treatment (**Tables 4, 5**). In turn, Zn (100 mg Γ^{-1}) in binary combinations with other metals (100 mg Γ^{-1}) exerted maximum inhibitory effect on the uptake of Cr (66.1%) followed by Cu (60.4%) with respect to the treatments where these metals were applied individually. Multiple regression analysis of metal uptake revealed that in binary combinations of Zn with other heavy metals, the uptake of Zn increased with increase in Zn concentration but decreased with increase in concentration of Cr, Co and

Table 1 Binary metal interaction in terms of β regression coefficients.

Cu (Table 6). The interaction between two metals decreased the uptake of Zn in all the cases. Similarly when the uptake of other heavy metals (Cr, Ni Co and Cu) was studied, there was an increase in the uptake of heavy metals in response to their concentrations in binary combinations, but the interactive effect of Zn with other metals decreased their uptake. It was observed that supplementation of Zn to other heavy metal solutions decreased the uptake of heavy metals and ameliorates the seedling characteristics. The data obtained from the enzyme assays of single metal stressed seedlings showed that there was significant enhancement of antioxidative enzymes activity except for catalase (Table 7). Zn was observed to be the most effective metal in increasing the activities of antioxidative enzymes. Maximum increase in the activities of GR, GPX and APX (8.2, 54.07 and 7.96 mM UA mg⁻¹ protein respectively), were observed in the seedlings treated with Zn 100 mg l^{-1} alone, whereas maximum activity of SOD (48.87 mM UAmg⁻¹protein) was observed in the seedlings grown in Cr (100 mg l^{-1} treatment).

The binary combinations of metals also increased the activities of antioxidative enzymes (**Fig. 1**). It was observed that (Zn+Co) combination increased the GPX activity by 74% as compared to the control where seedlings are grown in a medium devoid of any metal. (Zn+Ni) proved to be most effective in increasing the activity of GR (8.8 mM UA mg⁻¹ protein). Maximum APX activity (8.53 mM UA mg⁻¹ protein) was observed in the seedlings treated with (Zn+Cu), whereas maximum SOD (16 mM UA mg⁻¹ protein) activity was observed in (Zn+Cr) stressed seedlings. One-way ANOVA showed that the activities of GR, GPX, APX and SOD were significantly different from each other in various binary metal combinations of Zn with other metals (**Table 8**).

Binary interaction models (Table 9) for the relative activities of different antioxidative enzymes as a function of two metals in binary combinations derived using multiple regression analysis revealed that there are significant correlations between various enzymatic activities and binary metal treatments. For GR, the partial and β regression coefficients for Zn in all the binary treatments were negative, whereas for Ni, Co, Cu and Cr, these were positive. The overall interactive effect of binary treatments on GR activity was found to be positive, thereby showing that Ni, Co, Cu and Cr are antagonistic to Zn for the activity of GR. The partial and β regression coefficients due to all the metals for GPX, APX and SOD were observed to be positive, showing thereby that these metal ions increased the activities of these enzymes but the interactive effects of Zn with other metals (Ni, Co, Cu and Cr) on the activity of these enzymes were negative, implying that these metals in binary combinations were mutually antagonistic and thus reduced the activities of GPX, APX and SOD.

DISCUSSION

In nature the plants occurring at industrially polluted and metalliferous sites are exposed to multiple heavy metal stress (Krupa *et al* 2002). Higher plants are not very often used in such experiments because of the high degree of complexity, although algal models have been extensively

Variables			Interaction
X1	X_2	$X_1 X_2$	
	β-regression co	oefficients	
β1	β_2	β ₃	
+	+	+	Synergistic (S)
-	-	-	Synergistic (S)
+	+	-	Antagonistic (A)
-	-	+	Antagonistic (A)
+	-	+	Mixed: X_1 antagonistic to X_2 , but X_2 synergistic to X_1 (M)
+	-	-	Mixed: X_1 synergistic to X_2 but X_2 antagonistic to X_1 (M)
+/-	+/-	0	Additive (0)

Plant Stress 3 (1), 17-25 ©2009 Global Science Books

Table 2 Metal uptake (Mean \pm SE) by the seed	ngs of B. juncea grown in Petri plates containing bina	ary combinations of Zn with other heavy metals.
Motel treatments (mg 1 ⁻¹)	Cr±7n	

Metal treatments (mg I ⁻¹)	Cr+Zn							
		Cr	uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw)					
	Zn (0)	Zn (25)	Zn (50)	Zn (100)				
Cr (25)	0.100 ± 0.02	0.083 ± 0.01	0.076 ± 0.01	0.060 ± 0.007				
Cr (50)	0.119 ± 0.01	0.066 ± 0.01	0.049 ± 0.004	0.060 ± 0.007				
Cr (100)	0.180 ± 0.04	0.072 ± 0.02	0.051 ± 0.004	0.061 ± 0.011				
		Zn	uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw)					
	Cr (0)	Cr (25)	Cr (50)	Cr (100)				
Zn (25)	0.221 ± 0.05	0.147 ± 0.02	0.130 ± 0.003	0.113 ± 0.04				
Zn (50)	0.316 ± 0.08	0.180 ± 0.084	0.175 ± 0.032	0.153 ± 0.025				
Zn (100)	0.531 ± 0.11	0.217 ± 0.07	0.202 ± 0.05	0.108 ± 0.021				
			Ni+Zn					
		Niu	uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw)					
	Zn (0)	Zn (25)	Zn (50)	Zn (100)				
Ni (25)	0.093 ± 0.026	0.088 ± 0.01	0.085 ± 0.02	0.100 ± 0.02				
Ni (50)	0.135 ± 0.045	0.061 ± 0.02	0.064 ± 0.001	0.089 ± 0.005				
Ni (100)	0.135 ± 0.022	0.092 ± 0.04	0.057 ± 0.01	0.074 ± 0.002				
		Zn	untake (mg g ⁻¹ dw)					
	Ni (0)	Ni (25)	Ni (50)	Ni (100)				
Zn (25)	0.221 ± 0.05	0.204 ± 0.03	0.128 ± 0.004	0.116 ± 0.009				
Zn(23)	0.221 ± 0.05 0.316 ± 0.08	0.204 ± 0.05 0.172 ± 0.05	0.120 ± 0.004 0.189 ± 0.08	0.156 ± 0.009				
Zn(100)	0.510 ± 0.00 0.531 ± 0.11	0.396 ± 0.07	0.25 ± 0.09	0.158 ± 0.05				
211 (100)	0.001 = 0.11	0.070 - 0.07	$\frac{0.23 \pm 0.03}{\text{Co+Zn}}$	0.120 - 0.02				
	Co uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw)							
	Zn (0)	Zn (25)	Zn (50)	Zn (100)				
Co (25)	0.110 ± 0.016	0.103 ± 0.001	0.076 ± 0.001	0.084 ± 0.03				
$C_{0}(50)$	0.110 ± 0.010 0.158 ± 0.12	0.093 ± 0.001	0.087 ± 0.001	0.102 ± 0.01				
$C_0(100)$	0.120 ± 0.12 0.224 ± 0.06	0.185 ± 0.07	0.007 ± 0.02 0.109 ± 0.005	0.182 ± 0.09				
	0.221 - 0.000	7 n 1	untake (mg g^{-1} dw)					
	Co (0)	Co (25)	$C_0 (50)$	Co (100)				
Zn (25)	$\frac{0.0000}{0.0000}$	0.234 ± 0.02	0.134 ± 0.01	0.129 ± 0.03				
Zn(23)	0.316 ± 0.08	0.140 ± 0.02	0.239 ± 0.02	0.127 ± 0.005 0.187 ± 0.005				
Zn(100)	0.510 ± 0.00 0.531 ± 0.11	0.368 ± 0.06	0.233 ± 0.02 0.271 ± 0.07	0.257 ± 0.002				
211 (100)	0.001 = 0.11	0.000 - 0.00	$\frac{Cu+7n}{Cu+7n}$	0.207 ± 0.02				
	Cu untake (mg g ⁻¹ dw)							
	7n (0)	7n (25)	$\frac{1}{7n}$ (50)	7 n (100)				
Cu (25)	$\frac{2\pi}{0.081\pm0.02}$	0.065 ± 0.01	0.068 ± 0.004	0.056 ± 0.001				
Cu(23)	0.031 ± 0.02 0.168 ± 0.006	0.003 ± 0.01	0.008 ± 0.004	0.050 ± 0.001				
$C_{\rm H}(100)$	0.108 ± 0.000 0.235 ± 0.008	0.094 ± 0.013	0.068 ± 0.029	0.002 ± 0.01 0.003 ± 0.02				
Cu (100)	0.233 ± 0.008	0.114 ± 0.02	0.008 ± 0.02	0.075 ± 0.02				
	<u>Cu</u> (0)	<u> </u>	Cru (50)	C. (100)				
7. (25)								
Zn (25) Zn (50)	0.221 ± 0.05	0.260 ± 0.07	0.144 ± 0.09	0.130 ± 0.005				
$\ln(50)$	0.316 ± 0.08	0.241 ± 0.01	0.121 ± 0.04	0.143 ± 0.02				
Zn (100)	0.331 ± 0.11	$0.26 / \pm 0.06$	0.290 ± 0.04	0.276 ± 0.09				

employed to examine the interactions among heavy metals (Taylor 1989; Visviki and Rachlin 1991; Rachlin and Grosso 1993). The present study revealed that the uptake of an ion is mutually inhibited by the uptake of Zn in the binary combinations. The study finds support from Symeonidis and Karataglis (1992) who reported decreased Pb uptake in the presence of Zn in Holcus lanatus. Wu and Zhang (2002) also found that increasing Zn application could alleviate Cd toxicity stress in barley by improving growth and reducing membrane damage. It is generally conceded that the uptake of nutrients in plants is metabolically regulated (Salisbury and Ross 1992). The mutual inhibition of ion uptake may be attributed to competitive interactions during ion uptake as is generally observed for a group of closely related anions or cations. Further, the interaction of dissolved metals with biological cell membranes influences the transportation, chemistry, bioaccumulation, and relative toxicity of metals. Metal ions react with various surface functional groups such as sulphydryl, amino, carboxyl, hydroxide, oxide, etc. thereby complicating the development of a general relationship between the aqueous chemistry of metals, their interaction among themselves and subsequently their toxicological properties (Dirilgen 2001).

Uptake and accumulation of heavy metals in plants is often accompanied by the activation of numerous intracellular changes, some of which are directly involved to the contribution of metal tolerance capacity of plant, especially of hyperaccumulators (Hall 2002). Overproduction of ROS is the most common consequence of heavy metal stress, and to maintain the homeostasis under stress conditions, the balance between generation degradation of ROS is necessary. To mitigate and to repair the oxidative damage caused by the enhanced production of ROS, a strong antioxidative system in plants is induced (Schutzendubel and Polle 2002). Cao et al. (2005) on the basis of molecular, physiological and genetic approaches demonstrated that the elevation in antioxidative enzymes was the result of enhanced expression of DET 2 gene which enhanced the resistance to oxidative stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. The response of antioxidative enzymes to metal stress, remains controversial and shows variation among plant species and among dif-ferent tissues (Mazhoudi et al. 1997), and also varies with metal concentration (Chaoui and El Feriani 2005). Narang et al. (2008) observed an enhancement in antioxidative enzymes in response to mercury accumulation in Eichhornia crassipes. In the present investigation, it was expected that the exposure of mustard plants to high metal stress could elevate the levels of antioxidative enzymes. Comparing the activity of 5 anti-oxidative enzymes, it was evident that the uptake of heavy metals induced a strong antioxidative response in B. juncea seedlings by increasing the activities of all the antioxidative enzymes except for catalase. Our reHeavy metals and antioxidative enzymes. Kaur et al.

 Table 3 Two-way ANOVA for metal uptake in *B. juncea* seedlings grown in Petri dishes containing binary combinations of Zn with other heavy metals.

 Source of variation
 df
 SS
 MSS
 F-ratio
 SS
 MSS
 F-ratio

Source of variation	ui	66	1100	1 -1 4110	55	14100	1-1410
				2	Zn+Cr		
		7	Zn uptake (mg g ⁻¹ d	w) (Cr+Zn)	Cr	uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw) (Zn+Cr)
Zn	3	0.167	0.056	30.50***	0.021	0.007	28.66***
Metal	2	0.068	0.034	18.61***	0.001	0.001	2.92
Zn x Metal	6	0.048	0.008	4.35*	0.007	0.001	4.64*
Error	12	0.022	0.002		0.003	2.4×10^{-4}	
Total	23	0.304			0.032		
					Zn+Ni		
		7	Zn uptake (mg g ⁻¹ d	lw) (Ni+Zn)	Ni	uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw) (Zn+Ni)
Zn	3	0.125	0.042	13.72***	0.010	0.003	5.56*
Metal	2	0.160	0.080	26.46***	3.3×10^{-05}	1.7×10^{-5}	0.029
Zn x Metal	6	0.034	0.006	1.881	0.005	0.001	1.403
Error	12	0.036	0.003		0.007	0.001	
Total	23	0.355			0.021		
				7	Zn+Co		
		2	Zn uptake (mg g ⁻¹ d	w) (Co+Zn)	Co	uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw) (Zn+Co)
Zn	3	0.097	0.032	15.23***	0.016	0.005	3.46*
Metal	2	0.138	0.069	32.395***	0.031	0.015	9.782**
Zn x Metal	6	0.052	0.009	4.094*	0.006	0.001	0.607
Error	12	0.026	0.002		0.019	0.002	
Total	23	0.313			0.072		
				7	Zn+Cu		
		Zn uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw) (Cu+Zn)		Cu uptake (mg g ⁻¹ dw) (Zn+Cu)) (Zn+Cu)	
Zn	3	0.121	0.040	14.97***	0.033	0.011	36.12***
Metal	2	0.112	0.056	20.724***	0.014	0.007	23.499***
Zn x Metal	6	0.050	0.008	3.090*	0.014	0.002	7.403***
Error	12	0.032	0.003		0.004	3.1x10 ⁻⁴	
Total	23	0.315			0.065		

Significant at*** $p \le 0.001$, ** $p \le 0.01$ * $p \le 0.05$

Table 4 Percentage change in uptake of metals in *B. juncea* seedlings grown in binary combinations of Zn (100 mg Γ^1) with other heavy metals (100 mg Γ^1) with respect to single metal treatments.

Treatments		Uptake in single metal solution (100 mg g^{-1}) (Mean \pm SE)	Uptake in binary combination $(mg g^{-1})$ (Mean \pm SE)	% change in uptake in binary combination
Uptake of Zn				
Zn+Cr	Zn	0.531 ± 0.06	$0.108 \pm 0.02^{***}$	-79.6
Zn+Ni	Zn	0.531 ± 0.06	$0.158 \pm 0.05 **$	-70.2
Zn+Co	Zn	0.531 ± 0.06	0.257 ± 0.03 **	-51.8
Zn+Cu	Zn	0.531 ± 0.06	$0.276 \pm 0.09*$	-48.0
Uptake of other metals				
Zn+Cr	Cr	0.180 ± 0.04	0.061 ± 0.01 **	-66.1
Zn+Ni	Ni	0.135 ± 0.022	0.074 ± 0.002 **	-45.2
Zn+Co	Co	0.224 ± 0.059	0.187 ± 0.09	-16.9
Zn+Cu	Cu	0.235 ± 0.008	$0.093^* \pm 0.019^{***}$	-60.4
Significant at *** $p \le 0.001$	$1 **p \le 0.0$	$1 * p \le 0.05$ using 't' test		

Table 5 One-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test for metal uptake in *B. juncea* seedlings grown in single heavy metal solutions of Cr. Ni. Co. Cu and Zn. each at 100 mg l^{-1}

tions of Cr, Ni, Co, Cu and Zh, each at 100 mg 1.									
Source of variation	SS	df	MS	F-ratio	HSD				
Between groups	0.20	4	0.05	29.45**	0.16				
Within groups	0.01	5	0.002						
Total	0.20	9							

Significant at **p ≤ 0.01

sults find support from Wang *et al.* (2004) who reported that in *B. juncea* seedlings treated with Cu²⁺ ions there is increase in POX, APX and SOD activities, whereas the CAT activity decreased. It is also reported that in many plant species, excessive uptake of heavy metals such as Ni, Cd and Pb induce a strong increase in POX activity (Mazhoudi *et al.* 1997; Baccouch *et al.* 2001). Since SOD plays a pivotal role, as it is the first line of defence against ROS (Hassan and Scandalios 1990), it catalyses the conversion of superoxide anion to O₂ and H₂O₂. An increase in SOD activity in response to heavy metal stress has been reported earlier (Schutzendubel *et al.* 2001). Khan *et al.* (2009) observed increase in SOD activity under As-stressed *B. juncea* seedlings. Moreover, Mobin and Khan (2006) also reported pronounced increase in SOD activity in *B. juncea* seedlings grown in Cd treatments. Increase in the activity of SOD under Zn, Co, Cu and Ni stress as observed in the present study may be due to the increased availability of these metal ions that act as prosthetic groups in SOD. Moreover this increased activity of SOD may be due to the induction of genes of SOD by superoxide mediated signal transduction (Alavarez et al. 1997), which causes de novo synthesis of enzyme proteins (Verma and Dubey 2003), thereby causing more superoxide generation. H₂O₂, the product of SOD activity, is also toxic to cells and has to be further detoxified by CAT and the ascorbate-glutathione cycle. In the present study, no significant change in the catalase activity showed that CAT did not involve in the active reduction of H₂O₂ irrespective of high metal stress. Similar pattern of CAT activity has been reported earlier in response to various abiotic stresses (Kocis et al. 2002).

Ascorbate glutathione cycle in chloroplast is the main component of defence system for scavenging H_2O_2 that ultimately converts H_2O_2 to H_2O and O_2 . This cycle mainly involves APX, POX and GR. The results of the present study showed increase in APX activity which is coherent with the findings of Israr *et al.* (2006) and Yoshimura *et al.* (2000). Since APX and peroxidase eliminate H_2O_2 by converting ascorbate to dehydroascorbate, the increased production of dehydroascorbate is recycled back to the ascor-

Table 6 Multiple regression interaction models for metal uptake (Y) in the seedlings of *B. juncea* grown in Petri dishes containing binary combinations (X_1+X_2) of Zn (X_1) with other heavy metals (X_2) .

Treatments	Multiple regression equations with interaction	Correlation		β regression c	oefficients	Interaction
		coefficient r	β1	β2	Interaction β ₃	type
Zn uptake in	binary combinations					
Zn+Cr	$Y(Zn) = 0.12 + 2.9E-03 X_1 - 1.9E-04 X_2 - 2.7E-05 X_1X_2$	0.8224**	0.83	-0.07	-0.69	Μ
Zn+Ni	$Y(Zn) = 0.10 + 3.8E-03 X_1 + 7.1E-05 X_2 - 3.6E-05 X_1X_2$	0.9488***	1.02	0.02	-0.86	А
Zn+Co	$Y(Zn) = 0.12 + 3.4E-03 X_1 - 2.2E-04 X_2 - 2.2E-05 X_1X_2$	0.8841**	0.96	-0.07	-0.56	Μ
Zn+Cu	$Y(Zn) = 0.17 + 2.6E-03 X_1 - 1.0E-03 X_2 - 1.0E-05 X_1X_2$	0.8365**	0.74	-0.35	-0.27	Μ
Metal uptake	e in binary combinations					
Zn+Cr	$Y(Cr) = 0.08 + 5.4E-04 X_1 - 1.3E-04 X_2 - 7.8E-06 X_1X_2$	0.6888*	0.48	-0.13	-0.62	Μ
Zn+Ni	$Y(Ni) = 0.08 + 3.4E-04 X_1 + 2.1E-04 X_2 - 8.2E-06 X_1X_2$	0.5597	0.44	0.33	-0.95	0
Zn+Co	$Y(Co) = 0.07 + 1.2E-03 X_1 - 3.1E-04 X_2 - 9.4E-07 X_1X_2$	0.8104*	0.78	-0.24	-0.06	Μ
Zn+Cu	$Y(Cu) = 0.06 + 1.3E-03 X_1 - 6.9E-05 X_2 - 1.2E-05 X_1X_2$	0.8027*	0.81	-0.05	-0.69	Μ
Ciaul Carent at	**** <0.001 ** - <0.01 * - <0.05					

Significant at***p ≤0.001, ** p≤0.01, * p≤0.05

Table 7 Specific activities of antioxidative enzymes in the seedlings of *B. juncea* grown in binary combinations of Zn (X₁, mg l^{-1}) and other metals (X₂, mg l^{-1}), and HSD values using Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Metal treatments		Enzyme act			
	Catalase	Glutathione reductase	Guaiacol peroxidase	Ascorbate peroxidase	Superoxide dimutase
Zn+Cr					
Zn0+Cr0	4.23 ± 1.17	4.07 ± 0.75	32.9 ± 5.78	5.6 ± 0.85	10.67 ± 1.46
Zn100+Cr0	3.83 ± 0.91	8.2 ± 0.7	54.1 ± 4.07	7.96 ± 0.5	14.8 ± 1.49
Zn0+Cr100	2.96 ± 0.3	6.76 ± 1.09	47.3 ± 4.55	6.5 ± 0.7	15.23 ± 1.36
Zn100+Cr100	2.7 ± 0.62	7.5 ± 0.7	52.7 ± 3.17	7.56 ± 0.58	16 ± 0.7
Zn+Ni					
Zn0+Ni0	4.23 ± 1.17	4.07 ± 0.75	32.9 ± 5.78	5.6 ± 0.85	10.67 ± 1.46
Zn100+Ni0	3.83 ± 0.91	8.2 ± 0.7	54.1 ± 4.07	7.96 ± 0.5	14.8 ± 1.49
Zn0+Ni100	3 ± 0.2	7.53 ± 0.65	47.7 ± 5.12	7.36 ± 0.85	14.8 ± 0.36
Zn100+Ni100	2.5 ± 0.62	8.8 ± 0.26	54 ± 3.22	7.43 ± 1.06	15.6 ± 0.45
Zn+Co					
Zn0+Co0	4.23 ± 1.17	4.07 ± 0.75	32.9 ± 5.78	5.6 ± 0.85	10.67 ± 1.46
Zn100+Co0	3.83 ± 0.91	8.2 ± 0.7	54.1 ± 4.07	7.96 ± 0.5	14.8 ± 1.49
Zn0+Co100	3.16 ± 0.65	7.73 ± 0.76	48.9 ± 5.85	7.03 ± 1.42	13.6 ± 0.6
Zn100+Co100	2.96 ± 0.57	7.67 ± 0.8	57.5 ± 3.07	7.43 ± 1.08	15.1 ± 0.96
Zn+Cu					
Zn0+Cu0	4.23 ± 1.17	4.07 ± 0.75	32.9 ± 5.78	5.6 ± 0.85	10.67 ± 1.46
Zn100+Cu0	3.83 ± 0.91	8.2 ± 0.7	54.1 ± 4.07	7.96 ± 0.5	14.8 ± 1.49
Zn0+Cu100	2.96 ± 0.66	7.67 ± 0.8	44.4 ± 4.58	7.6 ± 0.79	15.1 ± 1.15
Zn100+Cu100	2.73 ± 0.58	8.1 ± 0.36	47.3 ± 3.59	8.53 ± 0.65	15.43 ± 0.93

bate with the help of GR, thereby catalyzing this last rate limiting step of glutathione cycle. Increased activity of GR under metal stress has been reported by many workers in agreement with our findings. Prasad *et al.* (1999) observed increase up to 158% in shoot GR activity in *B. juncea* seedlings raised under Zn toxicity and suggest that *B. juncea* seedlings try to counteract high concentration of oxygen species produced under zinc toxicity through a coordinated increase in the activities of enzymes involved in their detoxification In our studies it was observed that in general, the combinations of Zn with other metals further increase the activities of SOD, GPX, APX and GR.

CONCLUSION

The present study established that Zn in binary combinations with Cr, Ni, Co and Cu mutually decreased the uptake of each other. Supplementation of media containing these heavy metals with Zn helps in overcoming heavy metal toxicity due to antagonistic interactions between the two. The oxidative stress induced in B. juncea seedlings by heavy metals (single or in binary combination) is tolerated through the hyperactivity of antioxidative enzymes. The activities of SOD, GPX, APX and GR were enhanced under multiple heavy metal stress which alleviates the toxicity of heavy metal mixtures and helps in the establishment of its seedlings at multielement contaminated sites. Zn in binary combinations with other metals (Cr, Ni, Co and Cu) have mutually antagonistic effects to each other for the activities of APX, GPX and SOD. The interaction of Zn with other heavy metals for GR is a mixed interaction, Zn being synergistic to the heavy metals, but the other metals antagonistic

to it. The interactions between Zn, and Cr, Co and Cu were antagonistic for catalase, but Zn-Ni interaction was synergistic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to the Head of Department of Botanical & Environmental Sciences, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, for providing research facilities. Financial assistance from Ministry of Environment and Forests, Govt. of India is duly acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Allen SE, Grimshaw HM, Parkinson JA, Quarmby C, Roberts JD (1976) Chemical analysis. In: Chapman SB (Ed) *Methods in Plant Ecology*, Blackwell Publishers, Oxford, pp 424-426
- Alsher RG, Erturk N, Heath LS (2002) Role of superoxide dismutases in controlling oxidative stress in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53, 1331-1341
- Alvarez ME, Pennell RI, Meijer P-J, Ishikawa A, Dixon RA, Lamb C (1998) Reactive oxygen intermediates mediate a systemic signal network in the establishment of plant immunity. *Cell* 92, 773–784
- Aravind P, Prasad MNV (2005) Cadmium-Zinc interactions in a hydroponic system using *Ceratophyllum demersum* L.: Adaptive ecophysiology, biochemistry and molecular toxicology. *Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology* 17, 5-15
- Asada K, Takahashi M (1987) Production and scavenging of active oxygen in photosynthesis. In: Kyle DJ, Osmond CJ, Artzen CJ (Eds) *Photoinhibition: Topics in Photosynthesis*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 227-287
- Baccouch S, Chaoui A, El-Ferjani E (2001) Nickel toxicity induces oxidative damage in Zea mays roots. Journal of Plant Nutrition 24, 1085-1095
- Bailey NTJ (1995) Statistical Methods in Biology, The English University Press, London, 255 pp
- Cakmak I, Braun HJ (2001) Genotypic variation for zinc efficiency. In: Rey-



Enzymes

Fig. 1 Specific activities of antioxidative enzymes in the seedlings of *B. juncea* grown in binary combinations of Zn with other heavy metals. (A) Zn+Cr; (B) Zn+Ni; (C) Zn+Co; (D) Zn+Cu.

Table 8 One way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test for speci-
fic activities of antioxidative enzymes in the seedlings of B. juncea grown
in binary combinations of Zn (100 mg l^{-1}) and other metals (100 mg l^{-1}).

Source of	df	SS	MS	F-ratio	HSD				
variation			Catalase	9					
_			Zn+Cr						
Between groups	3	4.67	1.56	2.33	2.14				
Within groups	8	5.34	0.67						
Total	11	10	7. IN:						
Between groups	3	5 56	1.85	2.83	2.12				
Within groups	8	5.30	0.65	2.85	2.12				
Total	11	10.8	0.05						
			Zn+Co						
Between groups	3	3.1	1.03	1.41	2.24				
Within groups	8	5.87	0.73						
Fotal	11	8.97							
			Zn+Cu						
Between groups	3	3.1	1.03	1.41	2.24				
Within groups	8	5.87	0.73						
Total	11	8.97		1					
		Giu	ztnione re Zn±Cr	ductase					
Retween groups	3	20.4		14 20**	2.17				
Within groups	8	5 49	0.69	17.27	2.17				
Fotal	11	34.9	0.09						
			Zn+Ni						
Between groups	3	40.4	13.5	34.86***	1.63				
Within groups	8	3.09	0.39						
Fotal	11	43.5							
			Zn+Co						
Between groups	3	33	11	19.38***	1.97				
Within groups	8	4.54	0.57						
l'otal		37.5	7						
D - +	2	25.1	Zn+Cu	25 (2***	1 77				
Within groups	3	35.1	0.46	25.62****	1.//				
Fotal	0 11	3.03	0.40						
lotui	Guaiacol nerovidase								
			Zn+Cr						
Between groups	3	843	281	13.92**	11.8				
Within groups	8	162	20.2						
Fotal	11	1005							
			Zn+Ni						
Between groups	3	894	298	13.77**	12.2				
Within groups	8	173	21.6						
Total	11	1067	7.10						
Potwaan ground	2	1068	256	15 0**	12.7				
Within groups	3	1008	23.4	13.2**	12.7				
Total	11	1255	23.4						
			Zn+Cu						
Between groups	3	702	234	11.16**	12				
Within groups	8	168	21						
Fotal	11	870							
	Ascorbate peroxidase								
			Zn+Cr						
Between groups	3	10.3	3.43	7.55*	1.76				
Within groups	8	3.63	0.45						
Total	11	13.9	Zn⊥Ni						
Between groups	3	0.55	2.11TINI 2.18	1 16*	2 21				
Within groups	8	9.55	0.71	4.40	2.21				
Total	11	15.2	0.71						
			Zn+Co						
Between groups	3	9.25	3.08	2.95	2.67				
Within groups	8	8.34	1.04						
Fotal	11	17.6							
			Zn+Cu						
Between groups	3	14.6	4.88	9.59**	1.87				
Within groups	8	4.07	0.51						
Fotal	11	18.7							

df	SS	MS	F-ratio	HSD
	Su	peroxide d	imutase	
		Zn+Ci	r	
3	51.4	17.1	10.26**	3.38
8	13.4	1.67		
11	64.8			
		Zn+N	i	
3	44.8	14.9	12.74**	2.83
8	9.39	1.17		
11	54.2			
		Zn+Ce)	
3	36.9	12.3	8.703**	3.11
8	11.3	1.41		
11	48.1			
		Zn+Cu	1	
3	45	15	9.174**	3.35
8	13.1	1.64		
11	58.1			
	df 3 8 11 3 8 11 3 8 11 3 8 11 11 3 8 11	df SS 3 51.4 8 13.4 11 64.8 3 44.8 8 9.39 11 54.2 3 36.9 8 11.3 11 48.1 3 45 8 13.1 11 58.1	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & SS & MS \\ \hline & Superoxide d \\ \hline & Zn+Cr \\ \hline & & Zn+Cr \\ \hline & & & 13.4 & 1.67 \\ \hline & & & 13.4 & 1.67 \\ \hline & & & & & 11 \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline & SS & MS & F-ratio \\ \hline & Superoxide dimutase \\ \hline & Zn+Cr \\ \hline & Zn+Cr \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline & & & &$

Significant at *** $p \le 0.001$, ** $p \le 0.01$, * $p \le 0.05$

nolds MP, Ortiz-Monasterio JI, Mcnab A (Eds) Application of Physiology in Wheat Breeding, Mexico, pp 183-199

- Cakmak I, Marschner H (1993) Effect of Zn nutritional status on superoxide radical and hydrogen peroxide scavenging enzymes in bean leaves. In: Barrow NJ (Ed) *Plant Nutrition – from Genetic Engineering to Field Practice*, Kluwer Academic, pp 133-137
- Cao S, Xu Q, Cao Y, Qian K, An K, Zhu Y, Binzeng H, Zhao H, Kuai B (2005) Loss of function mutation in DET2 gene lead to an enhanced resistance to oxidative stress in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiology* **123**, 57-66
- Carlberg I, Mannervik B (1975) Purification of the flavoenzyme glutathione reductase from rat liver. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 250, 5475-5480
- Chaoui A, El-Ferjani E (2005) Effects of cadmium and copper on antioxidant capacities, lignification and auxin degradation in leaves of pea (*Pisum sati*vum L.) seedlings. Comptes Rendes Biologies 328, 23-31
- **Chen LM, Lin CC, Kao CH** (2000) Copper toxicity in rice seedlings: Changes in antioxidative enzyme activities, H₂O₂ level and cell wall peroxidase activity in roots. *Botanical Bulletin of Academy of Sciences* **41**, 99-103

Cobbett CS (2000) Phytochelatins and their roles in heavy metal detoxification. *Plant Physiology* **123**, 825-832

- Dietz KJ, Baier M, Kramer U (1999) Free radicals and reactive oxygen species as mediator of heavy metal toxicity in plants In: Prasad MNV, Hagemeyer J (Eds) *Heavy Metal Stress in Plants – From Molecules to Ecosystem*, Springer-Verleg, Berlin, pp 73-79
- **Dirilgen N** (2001) Accumulators of heavy metals in fresh water organism: Assessment of toxic interactions. *Turkish Journal of Chemistry* **25**, 173-179
- Hall JL (2002) Cellular mechanisms for heavy metal detoxification and tolerance. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56, 1-11
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC (1999) Free Radicals in Biology of Medicine, Oxford University Press, London, 347 pp
- Hassan HM, Scandalios JG (1990) Superoxide dismutase in aerobic organisms. In: Alsher RG, Cumming JD (Eds) Stress Responses in Plants: Adaptation and Acclimation Mechanisms (Vol 12), Wiley Liss Inc., New York, pp 175-199
- Hegedüs A, Erdei S, Horvath G (2001) Comparative studies of H₂O₂ detoxifying enzymes in green and greening barley seedlings under cadmium stress. *Plant Science* 160, 1085-1093
- Israr M, Sahi S, Dutta R, Sarkar D (2006) Bioaccumulation and physiological effects of mercury in Sesbania drummondii. Chemosphere 65, 591-598
- Khan I, Ahmad A, Iqbal M (2009) Modulation of antioxidant defence system for arsenic detoxification in Indian mustard. *Ecotoxiclogy and Environmental Safety* 72, 626-634
- Kocis JM, Kuo WN, Liu Y, Guruvadoo LK, Langat JL (2002) Regulation of catalase: Inhibition by peroxynitrite and reactivation by reduced glutathione and glutathione *S*-transferase. *Frontiers in Bioscience* **7**, 175-180
- Kono Y (1978) Generation of superoxide radical during autooxidation of hydroxylamine and an assay for superoxide dismutase. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 186, 189-195
- Krupa Z, Siedlecka A, Skorzynska-Polit E, Maksymiec W (2002) Heavy metal interactions in plant nutrients. In: Prasad MNV, Strazalka K (Eds) *Phy*siology and Biochemistry of Metal Tolerance in Plants, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp 287-301
- Lin KH, Weng CC, Lo HF, Chen JT (2004) Study of the root antioxidative system of tomatoes and eggplant under waterlogged conditions. *Plant Sci*ence 167, 355-365
- Liu K, Xu S, Xuan W, Ling T, Cao Z, Huang B, Sun Y, Fang L, Liu Z, Zhao N, Shen W (2007) Carbon monoxide counteracts the inhibition of seed germination and alleviates oxidative damage caused by salt stress in *Oryza*

sativa. Plant Science 172, 544-555

- Lowry OH, Roscbrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RL (1951) Protein measurement with Folin phenol reagent. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 193, 262-265
- Marschner H (1995) Mineral Nutrition in Higher Plants (2nd Edn), Academic Press, London, 869 pp
- Mazhoudi S, Chaoui A, Ghorbal MH, Ferjani E-El (1997) Response of antioxidant enzymes to excess copper in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Plant Science* 127, 129-137
- Mittler R, Vanderauwera S, Gollery M, Van Breusegem F (2004) Reactive oxygen gene network of plants. Trends in Plant Science 9, 490-498
- Mobin M, Khan NA (2007) Photosynthetic activity, pigment composition and antioxidative response of two mustard (*Brassica juncea*) cultivars differing in photosynthetic capacity subjected to cadmium stress. *Journal of Plant Phy*siology 164, 601-610
- Møller IM, Jensen PE, Hansson A (2007) Oxidative modifications to cellular components in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology 58, 459-481
- Nakano Y, Asada K (1981) Hydrogen peroxide is scavenged by ascorbate specific – peroxidase in spinach chloroplasts. *Plant Cell Physiology* 22, 867-880
- Narang U, Bhardwaj R, Thukral AK, Garg SK (2008) Glutathione ascorbate cycle for phytoremediation of mercury by *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms. *Japanese Journal of Environmental Toxicology* 11, 1-9
- Narang U, Bhardwaj R, Thukral AK, Garg SK (2008) Mercury induced lipid peroxidation and changes in antioxidants in *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms. *Plant Stress* 2, 70-74
- Narang U, Thukral AK, Bhardwaj R, Garg SK (2008) Role of antioxidative defence system in *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms during phytoremediation of mercury. *Canadian Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences* 2, 537-545
- Noctor G, Foyer CH (1998) Ascorbate and glutathione: Keeping active oxygen under control. Annual Reviews of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 49, 249-279
- Panda SK, Chaudhury I, Khan MH (2003) Heavy metals induced lipid peroxidation and affect antioxidants in wheat leaves. *Biologia Plantaram* 46, 289-294
- Pandey V, Dixit V, Shyam R (2005) Antioxidative responses in relation to growth of mustard (*Brassica juncea* cv Pusa Jaikisan) plants exposed to hexavalent chromium. *Chemosphere* 61, 40-47
- Prasad KVSK, Paradha Saradhi P, Sharmila P (1999) Concerted action of antioxidant enzymes and curtailed growth under zinc toxicity in *Brassica juncea*. Environmental and Experimental Botany 42, 1-10
- Prasad MNV, Strazalka K (2002) Physiology and Biochemistry of Metal Tolerance in Plants. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 143 pp
- Putter J (1974) Peroxidase. In: Bergmeyer HU (Ed) Methods of Enzymatic Analysis, Verlag Chemie, Weinhan, pp 685-690
- Rachlin JW, Grosso A (1993) The growth response of the green alga *Chlorella* vulgaris to combined divalent cation exposure. Archives of Contamination Toxicology 24, 16-20
- Salisbury FB, Ross CW (1995) Mineral nutrition. In: *Plant Physiology*, CBS Publishers, Delhi, pp 674
- Salt DE, Smith RD, Raskin I (1995) Phytoremediation. Annual Reviews of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 49, 693-668
- Schutzendubel A, Polle A (2002) Plant responses to abiotic stresses: Heavy metal induced oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53, 1351-1365
- Schutzendubel A, Schwanz P, Teichmann T, Gross K, Langenfeld-Heyser R, Godbold DL, Polle A (2001) Cadmium-induced changes in antioxidative systems, hydrogen peroxide content, and differentiation in Scots pine roots. *Plant Physiology* **127**, 887-898
- Siedleka A, Krupa Z (1999) Cd/Fe interaction in higher plants: its consequences for the photosynthetic apparatus. *Photosynthetica* 36, 321-331
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1981) Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research, WH Freeman and Co., San Francisco, 859 pp
- Symeonidis L, Karataglis S (1992) Interactive effects of Cadmium, Lead and zinc on the root growth of two metal tolerant genotypes of *Holcus lanatus* L. *Biometals* 5, 173-178
- Taylor GJ (1989) Multiple metal stress in *Triticum aestivum*. Differentiation between additive, multiplicative, antagonistic and synergistic effects. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 67, 2272-2276
- Taylor GJ, Stadt KJ (1990) Interactive effects of Cd, Cu, Mn, Ni and Zn on the root growth of wheat in solution. In: Van Beusichem ML (Ed) *Plant Nutrition – Physiology and Applications*, Kluwer Academic publishers, Dordrecht, pp 317-322
- Vallee BL, Auld DS (1990) Zinc coordination, function and structure of zinc enzymes and other proteins. *Biochemistry* 29, 5647-5659
- Van A, Clijsters H (1988) Induction of enzyme capacity in plants as a result of heavy metal toxicity: Dose-response relations in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L., treated with zinc and cadmium. *Environmental Pollution* 52, 103-115
- Verma S, Dubey RS (2003) Lead toxicity induces lipid peroxidation and alters the activities of antioxidative enzymes in growing rice plants. *Plant Science* 164, 645-655
- Visviki I, Rachlin JW (1991) The toxic action and interaction of copper and cadmium to the marine alga *Dunaliella minuta*, in both acute and chronic exposure. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology 24, 16-20

Wallace A, Abou-Zamzam AM (1989) Low levels, but excesses, of five different trace elements singly and in combination, on interactions in bush beans grown in solution culture. *Soil Science* 147, 439-441

Wu FB, Zhang GP (2002) Alleviation of cadmium toxicity by application of

zinc and ascorbic acid in barley. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **25**, 2745-2763 **Yoshimura K, Yabuta Y, Ishikawa T, Shigeoka S** (2000) Expression of spinach ascorbate peroxidase isoenzymes in response to oxidative stresses. *Plant Physiology* **123**, 223-234

Table 9 Multiple regression binary interaction model for the activities of different antioxidative enzymes in the seedlings of *B. juncea* grown in water cultures containing binary combinations of Zn and other metals.

Treatments	Multiple regression equation with interaction		Nature of		
		β1	β2	Interaction β3	interaction
Catalase			-		
Zn+Cr	$Y = 4.23 - 0.004 X_1 - 0.013 X_2 - 1.4E-05 X_1X_2$	-0.321	-1.019	0.097	А
Zn+Ni	$Y = 4.23 - 0.004 X_1 - 0.012 X_2 - 1.0E-05 X_1X_2$	-0.295	-0.906	-0.064	S
Zn+Co	$Y = 4.23 - 0.004 X_1 - 0.011 X_2 + 2.0E-05 X_1X_2$	-0.392	-1.049	0.170	А
Zn+Cu	$Y = 4.23 - 0.004 X_1 - 0.013 X_2 + 1.7E-05 X_1X_2$	-0.325	-1.033	0.120	А
Glutathione red	luctase				
Zn+Cr	$Y = 4.07 - 0.039 X_1 + 0.027 X_2 + 4.6E-04 X_1X_2$	-0.677	0.470	0.698	М
Zn+Ni	$Y = 4.07 - 0.039 X_1 + 0.035 X_2 + 5.1E-04 X_1X_2$	-0.579	0.518	0.666	М
Zn+Co	$Y = 4.07 - 0.039 X_1 + 0.037 X_2 + 3.8E-04 X_1X_2$	-0.624	0.590	0.532	М
Zn+Cu	$Y = 4.07 - 0.039 X_1 + 0.036 X_2 + 4.3E-04 X_1X_2$	-0.607	0.565	0.584	М
Guaiacol peroxi	idase				
Zn+Cr	$Y = 32.9 + 0.218 X_1 + 0.144 X_2 - 1.6E-03 X_1X_2$	1.279	0.845	-0.833	А
Zn+Ni	$Y = 32.9 + 0.218 X_1 + 0.148 X_2 - 1.5E-03 X_1X_2$	1.244	0.843	-0.764	А
Zn+Co	$Y = 32.9 + 0.218 X_1 + 0.160 X_2 - 1.3E-03 X_1X_2$	1.143	0.838	-0.597	А
Zn+Cu	$Y = 32.9 + 0.218 X_1 + 0.115 X_2 - 1.9E-03 X_1X_2$	1.390	0.736	-1.044	А
Ascorbate peror	xidase				
Zn+Cr	$Y = 5.6 + 0.024 X_1 + 0.009 X_2 - 1.3E-04 X_1X_2$	1.278	0.487	-0.610	А
Zn+Ni	$Y = 5.6 + 0.024 X_1 + 0.018 X_2 - 2.3E-04 X_1X_2$	1.326	0.989	-1.115	А
Zn+Co	$Y = 5.6 + 0.024 X_1 + 0.014 X_2$ - 2.0E-04 X_1X_2	1.348	0.817	-0.969	А
Zn+Cu	$Y = 5.6 + 0.024 X_1 + 0.020 X_2 - 1.4E-04 X_1X_2$	1.070	0.906	-0.561	А
Superoxide dim	utase				
Zn+Cr	$Y = 10.7 + 0.041 X_1 + 0.046 X_2 - 3.4E-04 X_1X_2$	0.999	1.102	-0.704	А
Zn+Ni	$Y = 10.7 + 0.041 X_1 + 0.041 X_2 - 3.3E-04 X_1X_2$	1.069	1.069	-0.747	А
Zn+Co	$Y = 10.7 + 0.041 X_1 + 0.029 X_2 - 2.6E-04 X_1X_2$	1.180	0.838	-0.651	А
Zn+Cu	$Y = 10.7 + 0.041 X_1 + 0.044 X_2$ - 3.8E-04 X_1X_2	1.067	1.144	-0.851	А