

In Vitro Flowering in Rauvolfia serpentina (L.) Benth. ex. Kurz.

Subhasish Mondal¹ • Jaime A. Teixeira da Silva² • Partha Deb Ghosh^{1*}

¹ Cytogenetics and Plant Breeding Section, Plant Tissue Culture and Biotechnology Research Unit, Department of Botany, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

² Faculty of Agriculture and Graduate School of Agriculture, Kagawa University, Miki-cho, Ikenobe 2393, Kagawa-ken, 761-0795, Japan

Corresponding author: * pdggene@rediffmail.com, subhasish1982@sify.com

ABSTRACT

Rauvolfia serpentina Benth. is an economically important medicinal plant renowned for curing cardiovascular diseases and hypertension, its pharmacological activity being due to the presence of different alkaloids. Multiple shoot regeneration and flower induction *in vitro* have been achieved in this study using combinations of cytokinin and auxin. Flowering was induced for the first time ever in Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with 2.22 μ M benzyl adenine (BA) + 2.32 μ M kinetin (Kin) + 0.54 μ M α -naphthalene acetic acid and 2.22 μ M BA + 4.65 μ M Kin under a 12-hr photoperiod.

Keywords: micropropagation, multiple shoot regeneration, Murashige and Skoog medium, plant growth regulators Abbreviations: BA, 6-benzyl adenine; GA₃, gibberelic acid; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; IBA, indole-3-butyric acid; Kin, kinetin; MS, Murashige and Skoog; NAA, α-naphthalene acetic acid; PGR, plant growth regulator

INTRODUCTION

Five species of the genus Rauvolfia (family Apocynaceae) have been reported from India (Bhattacharjee 1998). Among them, R. serpentina (L.) Benth. ex. Kurz. is the most extensively studied and highly exploited species; it possesses a total alkaloid content between 0.7 and 3.0% of total root dry mass (Dhiman 2006) and a reserpine content at 0.1% of dry root (Anonymous 1998; Anitha and Kumari 2007). Isolated reserpine is used in modern medicine to treat different cardiovascular diseases, hypertension and psychological disorders, and has been found to be more potent than the crude drug prepared from the roots (Pullaiah 2002). Due to overexploitation and lack of organised cultivation, wild populations have declined rapidly and the species is now listed as endangered (Swarup and Arora 2000). With an increasing worldwide demand for plant-derived medicine and formulations, there has been a concomitant increase in the demand for raw materials. Hence there is a need to develop an approach for ensuring the availability of raw materials with a consistent quality of drugs from regular and viable sources. Rapid mass propagation of R. serpentina through tissue culture has already been reported by many authors. Bhatt et al. (2008) optimised a protocol for rapid multiplication for *R. serpentina*, Goel *et al.* (2009) cultured *R. serpentina* in liquid Murashige and Skoog (MS; Murashige and Skoog 1962) medium and quantified the alkaloids produced. Roja and Heble (1996) also isolated indole alkaloids from rapidly growing R. serpentina cultures. The literature reveals that in vitro flowering of Rauvolfia species is scanty. Sharma et al. (1999) observed in vitro flowering of R. tetraphylla in shoot multiplication medium containing 6-benzyl adenine (BA) and kinetin (Kin) but the response was poor. Anitha and Kumari (2006) observed in vitro flowering in MS medium containing BA and gibberellic acid (GA₃). However, in vitro flowering in R. serpentina has not yet been reported in the literature. This study was undertaken with an objective to standardise a protocol for in vitro mass propagation with special emphasis on in vitro flowering.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Extran, sucrose and agar were purchased from E. Merck (India) Ltd., Mumbai, India. HgCl₂ was purchased from SISCO Research Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. Ethanol was purchased from Bengal Chemicals and Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Kolkata, India. Plant growth regulators (BA, Kin, α -naphthalene acetic acid (NAA)) were purchased from Merck Specialities Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India.

Apical buds 1-2 cm long and nodal bud explants were collected from 2-3-years-old field-grown plants of the Medicinal Plants Garden of the Department of Botany, Kalyani University, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal. The surface of explants was cleaned with 5% Extran for 10 min then washed thoroughly with running tap water. Rinsed explants were surface sterilised with 0.2% HgCl₂ (w/v) for 6-7 min in a laminar airflow chamber and washed twice with sterile distilled water. Explants were then dipped in 70% ethanol for 1 min and finally washed thoroughly with sterile distilled water 4-5 times to remove all residual traces of sterilants. The basal nutrient medium consisted of MS salts (full macro- and micronutrients) and vitamins and 3% (w/v) sucrose and was gelled with 0.8% (w/w) agar. For regeneration, BA and Kin were used in several permutations indicated in Table 1, which were altered during secondary culture (Table 2) that included the auxin NAA (Tables 1, 2). The pH of the media was adjusted to 5.7 and the medium was sterilised at 2.1756×10^{-3} Pa for 15 min. All cultures were placed at $24 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C in a 12-hr photoperiod with light intensity of 40 μ M m⁻² sec⁻¹ under cool white fluorescent tubes (Model LIFEMAX-A 73, Philips).

Results were recorded periodically and the data were subjected to statistical analysis. For each treatment 10 replicates were used and the mean values derived from the experiments were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) using SPSS software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) version 10.0 (LEAD Technologies Inc., Chicago, USA) at P = 0.05.

RESULTS

Bud break was observed 10 days after inoculation from both bud explants. The maximum number of shoot buds



Fig. 1 *Rauvolfia serpentina* **L.** *in vitro* **flowering.** (A) Whole plant. (B) Primary culture. (C) Secondary culture: 10-days-old culture (C1), 30 days old culture (C2), flower bud induction (C3) and flowering plantlet (C4). Arrow indicates *in vitro* flower bud.

Table 1 Primary culture of R. serpentina.

Growth regulators (µM)			Growth parameters			
BA	Kin	NAA	No. of shoots	Shoot length (cm)		
2.22	2.32	-	$1.2 \pm 0.13 \text{ e}$	$3.4 \pm 0.16 \text{ cd}$		
2.22	4.64	-	3.6 ± 0.27 a	$4.1\pm0.18\ b$		
2.22	9.29	-	$2.1 \pm 0.18 \text{ d}$	$4.1 \pm 0.18 \text{ b}$		
4.44	2.32	-	$1.1 \pm 0.13 \text{ e}$	3.5 ± 0.17 cd		
4.44	4.64	-	$3.1\pm0.18~ab$	3.1 ± 0.18 de		
4.44	9.29	-	2.9 ± 0.13 bc	$4.2\pm0.13\ b$		
8.88	2.32	-	2.6 ± 0.27 bcd	3.4 ± 0.16 cd		
8.88	4.64	-	$2.1 \pm 0.18 \ d$	3.8 ± 0.26 bc		
8.88	9.29	-	$1.1 \pm 0.13 \text{ e}$	$2.7 \pm 0.21 \text{ e}$		
2.22	4.64	0.54	3.4 ± 0.22 a	6.1 ± 0.18 a		
2.22	4.64	1.61	$3.1\pm0.18~ab$	$4.2\pm0.20\ b$		
2.22	4.64	2.69	$2.5\pm0.17\;cd$	$3.5\pm0.17\ cd$		

Total number of explants used for each concentration = 10 (bulked from both explant types); Each experiment was repeated in triplicate; Values are presented as mean \pm standard error. Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significant at P = 0.05 according to DMRT (Duncan's multiple range test)

 (3.6 ± 0.27) formed on MS medium supplemented with BA $(2.22 \ \mu M)$ and Kin $(4.65 \ \mu M)$ during regeneration (primary culture) (Fig. 1B, Table 1). In primary cultures, maximum shoot length 6.1 ± 0.18 cm was observed in regeneration medium supplemented with BA (2.22 μ M), Kin (4.65 μ M) and NAA ($0.54 \mu M$) (**Table 1**). Regenerated plantlets were transferred to maintenance medium (secondary culture) after 40 days. Among the different plant growth regulator (PGR) combinations tested during secondary culture (Fig. 1C, 1-4), three combinations gave satisfactory results: BA $(2.22 \ \mu\text{M}) + \text{Kin} \ (2.32 \ \mu\text{M}), \text{ BA} \ (2.22 \ \mu\text{M}) + \text{Kin} \ (4.65 \ \mu\text{M})$ μ M) and $\dot{B}A(2.22 \mu$ M) + $\dot{K}in(2.32 \mu$ M) + $\dot{N}AA(0.54 \mu$ M) (Table 2). Among these, the latter gave the best result, in which a maximum number of microshoots was observed (28.4 ± 0.22) (Fig. 1C, 2, Table 2). Maximum shoot length (Fig. 1C, 3) 5.3 ± 0.15 cm was achieved by the same PGR combination, i.e., BA (2.22 μ M) + Kin (2.32 μ M) + NAA (0.54 µM).

Flower buds were induced (Fig. 1C, 4) in two PGR combinations, BA (2.22 μ M) + Kin (4.65 μ M) and BA (2.22 μ M) + Kin (2.32 μ M) + NAA (0.54 μ M) after an incubation period of 30 days after subculturing (Tables 2, 3). Flower bud induction was observed in 75 and 90% of plantlets in these two PGR combinations, respectively (Table 3). Interestingly, those plantlets which induced flowers pro-

Table 2 Secondary culture of R. serpentina.

Plant growth regulators (µM)			Growth parameters			
BA	Kin	NAA	No. of microshoots	Shoot lengt		
				(cm)		
0.44	0.46	-	$5.1 \pm 0.18 j$	2.6 ± 0.22 bcde		
0.44	2.32	-	$3.4\pm0.16\ k$	2.1 ± 0.18 defghi		
0.44	4.64	-	5.0 ± 0.15 j	1.8 ± 0.20 fgh		
0.44	6.97	-	4.5 ± 0.17 j	2.3 ± 0.21 cdef		
2.22	0.46	-	2.0 ± 0.151	1.5 ± 0.17 hi		
2.22	2.32	-	$25.1\pm0.18~b$	2.2 ± 0.20 cdefg		
2.22	4.64	-	$21.5 \pm 0.22 \text{ c*}$	2.8 ± 0.25 bc		
2.22	6.97	-	$14.6 \pm 0.22 \text{ d}$	2.6 ± 0.22 bcde		
4.44	0.46	-	6.1 ± 0.18 i	2.2 ± 0.13 cdefg		
4.44	2.32	-	$4.8\pm0.20\ j$	2.0 ± 0.21 efgh		
4.44	4.64	-	$8.6\pm0.22~f$	1.2 ± 0.13 i		
4.44	6.97	-	$10.2 \pm 0.13 \text{ e}$	$2.4\pm0.16\;cdef$		
6.66	0.46	-	$5.0 \pm 0.21 \; j$	2.7 ± 0.26 bcd		
6.66	2.32	-	$3.7\pm0.26\ k$	2.8 ± 0.25 bc		
6.66	4.64	-	5.1 ± 0.18 j	1.6 ± 0.22 ghi		
6.66	6.97	-	7.7 ± 0.21 g	2.6 ± 0.22 bcde		
2.22	2.32	0.54	$28.4 \pm 0.22 \text{ a*}$	$5.3 \pm 0.15 \text{ a}$		
2.22	2.32	1.61	$15.1 \pm 0.18 \ d$	$3.1\pm0.18\ b$		
2.22	2.32	2.69	$7.1\pm0.23\ h$	$1.2 \pm 0.13 i$		

* In vitro flowering

Total number of explants used for each concentration = 10 (bulked from both explant types); Each experiment was repeated in triplicate; Values are presented as mean \pm standard error. Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significant at P = 0.05 according to DMRT (Duncan's multiple range test)

duced at least 70% fewer microshoots than the other cultures with the same PGR medium composition (**Table 3**).

DISCUSSION

Microshoot development

Auxin, when combined with a cytokinin, plays a role in the elongation of regenerated shoots. According to Ilahi et al. (2007) and Bhatt et al. (2008), such an auxin + cytokinin combination produces better results in direct shoot regeneration and increasing shoot length in Rauwolfia then when used individually. In the present work, a maximum number of microshoots were observed with the BA + Kin combination in primary culture and with the BA + Kin + NAA combination in secondary culture. Ahmad et al. (2002), Kataria and Shekhawat (2005), Arif et al. (2008) and Goel et al. (2009) also observed maximum number of microshoots for *Rauwolfia* spp. in auxin + cytokinin combinations but they used only one cytokinin together with an auxin although Patil and Jayanthi (1997) used a single cytokinin, BA (8.88 µM) for multiple shoot induction. Ahmad (2002) produced multiple shoots with BA (11.1 μ M) and NAA (0.54 μ M) and observed shoot elongation with BA (8.88 µM) and NAA (0.27 μ M). We thus concluded that NAA plays a role in shoot elongation. Kataria and Shekhawat (2005) produced multiple shoots from nodal explants by axillary bud proliferation with BAP (10 μ M) and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) (0.5 μ M). Arif *et al.* (2008) observed better results for direct regeneration with indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) $(0.62 \mu M)$ and BAP (4.44 μM). Goel *et al.* (2009) observed in vitro shoot multiplication with BAP (4.44 μ M) and NAA $(0.54 \mu M)$. However, the use of two cytokinins simultaneously is rare and was observed by Balaraju (2008) who induced highest number of multiple shoots in Swertia chirata Buch.-Ham. ex Wall using the combination of BAP (4.44 μ M) and Kin (0.46 μ M). Another example of the use of BAP and Kin simultaneously for high frequency multiple shoot development was observed in Basilicum polystachyon (L.) Moench (Amutha 2008). Verma and Singh (2007) produced a maximum number of shoots in both cotyledonary node and shoot apex explants of Brassica campestris L. var. Bhavani with BA (11.1 μ M), IAA (5.71 μ M) and Kn (2.32 μM).

|--|

Plant growth regulators (µM)				Growth parameters				
BA	Kin	NAA	Flowering	No. of microshoots	Shoot length (cm)	No. of flower buds	No. of flowers that	
			response (%)*			produced	matured	
2.22	4.64	-	75	3.6 ± 0.24	2.4 ± 0.27	6.7 ± 0.21	1.6 ± 0.16	
2.22	2.32	0.54	90	2.7 ± 0.26	2.9 ± 0.23	5.5 ± 0.22	1.1 ± 0.15	
* maa	n 9/ avnlants with a	flowering roomance						

All values bulked from both explant types

Flowering

In vitro flowering is a complex reaction in response to abiotic cues, primarily PGR combinations, explant type, photoperiod and light spectral quality (Stephen and Jayabalan 1998; Bernier and Perilleux 2005; reviewed in Sudhakaran et al. 2006). The incidence of flowering was probably induced by the exogenous supply of PGRs, which in turn might have raised the endogenous content to a level required for triggering flowering as was opined by Verma and Singh (2007) during in vitro flower bud induction in Brassica campestris. Maximum flowering (50%) was noted in the shoots from cotyledonary nodes exposed to IBA (7.38 μ M), IAA (5.71 μ M) and Kn (2.32 μ M). Anitha and Kumari (2006) produced a maximum number of microshoots with only BAP (4.44 μ M), although they induced flowering in *R*. tetraphylla with BAP and GA₃, which was in contrast with a previous study on *in vitro* flowering of the same species by Sharma et al. (1999), who reported optimum response for *in vitro* flowering with BAP and cytokinin combination, which is consistent with our report. In Murraya paniculata (L.) Jack, BAP alone induced floral bud formation (Jumin and Ahmed 1999). In many in vitro flowering experiments BAP has been used alone or in combination with other PGRs and it might play a major role in flower bud formation and maturation (Anitha and Kumari 2006). This is further supported by these studies: Sudhakaran and Sivasankari (2002) in Ocimum basilicum L., Wang et al. (2001) in Momordia charantia L., Mandal and Sheeja (2003) in Lycopersicon esculentum Mill., and Hee et al. (2009) in Dendrobium sp.

Although not tested in this study, the importance of photoperiod for *in vitro* flowering has been demonstrated in *Murraya paniculata* plantlets, derived from protoplasts, which only flowered in a 16-hr photoperiod but not in continuous darkness (Jumin and Nito 1995). The effects of photoperiod on vegetative and reproductive development were also demonstrated in *Psygmorchis pusilla* (Vaz *et al.* 2004). Zimmerman *et al.* (1985) were of the opinion that the interaction of carbohydrate and other nutritional factors with endogenous PGRs can influence some biological parameters that are altered when a plant changes from the juvenile to the mature phase.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was financed by the University Grants Commission (UGC), Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi, India.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad S, Ashik MM, Amin MN, Azad MAK (2002) Micropropagation and plant regeneration of *Rauvolfia serpentina* by tissue culture technique. *Pakis*tan Journal of Biological Science 5 (1), 75-79
- Amutha R, Jawahar M, Ravi PS (2008) Plant regeneration and *in vitro* flowering from shoot tip of *Basilicum polystachyon* (L.) Moench An important medicinal plant. *Journal of Agricultural Technology* **4** (2), 117-123
- Anitha S, Ranjitha Kumari BD (2006) In vitro flowering in Rauvolfia tetraphylla L. Pakistan Journal of Biological Science 9 (3), 422-424
- Anitha S, Ranjitha Kumari BD (2007) Influence of auxin combinations on accumulation accumulation of reserpine in the callus of *Rauvolfia tetraphylla* L. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Science* 10 (21), 3900-3904

Anonymous (1998) Indian herbal pharmacopoeia. In: Handa SS, Mundkina-

jeddu D, Mangal AK (Eds) A Joint Publication of Regional Research Laboratory, Jammu Tawi, Indian Drug Manufacturer's Association, Mumbai, Vol. I, pp 121-129

- Balaraju K, Agastian P, Ignacimuthu S (2008) Micropropagation of Swertia chirata Buch.-Hams. ex Wall.: A critically endangered medicinal herb. Acta Physiologia Plantarum 31 (3), 487-494
- Bernier G, Perilleux A (2005) A physiological overview of the genetics of flowering time control. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **3**, 3-16
- Bhatt R, Arif M, Gaur AK, Rao PB (2008) Rauwolfia serpentina: Protocol optimization for in vitro propagation. African Journal of Biotechnology 7 (23), 4265-4264
- Bhattacharjee SK (1998) Handbook of Medical Plants, Pointer Publishers, India, pp 293-294
- Dhiman AK (2006) Ayurvedic Drug Plants, Daya Publishing House, New Delhi, pp 343-345
- Goel MK, Mehrotra S, Kukreja AK, Shanker K, Khanuja SPS (2009) In vitro propagation of Rauwolfia serpentina using liquid medium, assessment of genetic fidelity of micropropagated plants, and simultaneous quantisation of reserpine, ajmaline, and ajmalicine. In: Saxena PK, Jain SM (Eds) Protocols for in Vitro Cultures and Secondary Metabolite Analysis of Aromatic and Medicinal Plants. Series: Methods in Molecular Biology (Vol 547), Springer, India, pp 17-33
- Hee KH, Yeoh HH, Loh CS (2009) In vitro flowering and in vitro pollination: Methods that will benefit the orchid industry. Proceedings of NIOC, Nagoya, Japan, pp 20-24
- Ilahi I, Rahim F, Jabeen M (2007) Enhanced clonal propagation and alkaloid biosynthesis in cultures of *Rauwolfia*. *Pakistan Journal of Plant Science* 13 (1), 45-56
- Jumin HB, Ahmed M (1999) High frequency in vitro flowering of Murraya paniculata (L.) Jack. Plant Cell Reports 18, 764-768
- Jumin HB, Nito N (1995) Embryogenic protoplast cultures of orange Jessamine (*Murraya paniculata*) and their regeneration on plant flowering *in vitro*. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* **41**, 227-279
- Kataria V, Shekhawat NS (2005) Cloning of Rauvolfia serpentina An endangered medicinal plant. Journal of Sustainable Forestry 20 (1), 53-65
- Murashige T, Skoog F (1962) A revised medium, for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue culture. *Physiologia Plantarum* 15, 473-497
- Patil VM, Jayanthi M (1997) Micropropagation of two species of Rauvolfia (Apocynaceae). Current Science 72 (12), 961-965
- Pullaiah T (2002) Medicinal Plants of India (Vol 2), Regency Publications, New Delhi, India, pp 441-443
- Roja G, Heble MR (1996) Indole alkaloids in clonal propagules of *Rauwolfia* serpentina Benth ex Kurz. Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture 44, 111-115
- Sharma D, Sarma S, Baruah A (1999) In vitro flowering and seed setting formation of Coriandrum sativum L. Current Science 74, 195-197
- Sheeja TE, Mandal AB (2003) In vitro flowering and fruiting in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). Asia Pacific Journal of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology 11 (1), 37-42
- Stephen R, Jayabalan N (1998) In vitro flowering and seed setting formation of coriander (Coriandrum sativum). Current Science 69 (6), 543-545
- Sudhakaran S, Sivasankari V (2002) In vitro flowering response of Osimum basilicum L. Journal of Plant Biotechnology 4, 181-183
- Sudhakaran S, Teixeira da Silva JA, Sreeramanan S (2006) Test tube bouquets - in vitro flowering. In: Teixeira da Silva JA (Ed) Floriculture, Ornamental and Plant Biotechnology: Advances and Topical Issues (1st Edn, Vol II), Global Science Books, Isleworth, UK, pp 336-346
- Swarup R, Arora JR (2000) Plant tissue culture from research to commercialisation: A decade of support. Dept. of Biotechnol., Govt. Of India, New Delhi, pp 48-49
- Vaz APA, de Cassia L, Riberiro F, Kerbauy GB (2004) Photoperiod and temperature effects on *in vitro* growth and flowering of *P. pusilla*, an epiphytic orchid. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 42, 411-415
- Verma R, Singh RR (2007) Regeneration and in vitro flowering in Brassica campestris (L.) var. Bhavani. Our Nature 5, 21-24
- Wang S, Tang L, Chen F (2001) In vitro flowering in bitter melon. Plant Cell Reports 20, 393-397
- Zimmerman RH, Hackett WP, Paris RP (1985) Aspects of phase change and precocious flowering. In: Paris RP, Rei DM (Eds) *Encyclopedia of Plant Phy*siology (New Series, Vol II), Springer, Berlin, pp 79-115